

# **Official Transcript of Proceedings**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Title:** Environmental Impact Statement for Turkey  
Point License Renewal: Public Hearing  
Evening Session

**Docket Number:** 50-250 and 50-251

**Location:** Homestead, Florida

**Date:** Tuesday, July 17, 2001

**Work Order No.:** NRC-324

**Pages 1-143**

**NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.  
Court Reporters and Transcribers  
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 234-4433**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING: EVENING SESSION

+ + + + +

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

STATEMENT FOR TURKEY POINT LICENSE RENEWAL

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

JULY 17, 2001

+ + + + +

HOMESTEAD, FLORIDA

+ + + + +

The Public Meeting convened at the Homestead  
YMCA, Harris Field Complex, 1034 N.E. 8th Street,  
Homestead, Florida, at 7:00 p.m., Chip Cameron, NRC  
Facilitator.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I-N-D-E-X

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

<u>TOPIC</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. Opening Remarks . . . . .	3
II. Presentations	
Overview of License Renewal -	
Chris Grimes . . . . .	9
Environmental Issues of License Renewal-	
Jim Wilson . . . . .	15
Findings in the Draft Environmental	
Impact Statement - Charlie Brandt . . . . .	21
Severe Accident Issues -	
Mike Snodderly . . . . .	36
III. Question and Answer Period . . . . .	44
IV. Public Comments . . . . .	58
V. Closing . . . . .	143

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(7:00 p.m.)

MR. CAMERON: Good evening. I'd like to welcome you to the NRC's public meeting on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the license renewal application for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant Units 3 and 4.

My name is Chip Cameron. I'm the Special Counsel for Public Liaison within the Office of General Council at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and I'm pleased to be your facilitator for tonight's meeting.

I just want to cover three things briefly with all of you about the meeting, before we get to the substantive discussions.

One is, I'd like to talk about objectives. Secondly, I'd like to talk about format and ground rules for the meeting tonight, and third, I just want to go over the agenda with you so that you know what to expect tonight.

In terms of objectives, we want to provide all of you with information and answer your questions about the NRC's preliminary findings on environmental impact of renewing the license for Turkey Point. And I want to emphasize that these are preliminary

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 findings. This is a Draft Environmental Impact  
2 Statement and it will not be finalized until the NRC  
3 evaluates the public comments on the Draft  
4 Environmental Impact Statement that we'll hear from  
5 you tonight as well as in writing.

6 Ultimately we want to use your comments to  
7 inform our decision making on the Environmental Impact  
8 Statement and that's why we're here tonight. We are  
9 taking written comments and the NRC staff will tell  
10 you when those comments have to be in, but we did want  
11 to meet with you personally.

12 The information that you hear from the NRC  
13 staff tonight, the information you hear from others in  
14 the audience, may assist you if you would like to  
15 submit written comments, as well as anything you say  
16 tonight. And any comments that are provided tonight  
17 will have the same status as comments that are  
18 submitted to us in writing.

19 In terms of the format for the meeting  
20 tonight, we're going to have some brief NRC  
21 presentations and then some questions and answers,  
22 some interaction with all of you on those  
23 presentations to make sure that we clear up any  
24 questions that you might have. And that's going to be  
25 the first segment of the meeting tonight.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The second segment of the meeting is to  
2 have you talk to us, present some more formal comments  
3 to us and the NRC staff is going to be in a listening  
4 mode then, and we have a number of people signed up  
5 who want to talk tonight. So when we go into that  
6 second segment we'll have you come up to the podium to  
7 present your comments, or you can use that microphone  
8 back there.

9           In terms of ground rules during the  
10 question and answer session, please, if you have  
11 something to say, signal me and I'll bring this  
12 talking stick to you. Give us your name and  
13 affiliation if appropriate so that we know who you  
14 are. And we are keeping a transcript of the meeting  
15 that will be available on the NRC's website and  
16 Claudette Frost is our stenographer tonight.

17           Second ground rule, only one person  
18 speaking at a time, please, and that will allow us to  
19 get a clean transcript, but more importantly it will  
20 allow us to give our full attention to whomever has  
21 the floor at the moment.

22           And I guess I should interject now, if you  
23 could turn your cell phones on to stun, okay. We had,  
24 with all the new sounds, we had -- it was just  
25 wonderful this afternoon, everybody's different ring

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and whatever, but you could just please, just turn  
2 those off for the meeting so that we won't disturb  
3 anybody.

4 The last ground rule, I want to make sure  
5 everybody gets a chance to speak tonight. So during  
6 the questions and answers we may have to ask you to  
7 summarize. We may have to go to someone else to give  
8 them a chance to ask a question. And in terms of the  
9 more formal comments, please try to limit it to  
10 approximately five minutes. We may go over on certain  
11 presentations, but if you could try to keep it to five  
12 we would appreciate that and that will make sure that  
13 we get everybody on tonight and out of here at a  
14 reasonable time, although we're committed to staying  
15 here to hear all of you and to answer your questions.

16 And in terms of agenda tonight, our first  
17 NRC presentation is going to be by Chris Grimes, who  
18 is right up here. Chris is going to provide you with  
19 an overall context on license renewal. This meeting  
20 is on comments on the Draft Environmental Impact  
21 Statement, but license renewal is not only looking at  
22 environmental impacts, but it's also evaluating safety  
23 issues and also looking at inspection findings. And  
24 Chris is the branch chief of the License Renewal and  
25 Standardization Branch at the NRC, in our office of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Nuclear Reactor Regulation. His group, the people  
2 that Chris manages, are responsible for evaluating  
3 license renewal applications, taking the environmental  
4 impact information, taking the safety information and  
5 the inspection findings and then making a  
6 recommendation from the NRC staff to the Commission.  
7 So Chris is going to talk about what's the overall  
8 process for license renewal, how does the  
9 Environmental Impact Statement fit into that.

10 Then we're going to go to Mr. Jim Wilson  
11 who is the environmental project manager for the  
12 Turkey Point license renewal, and Jim is responsible  
13 for coordinating the environmental review on Turkey  
14 Point and he's going to tell you about the process  
15 there on that. Jim is a biology and zoology expert  
16 and he's done engineering and environmental work at  
17 the Agency.

18 We'll go on to you for questions about the  
19 process at that point. Then we're going to come back  
20 and we're going to hear from Mr. Charlie Brandt who's  
21 with Pacific Northwest National Labs, and Charlie is  
22 going to give us the findings that are in the Draft  
23 Environmental Impact Statement. And Charlie is the  
24 head of the Ecology Group at Pacific Northwest

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 National Lab and he's a Ph.D. in Zoology from Duke  
2 University.

3 We'll then go to Mike Snodderly, okay,  
4 who's going to talk about postulated accidents. And  
5 one final word from Jim Wilson again on the conclusion  
6 in the Environmental Impact Statement. Then we'll go  
7 on to you for questions on those presentations. After  
8 we're done with that we'll go to all of you for public  
9 comment.

10 And I guess I should add that in terms of  
11 Mike Snodderly's background, he is a reactor systems  
12 engineer. He's in the probabilistic safety assessment  
13 branch at the NRC, again, in the Office of Nuclear  
14 Reactor Regulation. He's worked at the Calvert Cliffs  
15 Nuclear Plant before he joined the Agency. And again,  
16 he'll be talking about postulated accidents at Turkey  
17 Point, how that was considered in the Environmental  
18 Impact Statement.

19 I guess with that, I would just thank you  
20 all for taking the time to be with us tonight and we  
21 look forward to talking with you tonight and to  
22 listening to your comments and we'll turn it over to  
23 Chris.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. GRIMES: This is going to be an  
2 interesting test. You can see the slides and now I  
3 can't read my notes.

4 Good evening. My name is Chris Grimes.  
5 I'm the Chief of the License Renewal and  
6 Standardization Branch.

7 Chip's already gone over the purpose of  
8 the meeting and I wanted to basically briefly  
9 summarize where we are in the process and describe the  
10 overall process for license renewal in order to  
11 provide a context for the staff's conclusions relative  
12 to the Environmental Impact Assessment.

13 As Chip mentioned, Jim Wilson is going to  
14 go into some more detail on the process and explain  
15 how you can make comments and how comments can be  
16 submitted.

17 The Atomic Energy Act provides that power  
18 reactors are licensed for forty years. Florida Power  
19 and Light has submitted an application pursuant to the  
20 renewal process that's described in Part 54 to Title  
21 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations which we'll  
22 refer to simply tonight as 10 CFR, Part 54. And they  
23 have requested that their licenses be extended to July  
24 19, 2032 and April 10, 2033, respectively.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           We held an environmental scoping meeting  
2           in Homestead back in December of last year, and during  
3           that meeting they described the Statutory requirements  
4           for the license renewal process, the purpose of the  
5           review and the process that we go through. And I'm  
6           not going to go into those details right now. I'm  
7           going to describe where we are in the review process  
8           and we're going to try and spend more time providing  
9           you with an opportunity to give us your views.

10           The NRC established the regulatory  
11           requirements for license renewal in Part 54, and that  
12           will provide the basis upon which a plant that was  
13           originally licensed for forty years remains valid for  
14           a period of extending operation. The Rule requires  
15           that an applicant address plant safety issues by  
16           demonstrating the applicable aging affects for a  
17           defined scope of passive long live system structures  
18           and components and evaluate certain time dependent  
19           design analysis.

20           The Rule also requires that the  
21           application include an environmental report which the  
22           NRC will draw on to develop a plant specific  
23           supplement to a Generic Environmental Impact  
24           Statement for license renewal which is provided in  
25           Part 51 of Title 10.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           In developing the requirements for renewal  
2 the NRC determines that aging for active components is  
3 adequately managed by existing maintenance and  
4 surveillance programs and other aspects of the  
5 existing license requirements can continue to the  
6 license extension period.

7           Following my introduction Jim Wilson, the  
8 NRC project manager for the Turkey Point environmental  
9 review, is going to go into details of the review  
10 process under the National Environmental Policy Act,  
11 or NEPA, and he's going to introduce the balance of  
12 the discussion related to the staff's preliminary  
13 findings regarding environmental impacts.

14           I'd also like to introduce the NRC project  
15 manager for the safety review, Raj Auluck. Raj, would  
16 you stand up? Raj is the senior project manager  
17 responsible for the safety review and with him, Steve  
18 Koenick. Steve, would you stand? Steve Koenick  
19 assists him in managing the safety review process for  
20 all of those issues related to plant aging affects.  
21 And also there is, at the conclusion of the staff  
22 safety evaluation process and the preparation of a  
23 final report on that aging management program, an  
24 independent review by the NRC's Advisory Committee on  
25 Reactor Safeguards, or ACRS.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Next slide, please. Florida Power and  
2 Light submitted its license renewal application for  
3 Turkey Point in September of 2000. This figure  
4 illustrates the opportunity for public involvement in  
5 the three parallel activities of the NRC's review; the  
6 safety review, the environmental review and the  
7 inspection program.

8           Thus far in the Turkey Point license  
9 renewal application, the NRC has visited the site and  
10 held the public scoping meetings in December that I  
11 previously mentioned. We have requested additional  
12 information related to the plant aging affects in  
13 support of our preparation of a safety evaluation  
14 report that will be issued in August, 2001,  
15 identifying any open items that must be resolved  
16 before a Commission decision.

17           NRC's Region II staff has conducted an  
18 inspection of the process for scoping plants systems,  
19 structures and components. That inspection was held  
20 in May and the inspection exit which was a public  
21 meeting was held on June 8th of this year.

22           On June 12th the NRC issued the Draft  
23 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, which is  
24 the purpose of today's meeting.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           For the future, an inspection of the aging  
2 management program and the Advisory Committee on  
3 Reactor Safeguards sub-committee meeting on the  
4 license renewal safety issues are planned for  
5 September of this year. The ACRS performs an  
6 independent review of the renewal application and the  
7 safety evaluation findings and they report their  
8 findings and recommendations directly to the  
9 Commission.

10           The ACRS also holds public meetings that  
11 are transcribed. Oral and written statements can be  
12 provided to the ACRS meetings in accordance with the  
13 instructions described in the notice for their  
14 meetings in the Federal Register.

15           The NRC's licensing process includes a  
16 formal process for public involvement through hearings  
17 conducted by a panel of Administrative Law Judges who  
18 are called the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, or  
19 ASLB. That process consists of a petition to hold  
20 hearings on particular issues to be litigated by the  
21 Board. The ASLB concluded that petitions to intervene  
22 on a Turkey Point license renewal application filed by  
23 Mark Oncavage and Joette Lorion failed to identify at  
24 least one admissible contention. Mr. Oncavage has  
25 appealed that decision to the Commission. Whether a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 formal adjudicatory hearing is held for Turkey Point  
2 will depend on the outcome of that appeal.

3 At the end of the process, the final  
4 safety evaluation report, the final supplement to the  
5 Environmental Impact Statement, the results of the  
6 inspections, the ACRS recommendation, and the ASLB  
7 decision, if a hearing is held, are submitted to the  
8 Commission with a staff recommendation. Each  
9 Commissioner will vote on the proposed action and  
10 their decision is formally sent to the NRC staff for  
11 whatever action they conclude is appropriate for the  
12 renewal application.

13 The individual Commissioner vote and their  
14 instructions to the NRC staff are also public record.

15 Throughout this process interested members  
16 of the public who are concerned about nuclear safety  
17 issues can raise those issues informally during  
18 various public meetings that the NRC will hold to  
19 discuss the Turkey Point application. Meetings on  
20 particular technical issues are usually held at the  
21 NRC Headquarters in Rockville, Maryland. However,  
22 some technical meetings and meetings to summarize the  
23 results of the NRC's inspections will be held near the  
24 plant site in a place that is accessible to the  
25 public.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The Turkey Point renewal application, the  
2 safety evaluation report, meeting summaries and other  
3 related correspondence are or will be available for  
4 public review at the NRC's Public Document Room in  
5 Rockville or at the NRC's website. Copies of the  
6 application, reports and significant correspondence  
7 are also available to the local residents at the  
8 Homestead Branch Library.

9           That's a brief overview of the renewal  
10 process. In the interest of time I'm going to suggest  
11 that if you have any questions about the renewal  
12 process, Mr. Auluck, Mr. Koenick and Mr. Wilson and I  
13 will be available after the meeting to explain the  
14 process to you in whatever detail you need.

15           With that, Chip, I'll introduce Jim Wilson  
16 and he will describe an overview of NEPA evaluation  
17 process.

18           MR. WILSON: Thank you, Chris.

19           My name is Jim Wilson. I'm the  
20 environmental project manager for the Turkey Point  
21 license renewal project. I'm responsible for  
22 coordinating the efforts of the NRC staff and our  
23 contractors from the National Labs that are conducting  
24 and documenting the environmental review associated

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 with Florida Power and Light 's application for license  
2 renewal at Turkey Point.

3 NEPA, the National Environmental Policy  
4 Act, was enacted in 1969. It requires all Federal  
5 agencies to use a systematic approach to consider  
6 environmental impacts in certain decision making  
7 proceedings regarding major Federal actions.

8 NEPA requires that we examine the  
9 environmental impacts of the proposed action and  
10 consider mitigation measures, which are things that  
11 can be done that would decrease the environmental  
12 impact should those impacts be severe.

13 NEPA requires that we consider  
14 alternatives to the proposed action and that we  
15 examine and evaluate the environmental impacts of  
16 those alternatives.

17 Finally, NEPA requires that we disclose  
18 all of this information and that we invite the public  
19 to participate in evaluating it.

20 The NRC has determined that it will  
21 prepare an Environmental Impact Statement associated  
22 with the renewal of nuclear plant operating licenses  
23 for an additional twenty years. Therefore, following  
24 the process required by NEPA, we have prepared a Draft  
25 Environmental Impact Statement for license renewal at

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Turkey Point. That Environmental Impact Statement was  
2 issued last month and the meeting today is to receive  
3 your comments on that Environmental Impact Statement.

4 This slide describes the objectives of our  
5 environmental review. Simply put, we're trying to  
6 determine whether the renewal of the Turkey Point  
7 license is acceptable from an environmental  
8 standpoint. Whether or not the licensee exercises  
9 that option to renew the license or to actually  
10 operate the plant for an additional twenty years would  
11 be determined not by the NRC but by others, such as  
12 Florida Power and Light Company and other regulatory  
13 agencies, and would depend in large measure on the  
14 outcome of the safety review.

15 This slide shows in a little more detail  
16 the environmental review process associated with  
17 license renewal at Turkey Point. We received the  
18 application last September. We issued a Notice of  
19 Intent in the Federal Register in October that  
20 informed the public that we're going to prepare an  
21 Environmental Impact Statement and invited the public  
22 to provide comments on the scope of our review.

23 In December, during the scoping period, we  
24 had two public meetings here in Homestead to receive  
25 public comments on the scope of issues that should be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 included in the Environmental Impact Statement for  
2 Turkey Point.

3 Also in December, the staff went to the  
4 Turkey Point site and we took a combined team of NRC  
5 staff and personnel from three of our national  
6 laboratories with backgrounds in specific technical  
7 and scientific disciplines that are required to  
8 perform the environmental review. We familiarized  
9 ourselves with the site. We met with the staff from  
10 Florida Power and Light Company and discussed the  
11 information submitted in support of their license  
12 renewal application and to discuss their evaluation  
13 process. We contacted State and Federal agencies and  
14 local service agencies to obtain information on the  
15 area and the Turkey Point plants.

16 At the close of the scoping comment period  
17 we gathered up and considered all of the comments we  
18 had received and -- many of them were from other  
19 outside agencies, State and Federal agencies, as well  
20 as from the public. Many of these comments  
21 significantly contributed to the document that we have  
22 produced today.

23 At the end of January we issued requests  
24 for information to insure that anything that we rely  
25 on in making a decision has been placed on the docket

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that was not in the initial license application. And  
2 finally last month, on June 12th, we issued a Draft  
3 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on the  
4 Turkey Point license renewal.

5 This report is Draft, not because it's  
6 incomplete, but rather because we are in an  
7 intermediate stage in the decision making process.  
8 We're in the middle now of a public comment period to  
9 receive comments from members of the public and other  
10 agencies on the Draft that we produced and that  
11 comment period will end on the 6th of September.

12 After we gather the comments and evaluate  
13 them, we may decide to change portions of the  
14 Environmental Impact Statement based on those  
15 comments. And then the NRC staff will issue a Final  
16 Environmental Impact Statement.

17 Next presentation is by Charlie Brandt.

18 MR. CAMERON: Let's see if there -- I  
19 wanted to repeat Chris' offer for this NRC staff to  
20 meet with any of you after the meeting. But just to  
21 make sure that there's nothing that we need to clarify  
22 in terms of these process presentations that you just  
23 heard, does anybody have any questions on the process  
24 at this point?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   Could you just give us your name and  
2 affiliation, please.

3                   MS. SPRINKLE: I'm Patricia Sprinkle and  
4 I'm a Miami-Dade resident. I just want to know why  
5 they're applying in 2001 for something that doesn't  
6 expire for ten years?

7                   MR. CAMERON: Good question. Chris?

8                   MR. GRIMES: The typical time that it  
9 takes to design and construct a power plant to replace  
10 a plant of this size is on the order of about ten  
11 years. And so the planning horizon for additional  
12 generating capacity needs to start now and we're  
13 seeing license renewal interest for those plants whose  
14 licenses expire in the 2010 to 2020 time frame. These  
15 applications expire in 2012 and 13 or 13 and 14.

16                   MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you.

17                   Anybody else on the process? Yes, sir?

18                   MR. HANCOCK: I'm Ross Hancock from  
19 Homestead Sun Newspaper. I have a question for Mr.  
20 Wilson.

21                   In the environmental analysis of renewal,  
22 would you say you use the latest technology available  
23 throughout the developing world, developed world, for  
24 this type of analysis?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. CAMERON: Jim, you may want to cover  
2 that after. Charlie has some information on that in  
3 his presentation. So let's -- I'll mark that on the  
4 board so we don't forget it. We'll come back and  
5 answer that for you, okay?

6 MR. WILSON: I'd like to speak with you  
7 after the meeting if you have any questions about  
8 Charlie's presentation.

9 MR. CAMERON: And Jim, you can certainly  
10 chime in at that time too. I don't want to cut you  
11 off. We'll go to that after Charlie's presentation.

12 Any more questions on process?

13 Okay, let's go to Charlie Brandt now who's  
14 going to tell us about the major findings. Charlie?

15 MR. BRANDT: My job is to provide an  
16 overview of the preliminary conclusions from our  
17 evaluation of the environmental impacts of relicensing  
18 Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. But in order to do that  
19 I need to set a little bit of context that I hope  
20 helps answer your question a little bit, as well as  
21 everybody else's questions and concerns about how this  
22 was actually done.

23 There are a couple of guiding documents  
24 that are used to evaluate impacts. The first of these  
25 is the Generic Environmental Impact Statement, or

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 what's commonly referred to and what I'll be referring  
2 to either by accident or on purpose is the GEIS,  
3 through the rest of my talk.

4 What that is, is a document that was  
5 issued by the NRC in 1996 and it includes a catalogue  
6 of all of the environmental impact issues related to  
7 relicensing nuclear power plants. That impact  
8 statement divided all of those issues into two  
9 categories or groups.

10 The first group is called Generic Issues  
11 or Category I issues. Those are issues that apply to  
12 all plants or at least to all plants of a given type.  
13 For example, those with once through cooling or with  
14 cooling towers.

15 The other significant criterion to be a  
16 Category I issue is that the impact level is the same  
17 at all of the nuclear plants.

18 The second group of issues down here is  
19 the Category II issues, or what's called site specific  
20 issues. Those are issues that do apply to all plants  
21 but the impact level is not necessarily the same at  
22 all plants. So a single finding of impact could not  
23 be done.

24 What's required for the Supplemental  
25 Environmental Impact analysis, which is this document

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 down here that you all are reviewing in draft, is a  
2 complete analysis using site specific data for these  
3 Category II issues.

4 The Category I issues, although they have  
5 already been addressed in the Generic Environmental  
6 Impact Statement, do require further analysis for  
7 what's called new and significant information, and  
8 that's this little box here.

9 What new information is, is because the  
10 GEIS was issued in 1996 but some of the analyses that  
11 went into it obviously occurred before that time, new  
12 information is information that was not available at  
13 the time the GEIS was completed.

14 Significant information is information  
15 that would lead to a conclusion regarding impact  
16 that's different from what was in the GEIS.

17 The scoping process that we completed for  
18 the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 had two significant  
19 impacts on this analysis.

20 The first is that the scoping process  
21 identifies potentially new information with regard to  
22 the site or with regard to environmental impact. The  
23 scoping process also identifies new issues, and new  
24 issues are, remember I said the GEIS is intended to  
25 be, was intended to be a catalogue of all

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 environmental issues, but catalogues are notoriously  
2 incomplete and part of the function of the scoping  
3 process is to identify new issues that have not been  
4 addressed before in the GEIS. All of that is  
5 documented in the scoping summary report which also  
6 accompanied the -- was issued along with the Draft.

7 Now I want to talk a little bit about the  
8 analysis process that we use for Turkey Point Units 3  
9 and 4.

10 First, we relied on a number of data  
11 sources. These included the applicant's environmental  
12 report, as you might expect, but it also included site  
13 visits, requests for additional information, field  
14 surveys, literature, review of literature reports, not  
15 only NRC reports but open literature and the grey  
16 literature as well, and interviews with local, State  
17 and Federal agencies.

18 We also rely on a number of agencies to  
19 conduct independent analyses. Independent means they  
20 have nothing to do with the NRC or Florida Power and  
21 Light or any of their contractors. Those independent  
22 analyses include those associated with threatened and  
23 endangered species, which include the National Marine  
24 Fisheries Service who deal with finned organisms that  
25 are on the endangered species list, and the U.S. Fish

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and Wildlife Service who deal with most everything  
2 else. It also included the Florida Coastal  
3 Commission, which is actually administered by the  
4 Florida Department of Community Affairs. We also  
5 talked with the Florida agencies responsible for  
6 permitting, primarily the Florida Department of  
7 Environmental Protection.

8 We also, based on comments received during  
9 the scoping process, talked with the U.S. Army Corps  
10 of Engineers.

11 And then finally, what we rely on is more  
12 than somebody with a Ph.D. in Zoology to analyze  
13 things like human health impacts. We have assembled  
14 a team with a broad range of expertise to evaluate the  
15 specific aspects, the operation and the environment in  
16 their particular areas.

17 Impacts are quantified using the same  
18 three levels, small, moderate and large, that have  
19 been used in every NRC Environmental Impact Statement,  
20 including the Generic Environmental Impact Statement  
21 for license renewal. These are consistent with  
22 Council of Environmental Quality guidelines for NEPA.  
23 They start with, of course, small, which as you can  
24 see, impacts -- the affects are not detectable, or

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they are too small to noticeably alter any important  
2 aspect of the resource under evaluation.

3 Large impacts are those where the affects  
4 are clearly noticeable and are sufficient to de-  
5 stabilize important aspects of the resource.

6 Now I'll get into the details of the  
7 impact areas that we evaluated.

8 The first one deals with cooling system  
9 impacts, and as you know, Turkey Point has a closed  
10 cycle cooling system with water, heated water  
11 discharged through a cooling pond that does not  
12 communicate with Biscayne Bay by surface water or any  
13 other surface water. The important aspects here for  
14 evaluation are entrainment, impingement and heat shock  
15 of fish and shell fish. Again, because this area is  
16 closed to public access, there are no commercially  
17 exploited or sport fish populations in the area. That  
18 has nothing to do with it being closed. They just  
19 don't happen to be there. Also, the area is closed.  
20 The most abundant fish species are killifish that are  
21 not wide ranging and not prone to entrainment or  
22 impingement.

23 The issues with regard to human health  
24 deal with the presence of micro-biological organisms  
25 in the cooling system that might adversely affect

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 humans. This was evaluated by the Florida Department  
2 of Health who determined that there is minimum public  
3 health risk due to the harsh environment that's  
4 present in the canal system itself.

5 Next category, transmission line impacts.  
6 There are 92 kilometers of transmission corridor  
7 associated with Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. Those  
8 cover 920 hectares, approximately 2200 acres. The two  
9 issues under consideration are electric shock from  
10 electro-magnetic fields, which was evaluated using a  
11 computer code, that demonstrated all induced currents  
12 are below the level set by the National Electric  
13 Safety Code, and therefore the impacts are small.

14 The second area of concern is health  
15 affects due to chronic exposure to electro-magnetic  
16 fields. This has not been characterized as to being  
17 small, moderate or large, and was not in the Generic  
18 Environmental Impact Statement and is not for this  
19 Supplement 5. This is still an open scientific issue.  
20 Among other agencies, the National Institute of  
21 Environmental Health Sciences, continue to review this  
22 issue. Their latest finding, as you can see up there,  
23 was that health studies do not show sufficient  
24 evidence of an affect but they recognize that this is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a continuing scientific area or research, and it's  
2 preliminary to write it off as a small impact.

3 Radiological impacts are of significant  
4 concern, not just for the people that live here, but  
5 to everybody that's associated with radiological  
6 sources. Although radiological impacts were a  
7 Category I issue in the Generic Environmental Impact  
8 Statement, impact determined to be small at all sites,  
9 it's important that we review what those evaluations  
10 involved.

11 For the Generic Environmental Impact  
12 Statement Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 were specifically  
13 evaluated. The areas that were evaluated were  
14 radiation exposures to the public and occupational  
15 health exposures. All exposures at the time were  
16 found to be below regulatory standards.

17 What we did, both Florida Power and Light  
18 and the NRC review, was to evaluate those estimates  
19 since that time and monitoring data that not only  
20 Florida Power and Light does for the effluent program,  
21 but the Florida Department of Health does for the  
22 ambient environment outside of the plant site, and we  
23 also looked at the radiological release reports.  
24 These have all demonstrated that radiation exposures

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to the public continue to be within regulatory limits.  
2 Therefore impacts are considered to be small.

3 There are a number of areas in the  
4 socioeconomic arena that require site specific  
5 analyses, first deal with housing and public utility  
6 impact during operation. Turkey Point Units 3 and 4  
7 are in high population areas, without land growth  
8 restrictions, with adequate water supply and sewage  
9 capacity, and any population increase associated with  
10 relicensing of the plant would be fully within the  
11 existing capacity of these utilities.

12 Off-site land use and transportation is  
13 another area of concern. This deals mostly with land  
14 use changes that might occur due to increased  
15 population size associated with relicensing as well as  
16 any changes in tax payments that would result from  
17 relicensing. Basically, the tax payment situation for  
18 Turkey Point is that it's less than two percent of  
19 Miami-Dade's budget and is not considered to be a  
20 significant impact.

21 Historic and archeological resources is  
22 another area of concern. Site specific evaluation is  
23 required. We consulted with the State Historic  
24 Preservation Office. There have been and are no known

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 historic or archeological resources at the Turkey  
2 Point site.

3 While we can't make that statement about  
4 the transmission corridors, before any ground  
5 disturbance will occur within those transmission  
6 corridors a ground survey will be conducted to  
7 determine whether any of these resources are present.

8 The final area of socioeconomic is  
9 environmental justice, which is an executive order  
10 requiring that Federal action should not  
11 disproportionately affect minority or low income  
12 population.

13 We did a mapping exercise to identify  
14 where the minority and low income populations occur  
15 within an 80 kilometer radius of the plant and  
16 evaluated whether adverse impacts could occur  
17 disproportionately in those groups. The answer was,  
18 there were no disproportionate impacts. So this is  
19 considered a small impact area.

20 Next area is impact on ground water use  
21 and quality. Turkey Point does not withdraw water  
22 directly from the ground water, but does use  
23 approximately 100 gallons per minute of ground water  
24 from the Newton Treatment Plant that does withdraw  
25 from the Biscayne Aquifer. However, operations do not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 contribute to salt water intrusion. All of the ground  
2 water flow in the area is to the southeast going  
3 toward Biscayne Bay and Card Sound and does not  
4 intrude back into the portions of the Biscayne Aquifer  
5 that are used for drinking water.

6 Another area requiring site specific  
7 evaluation is threatened and endangered species. As  
8 I'm sure everyone here knows, there are numerous  
9 Federal and State listed species associated both with  
10 the Turkey Point plant site and with the transmission  
11 line corridors.

12 To evaluate this, this action -- the  
13 impacts in this area are evaluated by the two Federal  
14 agencies that have responsibility for threatened and  
15 endangered species. Consultation has been completed  
16 with the National Marine Fishery Service for the  
17 species under their purview and they have identified  
18 that relicensing would not adversely affect any listed  
19 species that they have responsibility for. The NRC is  
20 still in the consultation process with U.S. Fish and  
21 Wild Life Service regarding the species that they're  
22 responsible for, but the assessment thus far is that  
23 there would be no significant adverse impact.  
24 Therefore, a preliminary conclusion is that impacts in  
25 this area would be considered to be small.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Another area of concern is environmental  
2 impacts to uranium fuel cycle itself. These are all  
3 Category I issues in the GEIS. Impacts were found to  
4 be small at all plants. The review of new and  
5 significant information that was conducted, both by  
6 Florida Power and Light and by the NRC and during the  
7 scoping process, did not identify any information that  
8 would lead to a conclusion other than that presented  
9 in the GEIS.

10 Same thing for environmental impacts of  
11 decommissioning. Again, this was -- all of the issues  
12 associated with this area are generic and were found  
13 to be small at all plants. There was no new and  
14 significant information again provided by Florida  
15 Power and Light's process, the NRC's process or the  
16 scoping process that would change that conclusion.

17 There were three areas that were brought  
18 up during the scoping meeting that did present  
19 themselves as potentially new and significant. These  
20 included radiological impact on human health, noise  
21 and visual impact on Biscayne National Park, and the  
22 plant's ability to cope with severe weather.

23 Taking these in order. Radiological  
24 impacts on human health, there were two primary issues  
25 raised there. One was strontium 90 occurrence and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 levels in, among other things, teeth, and the apparent  
2 increase in cancer incidents rates, both nationwide  
3 and in this area.

4 Strontium 90 monitoring data doses to the  
5 public from Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 were within  
6 regulatory standards at the time that the GEIS was  
7 issued and continue to be within regulatory standards  
8 today.

9 Strontium 90 concentrations that have been  
10 observed in teeth, both in Florida and elsewhere, are  
11 entirely consistent with the continued presence of  
12 strontium 90 in the soil due to fall-out from nuclear  
13 weapons testing that continued through the 1980's.

14 The National Cancer Institute have  
15 documented that there has been an apparent increase in  
16 lifetime cancer rates during the last decade of the  
17 last century. That's nice to say now, it's the last  
18 century. But they attribute this to increase  
19 longevity. When they standardized the cancer rates  
20 against longevity, they found that for most cancers  
21 the incident rate was declining and for some the  
22 incident rate was stable.

23 Specifically with regard to cancer rates  
24 in association with nuclear power plants, the United  
25 States Congress asked the National Cancer Institute to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       conduct a study of mortality rates around nuclear  
2       plants. They selected 52 plants including Turkey  
3       Point. They were not able to causally link death due  
4       to leukemia or any other cancers in the nearby  
5       population to the operations of these plants.

6               The second area for new and significant,  
7       potentially new and significant information was with  
8       regard to the noise and visual impacts of Turkey Point  
9       Units 3 and 4 on the Biscayne National Park. The  
10      important aspect that we had to address in this  
11      situation is that there are four plants at Turkey  
12      Point, two of which are fossil and outside the purview  
13      of this relicensing review and outside of anything  
14      that the NRC has to say about the operation of the  
15      fossil plant. These fossil plants have the two large  
16      stacks, the 400 foot stacks, that are associated with  
17      those plants, as well as the oil storage tanks that  
18      are actually most visible from Biscayne National Park.  
19      They also have the bulk of the lighting that is of  
20      concern to the national park.

21              The incremental impact from changing Units  
22      3 and 4, wiping them out or putting camouflage on  
23      them, would be virtually undetectable. In other  
24      words, they fall into the small category. This isn't  
25      to say that there are no impacts from the plant

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       itself, including the fossil plants. The incremental  
2       impacts were considered to be small. And because of  
3       that, and like I mentioned, mitigation of those  
4       impacts would not be detectable enough to be  
5       warranted.

6               However, the NRC does recognize that this  
7       is a potential issue for the site in general and has  
8       encouraged Florida Power and Light to meet with the  
9       park service to work out ways that their concerns can  
10      be accommodated.

11              The final area, were plants designed for  
12      severe weather, particularly whether the plants could  
13      survive weather that's more severe than the design  
14      basis accident. That review was carried out by  
15      Florida Power and Light and the NRC and the conclusion  
16      was that the margin of safety was adequate to provide  
17      protection under the most severe weather conditions  
18      that would be expected for the site.

19              The heart of the environmental impact  
20      analysis process is an analysis of alternatives to the  
21      proposed action. The proposed action here is to  
22      relicense the plant. There are a number of  
23      alternatives that are most pertinent to the Turkey  
24      Point site. These include the no action alternative,  
25      which is no relicensing, the plant continues

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 operations for as long as their current license is  
2 good, and decommissioning after that current term  
3 expires.

4 We also examined four alternative energy  
5 sources that are pertinent to the plant site. These  
6 include coal, natural gas, oil and the construction of  
7 a new nuclear facility to replace the existing nuclear  
8 facility. We also evaluated purchased power and a  
9 combination of alternatives. These are evaluated in  
10 detail.

11 We also evaluated a number of other  
12 alternatives, but not to the depth of detail of the  
13 ones I talked about in the previous slide. These  
14 include a host of alternative power sources such as  
15 hydro power or wind power or solar power, delayed  
16 retirement and utility sponsored conservation.

17 The preliminary conclusions from that  
18 analysis was that the alternatives to relicensing  
19 impacts range from small to large, depending upon the  
20 alternative and depending upon the impact area. The  
21 four impact areas where there were other than small  
22 impacts dealt with socioeconomics, land disturbance,  
23 visual impacts and atmospheric emission impacts on  
24 Biscayne National Park and general impacts resulting  
25 from atmospheric emissions.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Charlie. Let's  
2 go to Mike Snodderly to talk about postulated  
3 accidents and we will be back to answer the question  
4 about current technology.

5 Mike?

6 MR. SNODDERLY: Thank you, Chip.

7 As Chip said, this evening I'm going to be  
8 speaking to you about postulated accidents. My name  
9 is Mike Snodderly. I appreciate your interest in the  
10 Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

11 To give you a little background on myself.  
12 I'm a reactor systems engineer in the Probabilistic  
13 Safety Assessment Branch in the Office of Nuclear  
14 Reactor Regulations. I'll be describing our review of  
15 the environmental impact of postulated accidents  
16 during the license renewal period.

17 During our review we considered two  
18 classes of events, design basis and severe. Both of  
19 these classes of events have been shown that there's  
20 no undue risk to the public health and safety because  
21 core damage is either prevented or the probability of  
22 such event has been shown to be small.

23 Let's first discuss design basis events,  
24 which are postulated events that a plant is designed  
25 and built to withstand without allowing core damage.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 For example, the plant has been designed with core  
2 cooling systems to accommodate an instantaneous break  
3 of the largest reactor coolant pump -- I'm sorry --  
4 reactor coolant pipe along with the loss of one power  
5 train. The accident at Three Mile Island reaffirmed  
6 that core damage is possible.

7 We refer to postulated events with core  
8 damage as severe accidents. These events are  
9 primarily due to a failure of core cooling systems and  
10 generally involve a combination of multiple hardware  
11 failures and human error.

12 The Nuclear Regulatory Commission set out  
13 to verify that the risk from this class of events was  
14 a small fraction when compared to risks that we are  
15 generally exposed to, such as driving, swimming,  
16 flying or generating electricity from coal. Small  
17 fraction has been defined as one-tenth of one percent.

18 To accomplish this goal the NRC requested  
19 that each existing plant perform an individual plant  
20 examination. This examination has evolved into a  
21 probabilistic safety assessment. The results of these  
22 examinations have confirmed that U.S. nuclear power  
23 plants, including Turkey Point, are consistent with  
24 the Commission's safety goals and that the frequency  
25 of core damage events are extremely unlikely.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Design basis events were not shown to be  
2 significant contributors to risk. This is expected,  
3 because the plant has been designed to withstand the  
4 consequences of these events.

5           The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has  
6 concluded on a generic basis that the existing design  
7 basis events are appropriate for the period of  
8 extended operation. Because it was concluded on a  
9 generic basis, it is considered a Category I issue.

10           The risks from severe events was assessed  
11 in a Generic Environmental Impact Statement for  
12 license renewal of nuclear plants. The study  
13 concluded that the risk from individual nuclear power  
14 plants is small and represents only a small fraction  
15 of the risk to which the public is exposed from other  
16 sources.

17           We do evaluate whether there are any cost  
18 beneficial safety improvements that need to be  
19 implemented as part of license renewal. We refer to  
20 these potential improvements as severe accident  
21 mitigation alternatives, or SAMA.

22           The following analogy may help to  
23 understand this evaluation. Most homes have smoke  
24 detectors installed to one family if there is a fire.  
25 Still greater protection for the family could be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 achieved by installing an automatic sprinkler system.  
2 A system of this type would probably cost thousands of  
3 dollars and few homeowners install these systems.  
4 Those homeowners have judged that the overall risk  
5 from fires is not so high as to warrant spending the  
6 money it would take to install sprinklers.

7 Now the point I'm trying to make here is  
8 that we all make conscience decisions in our lives  
9 about risks and the costs associated with making  
10 safety improvements. We realize that the decisions  
11 that we're making here impact a great deal of South  
12 Florida. But I just want to try to give you some  
13 prospective that in all of us, we make decisions  
14 concerning risks in our lives and what's acceptable  
15 and what's not acceptable. And we're going to do that  
16 too in this process, so we're going to consider costs.

17 The purpose of the SAMA evaluation is to  
18 insure that plant changes that reduce the risks  
19 associated with severe events are identified and  
20 assessed. We consider alternatives that either reduce  
21 the likelihood that an event will occur, or that  
22 reduce the consequences of an event. The alternative  
23 can be in the form of hardware changes, procedure  
24 improvements, training and so on. So this is a very  
25 broad search.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           This is not the first time plant changes  
2           that reduce the risk associated with severe events  
3           have been considered. After the accident at Three  
4           Mile Island, licensees were requested to perform  
5           systematic examinations to identify plant specific  
6           vulnerabilities to severe events that could be fixed  
7           with low cost improvements.

8           As a result of this examination, Turkey  
9           Point has made many improvements to the plant. So the  
10          evaluation we have performed in support of this  
11          Environmental Impact Statement is a reaffirmation that  
12          previous examinations are still sufficient when one  
13          considers the period of extended operation.

14          So when Turkey Point did their original  
15          individual plant examination after the incident at  
16          Three Mile Island, they came out with a certain core  
17          damage frequency. As a result of improvements that  
18          they made to the plant, procedural hardware fixes and  
19          subsequent evaluations that they made in 1993, '95 and  
20          1997, now that frequency has been reduced by about a  
21          factor of twenty, due to those improvements. So the  
22          fact that we may not find many cost effective changes  
23          would support that the current evaluation that we have  
24          undertaken was sufficient. So we don't expect to find  
25          a lot of cost beneficial changes.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           So let's look at how the SAMA process was  
2 applied specifically to Turkey Point.

3           Improvements that either did not apply to  
4 Turkey Point or had already been implemented, were  
5 eliminated. Then each remaining improvement was  
6 assessed and assigned a level of risk reduction and an  
7 estimated cost. Risk reduction was converted into a  
8 dollar value to allow a comparison between the  
9 benefits of the risk reduction and the cost.

10           167 candidates were identified for  
11 subsequent evaluation. Of these, 64 were already  
12 implemented as part of the individual plant  
13 examination process, all on the licensee's own  
14 initiative. 27 were not applicable to Turkey Point.

15           In the United States we have two types of  
16 reactor designs; one, a pressurized water reactor and  
17 one a boiler water reactor. Plant improvements that  
18 are applicable to a boiling water reactor would not be  
19 applicable to a pressurized water reactor, one such as  
20 Turkey Point. So there's where the majority of that  
21 27 possible improvements that were not applicable to  
22 Turkey Point.

23           More detailed evaluations were performed  
24 for the 76 remaining improvements, resulting in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 determination that none of the potential improvements  
2 had a favorable cost benefit ratio.

3 In summary, the results -- to sum up the  
4 results. Our overall conclusion is that additional  
5 plant changes to mitigate severe accidents are not  
6 required as part of license renewal. As I said,  
7 that's not an unexpected finding because we're simply  
8 reaffirming that the search for vulnerabilities that  
9 we've done since the accident at Three Mile Island are  
10 sufficient for the period of license renewal.

11 Thank you for your attention. I don't  
12 know if you will take questions now.

13 MR. CAMERON: We're going to hear from Jim  
14 in conclusion and then we'll go out for questions.

15 Jim?

16 MR. WILSON: Okay, to summarize, the  
17 impacts of license renewal are small in all impact  
18 areas. In comparison, the impacts of alternatives to  
19 license renewal range from small to large. Therefore,  
20 the staff's preliminary conclusion is the license  
21 renewal option is worth maintaining for consideration  
22 by energy making decision makers.

23 To recap the current status. We issued  
24 the Environmental Impact Statement for Turkey Point in  
25 June of this year. We're currently in the middle of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 a comment period that ends on September 6th, and we  
2 anticipate addressing the comments that we receive  
3 during the comment period, finalizing the document and  
4 issuing it before the end of January, 2002.

5 This slide gives you information on how to  
6 access the Environmental Impact Statement for Turkey  
7 Point. You can call me at the number there and I'll  
8 send you a copy. The document is at the Homestead  
9 Library across the street. It's available on the web  
10 and the address is here on the slide.

11 The final slide is the addresses for how  
12 to provide public comments on the Environmental Impact  
13 Statement for Turkey Point license renewal. You can  
14 send them in writing to the address given here. You  
15 can send them to the E-mail address. You can appear  
16 in Rockville and deliver your comments in person, or  
17 you can give them at tonight's public meeting.

18 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thanks, Jim.

19 Charlie, maybe you'd be a good person to  
20 initially address this gentleman's question on, are we  
21 using the latest technology to evaluate environmental  
22 impacts. I think there was some implications that  
23 some of the things that you said that tell how  
24 technology is used, but could you address that?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MR. BRANDT:    Do you still have that  
2 question?

3                   MR. CAMERON:  If you have anything more to  
4 offer, then please do.

5                   MR. BRANDT:  What we used was all of the  
6 current information, as well as the information that  
7 was presented in the Generic Environmental Impact  
8 Statement.  That's what I wanted to emphasize with the  
9 point about the evaluation of new and significant  
10 information.

11                   We go through a process, the NRC goes  
12 through a process to stay up to date on all of the  
13 research with regard to environmental impacts,  
14 detection, capabilities, that sort of thing, that we  
15 pay attention to.  The Florida Power and Light does  
16 the same sort of thing.  So we're not relying on  
17 technology or analyses or data solely that was  
18 generated prior to 1996.  The stuff we did for Turkey  
19 Point uses the best available current data.

20                   MR. HANCOCK:  Do you use the technology  
21 that was not in general use, say ten years ago?

22                   MR. BRANDT:       Our analysis doesn't  
23 specifically use what you might call technology.  We  
24 don't go out and collect environmental monitoring  
25 samples, for example.  We don't collect tissue samples

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 or soil samples or air samples. That's what the  
2 Florida Department of Health does, and that's the kind  
3 of technology that's up to date and current. That's  
4 what they are using.

5 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you.

6 How about questions on some of the  
7 findings? Joette, and if you could just identify  
8 yourself for us.

9 MS. LORION: Joette Lorion, and I had a  
10 question actually, I guess I had two questions. So  
11 Jim, I think could answer the first one. And when you  
12 said the impacts are small, like I read your report  
13 and I saw the impacts of building a solar plant, which  
14 isn't my idea of how to use solar, but were large, but  
15 the impacts of bringing this old nuclear plant are  
16 small. That sounds very strange.

17 So then I found this quote in your -- and  
18 maybe you can explain this to me, because I don't  
19 understand it. In your summary and conclusion it says  
20 that "Table 9-1 says that the significance of the  
21 environmental affects of the proposed actions are  
22 small for the impact categories." And then in  
23 parenthesis it said, "except for collective off-site  
24 radiological impact from the fuel cycle and from high

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 level waste and spent fuel disposal for which a single  
2 significance level was not assigned."

3 Well, I don't understand what that means.  
4 Does that mean you're not looking at nuclear waste and  
5 radiation and things that are really environmental  
6 impacts? Could somebody just explain what that means?

7 MR. CAMERON: Okay, Charlie, are you going  
8 to answer?

9 MR. BRANDT: Well, I'll take a shot at it.

10 In the Generic Environmental Impact  
11 Statement, both of those impact areas or issues are  
12 what they call again, Category I. In other words,  
13 they apply to all sites and the impact level is the  
14 same at all sites. However, they were not comfortable  
15 with assigning a small, moderate or large impact to  
16 those two areas. The reasons being there is not a  
17 scientific consensus on essentially how to do and the  
18 value of large population doses, small doses over a  
19 large population over a very long period of time.  
20 Both of those analyses deal with populations that are  
21 essentially without bounds. EPA has taken a shot at  
22 doing this for the repository and they have estimates  
23 of cancer risk over 1000 years that range over three  
24 orders of magnitude.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           So the ability to -- the meaning for that  
2 means that there is no single significance level  
3 that's been assigned to this. It's essentially  
4 uncharacterized.

5           MS. LORION: Well then if I understand  
6 you, some of the most significant environmental  
7 impacts, such as storing nuclear waste right now on  
8 site at Turkey Point and that you could have a breach  
9 of that -- I mean, are those not assessed?

10          MR. BRANDT: No, storage on site was  
11 assessed. It's the storage off site at, for example,  
12 the nuclear waste repository, that may go into Yuca  
13 Mountain eventually. That part is not characterized.

14          MS. LORION: So all of that is assessed,  
15 including radiation from nuclear accidents and it's  
16 considered small?

17          MR. BRANDT: Yes. The on site stuff is,  
18 yes.

19          MS. LORION: Okay, and solar is considered  
20 large. I just want to make sure I have you right.

21          MR. BRANDT: Again, not all aspects of  
22 solar or any of the other alternatives are large.  
23 Only a few of them are considered large. Solar was  
24 large because essentially it involved new  
25 construction.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. LORION: Right, but it doesn't involve  
2 nuclear waste and that's what I'm -- I just find it  
3 very bizarre. So okay, I think I understand it, but  
4 I don't.

5 The other question I have is on small --  
6 wait a minute, I'm trying to remember what you called  
7 -- severe accident mitigation alternatives, which  
8 shows the young man doing all the design basis  
9 accident stuff. I notice in the environmental report  
10 that FPL did that they looked at -- or I believe they  
11 had in it as a SAMA, a -- of the reactor pressure  
12 vessel, but that was not in your environmental report.  
13 And Turkey Point has a history of issues with the  
14 reactor pressure vessels. Being that this is an old  
15 plant and that they may have to be in the old -- when  
16 we figure out how to do it and how much it will cost  
17 and everything -- why was that taken out of the  
18 Environmental Impact Statement?

19 MR. SNODDERLY: I don't believe it was  
20 taken out.

21 MS. LORION: I couldn't find it. So if  
22 you could tell me where it is.

23 MR. SNODDERLY: We evaluated all the --  
24 you're talking about it was one of the 167  
25 alternatives?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. LORION: It was in the environmental  
2 report that FPL did, but I could not find it in the  
3 Environmental Impact Statement.

4 MR. SNODDERLY: Chip, perhaps it could be  
5 easier if I could -- if you could show me, you know,  
6 where in the environmental report, because everything  
7 that was -- all the alternatives that were described  
8 in the environmental report were addressed and are in  
9 the Environmental Impact Statement. I didn't want to  
10 go and reiterate every one of the alternatives, so I  
11 broke it down into categories, things that were  
12 similar.

13 MS. LORION: But do you know what category  
14 it would be in so I could find it?

15 MR. SNODDERLY: Yeah.

16 MS. LORION: Okay, we'll talk about that  
17 later.

18 MR. SNODDERLY: To talk about I think your  
19 specific concern about vessel and annealing the vessel  
20 and annealing or the need for annealing will be  
21 addressed at a certain point based on the -- of the  
22 vessel, and that's going to be continued to be tracked  
23 as part of the current design basis of the plant.  
24 They have samples within the -- on the outside of the  
25 vessel that are being exposed to neutrons and are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 becoming embrittle. Those samples then come out and  
2 are tested to see how embrittled the vessel is  
3 becoming. Once it gets to a certain limit, whether  
4 that happens in the next five years, ten years, or in  
5 the period of extended operation, that's controlled by  
6 the current operating license. They're going to be  
7 watching that embrittlement and when they get to a  
8 certain point, they can't go any further and they'll  
9 have to anneal. Now if that's in the period of  
10 extended operation, they're still going to have to --

11 MS. LORION: But that might make the  
12 alternative of license renewable economically  
13 unfeasible. Do you see what I'm getting at?

14 MR. SNODDERLY: Okay --

15 MS. LORION: If you had to do that. Plus,  
16 they haven't tested. They were supposed to test many  
17 years ago and then test in the year 2000. They never  
18 answered my question as to when they plan to test the  
19 sample in the reactor vessel which would influence the  
20 decision.

21 MR. SNODDERLY: Chip, I think we can take  
22 it as -- it's on the docket as far as when they have  
23 to take those samples and test them, and I think that  
24 that's something that we could -- I don't know if we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 address it in the appendix or take it as a comment or  
2 what you --

3 MR. CAMERON: Let's do two things at a  
4 minimum with Joette's questions. One, if you could  
5 have an opportunity to talk to Joette about how the  
6 annealing issue in the environmental --

7 MR. SNODDERLY: It's an operating -- I  
8 think --

9 MR. CAMERON: Secondly, I think that  
10 although Joette framed the last part in the form of a  
11 question, I think it comes through pretty clear as a  
12 comment that the NRC should evaluate -- you're shaking  
13 your head affirmatively.

14 MR. SNODDERLY: I'm sorry. Joette, and  
15 perhaps it sounds like you have had some  
16 correspondence between yourself and FPL or the NRC and  
17 maybe we can look at that, but I think the question  
18 you're asking is, is something that has been  
19 considered as part of the operating design basis and  
20 we should be able to get that answer for you.

21 MR. CAMERON: Okay. We're going to mark  
22 that down to make sure that we provide an answer to  
23 Joette on that one.

24 Do we have other questions at this point?

25 Yes, sir?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   MR. MACFARLANE:     My name is Andrew  
2 Macfarlane. I'm a professor at FIU. And I had a  
3 couple of different questions.

4                   One question that I had I guess is related  
5 to this issue of strontium 90 which was discussed.  
6 And I know that from your effluents you have radiation  
7 levels that are specified that the effluents have to  
8 have below a certain level of radioactivity, but I was  
9 wondering if anybody could clarify if strontium 90 is  
10 actually released in the effluents. That's the first  
11 question I had.

12                  And the second question is, the national  
13 high level waste repository is a bust and it's not  
14 clear to me how that is going to progress and I  
15 wondered if anybody had any comments on what the  
16 impact of that would be on the operations for Turkey  
17 Point, if in fact it didn't get licensed.

18                  MR. CAMERON:    Okay. Two questions. One  
19 is related to the strontium 90 issue which is  
20 specifically framed in a monitoring context. Trish,  
21 would you answer, can you answer that? And this is  
22 Patricia Milligan. She's NRC staff, certified health  
23 physicist.

24                  Trish?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. MILLIGAN: Sure. Our licensee's file  
2 with us an annual effluent report every year and they  
3 characterize the quantity of the isotopes that are  
4 released in the waste stream and all the types of  
5 isotopes that are released in the waste stream. And  
6 when I went back and looked in preparation for this  
7 meeting at the effluent released from Turkey Point,  
8 some years there was some strontium found in the waste  
9 in very, very small quantities, some years the  
10 strontium 90 was undetectable, the quantities were so  
11 low.

12 So what's being released is well below  
13 regulatory limits and we're very comfortable with the  
14 licensee's reports. We have inspectors that inspect  
15 the effluent process. We have resident inspectors  
16 that live there and then part of the inspection  
17 process from the regions, the inspectors go out and  
18 examine those issues.

19 MR. MACFARLANE: Is that -- (Inaudible.)

20 MS. MILLIGAN: It's liquid, it's gas, it's  
21 solidified waste, that licensee's ship to burial  
22 sites. We know what leaves in terms of waste and  
23 effluents from our licensees, and that's required to  
24 be reported annually. And that information is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 available, I believe on our website, but I have to  
2 double check.

3 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Trish. And for  
4 your second question, Mr. Macfarlane, we're going to  
5 have Chris Grimes address that.

6 MR. GRIMES: Yes. I'm going to answer the  
7 issue about the national repository in two parts.

8 The first part is from a national  
9 legislative strategy. Congress has established that  
10 they want a national repository and a place to collect  
11 all the high level radioactive materials. And so from  
12 a national strategy prospective, a repository is  
13 desirable because there's more to nuclear waste than  
14 just fuel rods. There are other sources of nuclear  
15 waste that need to be consolidated and put into a safe  
16 place.

17 From the standpoint of the practicality of  
18 if it doesn't get licensed but it just keeps going and  
19 going and going, what becomes the viability of an  
20 extended license? And the answer is that there are  
21 spent fuel storage designs that we license for on-site  
22 use, and even though it wouldn't be as convenient to  
23 continue to store them on the site, there is  
24 sufficient space in the design to store spent fuel for  
25 extended periods of time and then it becomes again,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 like the extended plant operation, a financial  
2 consideration in terms of the cost of maintaining a  
3 facility that is not only operating but serving as a  
4 spent fuel storage facility.

5 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you very much.  
6 Any other questions before we go on for public  
7 comment.

8 Yes, sir?

9 MR. SANDERS: Charles Sanders. I'm a  
10 resident of South Miami.

11 When you refer to the radiation regulatory  
12 limits, when were those standards established, and I'd  
13 also like to know how old is the data upon which those  
14 standards were based?

15 MR. CAMERON: We'll go to Trish Milligan  
16 again to answer.

17 MS. MILLIGAN: In I think it was the early  
18 '90's we revised Part 20 and we brought those  
19 standards in to line with International Committee. So  
20 those standards were revised approximately ten years  
21 ago. Is there another part of your question?

22 MR. SANDERS: Well, my concern is that any  
23 time we review acceptable standards of any kind of a  
24 lethal substance, whether it's nuclear or chemical, it  
25 seems to go down dramatically every time it's looked

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 at and ten years seems like a long time to me. So I  
2 wonder how valid those standards are today.

3 MS. MILLIGAN: The International Committee  
4 on Radiation Protection issues reports, and they  
5 issued ICRP Report Number 60 a few years ago that  
6 looked at a lot of new information that's come out,  
7 information from Chernobyl, revised information based  
8 on the Hiroshima bomb during World War II, and the  
9 standards that were revised were smaller or lower but  
10 not significantly or an enormously different between  
11 the standards here looking at the body of information  
12 that's out there. And as we go on in society and as  
13 we gather more and more time from things like  
14 Chernobyl, we can actually get an opportunity to look  
15 at this in long term impact as opposed to short term,  
16 gather more information.

17 So we're very comfortable that the  
18 standards that we have in place well protect the  
19 safety and health, not only of the public but also our  
20 workers at our licensed facilities.

21 MR. CAMERON: You are quite comfortable  
22 because I think you said that we continue to evaluate  
23 the work of the ICRP and --

24 MS. MILLIGAN: And many members of the NRC  
25 staff participate in these International Committees as

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they're evaluating. So we are part of that process as  
2 well.

3 MR. CAMERON: Okay, let's go to Joette for  
4 one more question and then we'll --

5 MS. LORION: Yes, now that you're on the  
6 radiation issue, I did have one more question.

7 When you did your evaluation and came up  
8 with the small category, did you look at biological  
9 magnification and bio-cumulation in the food chain and  
10 in the sediments in the cooling canals? And I know  
11 you say they're a closed system but they're really dug  
12 into porous limestone rock and there is a ground water  
13 connection. So I wondered if you checked that at all.

14 MR. BRANDT: Yes. As part of Florida  
15 Department of Health's monitoring program, they do  
16 monitor fish and shellfish in Biscayne Bay. So they  
17 do monitor that pathway, and that's part of what this  
18 conclusion is based on.

19 MS. LORION: Okay, thank you.

20 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank all of you.  
21 We're going to move into our second segment, and we  
22 have some governmental officials with us and I'd like  
23 to ask Commissioner Dennis Moss of the County  
24 Commissioners for Miami-Dade to please address us.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   COMMISSIONER MOSS: Good evening. First  
2 of all, welcome to the NRC. And you know you're  
3 important when you travel with your own logo.

4                   A gentleman stated earlier, he mentioned  
5 the word reaffirm, and I came by this evening to  
6 reaffirm, to reaffirm my support for Turkey Point, our  
7 good neighbors at Turkey Point. Having said that, we  
8 don't need any other nuclear neighbors in this area,  
9 but our good neighbors at Turkey Point, and they've  
10 been good for this community over the years.

11                  Now of course, issues dealing with the  
12 Tooth Fairy Project and other issues were brought to  
13 my attention and when that happened, you know, it  
14 caused some concern on my part. But I was able to get  
15 a hold of information that I feel comfortable with, if  
16 you will, that that's not an issue that needs to be  
17 concerned about right now.

18                  Having said that, I'm sure that the  
19 Commission, the esteemed body who has responsibility  
20 for oversight on these kinds of issues, will continue  
21 to monitor these kinds of things and in the future if  
22 there are any concerns in reference to the health and  
23 safety to the people of this community, I'm sure that  
24 that information will be brought to the fold.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   But having said that, once again I just  
2                   want to reaffirm my support for Turkey Point. They've  
3                   been good neighbors in this community for many, many  
4                   years and I want to certainly ask that you renew, if  
5                   you will, their license so they continue to provide  
6                   power to this community.

7                   Thank you very much for this opportunity.

8                   MR. CAMERON:     Thank you, Commissioner  
9                   Moss.

10                  Next we're going to go to Councilman Sean  
11                  Fletcher.

12                  COUNCILMAN FLETCHER:     Good evening  
13                  everyone. First of all, I'd like to thank the NRC for  
14                  the opportunity to be here this evening.

15                  As a Councilman for the City of Homestead  
16                  and an employee of Florida Power and Light, I know  
17                  firsthand how things happen and work out at the plant.  
18                  The safe operations of the facility out there just  
19                  continue to be in the foresight on a day in/day out  
20                  basis. I've worked at the facility for several years  
21                  now as the environmental compliance coordinator there  
22                  at the site, so I know exactly, exactly how the safety  
23                  issues are handled there at the site through Mr.  
24                  Hovey's guidance. He's even insured, through further  
25                  training and development classes throughout the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 facilities that you know, we continue to move forward  
2 on the safe operation of the plant.

3 I'm here tonight on behalf of the City of  
4 Homestead though, because the plant is a necessity to  
5 our local economy as well, and we have worked with the  
6 City on many different issues throughout the years and  
7 the continued support of this facility of Turkey Point  
8 to the City is just great and needed very much.

9 Thank you very much.

10 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Councilman  
11 Fletcher, for coming down to talk to us tonight.

12 We're going to go to Captain Kennedy,  
13 Captain Scott Kennedy at this point, and then we're  
14 going to go to Joette Lorion and Joe Wasilewski.

15 Captain Kennedy?

16 CAPTAIN KENNEDY: I appreciate the time.  
17 I'm Captain Scott Kennedy. Most of the people in here  
18 know me. I've been with the City Police Department  
19 for twenty-two years. I'm here to show my support for  
20 the renewal of the license of the Turkey Point  
21 facility.

22 I would like to comment concerning the  
23 relationship as far as a good neighbor that the Turkey  
24 Point facility has had with our police department over  
25 the years. It's been very instrumental in some of the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 training. They've been very open. They've been very  
2 available as far as providing their facilities, their  
3 firearms range, some of their training houses and some  
4 cross training of their personnel with our personnel,  
5 some training as far as tactical -- I should mention  
6 that I'm the tactical commander for our local SWAT  
7 Team. We work closely with Miami-Dade SWAT Team in  
8 some training exercises at that facility. They're  
9 always very open, very supportive. They're a good  
10 neighbor. They've provided us with some facilities  
11 such as the firing range. Our's was destroyed shortly  
12 after Hurricane Andrew. They've been so gracious as  
13 to let us use their training facility on a regular  
14 basis for firearms requalification. We probably  
15 utilize the site once a month for tactical training.  
16 Their training house is there that they provide along  
17 with the range qualification courses that they provide  
18 us.

19 In addition, they're very good neighbors  
20 all year long. Through the hurricane season there's  
21 always open communication as far as coordinating  
22 evacuation routes, different things that we're  
23 concerned, obviously the City and that facility, we  
24 have a good communication there. And also when we  
25 have large race events down here with the Homestead

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 motor sports, the traffic fluctuation and impact of  
2 their personnel at shift changes conflicting with the  
3 in-going or out-going traffic flows, it's good to have  
4 that open communication and a good working  
5 relationship with the facility.

6 So on behalf of the police department,  
7 it's very good, even knowing that they aren't  
8 specifically within our jurisdiction, they're actually  
9 about two to three miles outside of our jurisdiction,  
10 in my twenty-two years of experience I've had a very  
11 good close working relationship with all the personnel  
12 out there. They've been very supportive in wanting to  
13 interact with local, State and Federal law  
14 enforcement. And I would like to affirm my support  
15 for renewal of the license.

16 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Captain  
17 Kennedy.

18 Next we're going to go to Joette Lorion  
19 and then Joe Wasilewski of Natural Selections of South  
20 Florida.

21 Joette?

22 MS. LORION: Good evening. My name is  
23 Joette Lorion. I've been living in South Florida for  
24 a little over twenty years now.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I remember when the Chernobyl accident  
2 happened and I read an article. And I think it was  
3 the head of the Atomic Energy Commission in France,  
4 was asked about Chernobyl, and he said, and I never  
5 forgot this, he said, "They forgot it could be  
6 dangerous."

7 And it has always stayed in my mind,  
8 because when I come in this room tonight and I see the  
9 cheerleader like atmosphere and the Boy Scout wings  
10 and everything, I just want to remind you that nuclear  
11 power plants can be dangerous, and that's why you have  
12 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. How dangerous can  
13 they be?

14 Well, government studies stay that a full  
15 scale accident at Turkey Point could cause 29,000  
16 immediate deaths, 4,000 delayed death, cause 43  
17 billion dollars in property damage, and the melt down  
18 of the spent fuel pool, the worse case accident,  
19 Government documents in the spent fuel pool can  
20 contaminate 224 square miles radius of the area of  
21 land.

22 So you have to remember that of course, we  
23 want people to operate these plants safely, but there  
24 is always the chance that you could have an accident.  
25 In my opinion, as somebody who's been involved in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 nuclear watch dog process for probably, gosh, longer  
2 than I want to think about, many lawsuits up to the  
3 Supreme Court and back, is that public involvement is  
4 the cornerstone to safer -- I won't admit nuclear  
5 power is safe because it creates nuclear waste which  
6 I can't say is safe because we leave it to future  
7 generations -- but public involvement is very  
8 important.

9           And I must sadly tell you that having been  
10 out of it for awhile and come back in to the NRC  
11 process, I've seen a big change in the Atomic and  
12 Safety Licensing Board process, this relicensing  
13 process, and the big change has been is that they're  
14 going to have meetings like that, meaningful public  
15 involvement where you can have hearings, you can  
16 question them, you can bring up significant issues  
17 about the integrity of the reactor pressure vessel and  
18 things like that, the aging of components, what if a  
19 hurricane hits the plant.

20           The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
21 doesn't want to look at those issues and they denied  
22 me a hearing. They even said in their order that  
23 issues like Everglades restoration, which are a huge  
24 environmental issue in South Florida, do not have to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 be looked at in the licensee's environmental report or  
2 in this Environmental Impact Statement.

3 Now, I'd like to get to some specific  
4 comments on the EIS because I think that's where the  
5 Nuclear Regulatory Commission is really going wrong.  
6 Because I think that their concept of the National  
7 Environmental Policy Act has not evolved at all. I  
8 don't know if they're keeping up with the case law.  
9 I'm not a lawyer but I'm involved in a number of NEPA  
10 cases with people that I work with and I know it quite  
11 well and I know the cases quite well. And I'm very  
12 concerned about this process.

13 First of all, it's a bifurcated process in  
14 which they are going ahead with the whole relicensing  
15 process at the same time they're evaluating the  
16 environmental impact. Well, under NEPA you're  
17 required to take a hard look at environmental impact,  
18 and it's not to be prejudiced, a prejudiced decision  
19 that rubber stamps something you've already decided.  
20 So by going ahead on this track with the relicensing  
21 without evaluating the environmental consequences  
22 first, I personally don't think that that complies  
23 with the National Environmental Policy Act.

24 Also, I don't know -- I asked the question  
25 about analyzing cumulative impacts in the environment

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and I understand you said that State of Florida tests  
2 some fish and different things like that. But I'm not  
3 sure that the kind of analysis you have in your report  
4 is extensive enough to meet the requirements of NEPA  
5 under the cumulative impact requirement.

6 Again, I could find nothing about the  
7 reactor vessel and the integrity and any mitigation  
8 actions or what it would cost to anneal that vessel or  
9 whether that would make it cost prohibitive to go  
10 ahead with this course of action.

11 The study of alternatives I think was very  
12 obscure. As I just said, in the report it says that  
13 solar has a larger environmental impact. And of  
14 course it looked at building a solar field instead of  
15 using solar power on your roof where it's supposed to  
16 be in a small scale application. But even then, solar  
17 power does not create all this nuclear waste that some  
18 of the -- I mean the standard for disposing of it is  
19 ten thousand years. That's the EPA standard to keep  
20 it out of the environment. Some of it's in the  
21 environment for hundreds of thousands of years. That  
22 is being stored right now on site at Turkey Point  
23 because they don't have any place right now to move  
24 it. And until they come up with a solution to that  
25 nuclear waste problem, this is my personal opinion

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 here, I don't think they should be creating that  
2 nuclear waste. But I think in the Environmental  
3 Impact Statement you need to look, and I don't think  
4 it needs to be generic because I think Turkey Point is  
5 a special -- this whole South Florida region is a  
6 special place. I think there is significant new  
7 information that requires a site specific EIS, not  
8 this generic EIS. It's like taking generic medicine.  
9 I had a doctor that would never give me the generic  
10 because, "Here, you have to go get the expensive  
11 stuff."

12 So I think that under NEPA a site specific  
13 EIS that looks at most importantly the Everglades  
14 restoration effort, which was not around when Turkey  
15 Point was built, and I know many of you don't like the  
16 Homestead Air Force Base decision and what's going on,  
17 but you do know that crime risk and the Water Resource  
18 Development Act of 2000 when they passed that Act  
19 which was committing to a 7.8 billion dollar  
20 restoration in South Florida region, they even said  
21 that the use of Homestead Air Base has to be  
22 consistent with Everglades restoration.

23 So I would think that anything that's  
24 going on on future use of Turkey Point or whatever  
25 kind of plant would be an alternative to that, should

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 also be looked at in the context of Everglades  
2 restoration, and I think that's a significant  
3 environmental issue that has a page and a half in that  
4 EIS.

5 I reviewed just yesterday one component of  
6 one small restoration project, a Tamiami Trail little  
7 project. It was this big. The EIS on renewing the  
8 license of Turkey Point that has significant issues is  
9 this big.

10 Now the Everglades restoration document is  
11 4,000 pages. So I think that this EIS is woefully  
12 inadequate in looking at the Everglades restoration  
13 issue.

14 I think I just have a few more things.  
15 One specific thing that I brought up in my hearing  
16 where I was denied a hearing, or my pre-hearing, is  
17 that neither in the Generic Environmental Impact  
18 Statement nor in the Turkey Point supplement do I find  
19 information on a hurricane hitting Turkey Point and  
20 the impact that would have on an aging plant, because  
21 you have to remember, this is not a new plant. We are  
22 part of an experiment here of running a nuclear plant  
23 longer than it has ever run in this country.

24 Now some of you may want to do that.  
25 Maybe I don't, but maybe some of you do. But we all

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 want to know what the risks are environmentally and to  
2 our health and safety of operating that plant. I  
3 personally don't like to fly in old airplanes. Some  
4 of you may not care. Okay, but I think I have a right  
5 to know, you know, what the shape of that plant is  
6 that's in my back yard that can impact my environment,  
7 my home and my family.

8 The Endangered Species Act. I think your  
9 scope is again woefully inadequate because you only  
10 look at the plant site and transmission corridor. An  
11 accident at Turkey Point or a large radiation release  
12 could impact a much larger area. An accident could  
13 definitely impact almost all of the Everglades or a  
14 large part of the central Everglades which has about  
15 64 threatened and endangered species. And I know that  
16 you haven't looked at that.

17 I will be making written comments on this  
18 that will mostly be tailored to I don't think you've  
19 complied with either the spirit or the intent of the  
20 National Environmental Policy Act. And as you know,  
21 a Federal Judge may not agree with that. But if a  
22 Federal Judge would agree with that, that would of  
23 course vacate any decision that was based on something  
24 that does not apply with the Act. And if you're lucky

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I'll be too busy to file a Federal lawsuit, but don't  
2 count on it.

3 Thank you.

4 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Joette.

5 Could we have Joe Wasilewski from Natural  
6 Selections of South Florida?

7 MR. WASOLEWSKI: Good evening, everybody.

8 I'm a wild life biologist in South Florida  
9 since 1973, and work for a contractor who contracts to  
10 Florida Power and Light for the last twelve years.

11 I'm extremely concerned about safety  
12 issues as we are all, not only my family, I even have  
13 a granddaughter down here now. So we're going to grow  
14 her up in hopefully a nice safe atmosphere. In fact,  
15 I think it was more dangerous driving to this meeting  
16 than what I'm hearing about today.

17 The cooling canal system which I've worked  
18 in the last twelve years, I'm sure wasn't designed for  
19 what's happening in there now. I'm sure a lot of you  
20 have heard about the crocodile comeback. Excuse me,  
21 I'm a little hoarse, three shows today at the Parrot  
22 Jungle.

23 Anyway, I'm sure the engineers didn't  
24 design the cooling canal for the crocodile, but the  
25 crocodiles have certainly come in. And as early as

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 last night we got our tenth and eleventh nest for the  
2 year, caught 36 babies that I should be marking right  
3 now, which I will be, and releasing probably in the  
4 morning. And every year we're producing, or the  
5 cooling canal system is producing over 300 crocodile  
6 babies. It's really a very good significant  
7 environmental story.

8 Talking about environmental concerns, I  
9 see regularly in my twelve years, falcons, bald eagles  
10 daily. Standing you can see a manatee, a shark, a  
11 bald eagle and a crocodile, not moving. So it's  
12 really a special place down here.

13 If we were going to talk about building a  
14 nuclear facility I would say no, because you can't  
15 replicate what's there now. But it's already there.  
16 It's working smoothly. With the experts they have  
17 that know their field, I say let it go, give them the  
18 license renewal and go on from there.

19 In fact, talking about environmental  
20 concerns, I didn't really hear -- I don't know if you  
21 folks know the eighteen mile stretch to the Keys,  
22 Florida Power and Light owns a lot of that land and  
23 they're restoring 14,000 plus acres to its natural  
24 resilience. They're restoring the water flow, taking  
25 out exotic injurious plant life and it's coming back.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   So I just want to support the new license.  
2 Thank you.

3                   MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you very much,  
4 Joe.

5                   I'd like to take some people that we  
6 didn't have a chance for this afternoon and then ask  
7 the representatives of Florida Power and Light to talk  
8 to us. I'd like to first go to Mary Finland who is  
9 executive director of the Homestead Chamber of  
10 Commerce. Then we're going to go to Elvira Williams,  
11 Kristy Doyle Bailey, Tim Williams and Kim Sovia.

12                   Mary?

13                   MS. FINLAN: Thank you. First of all, I  
14 feel like we have done this already. I was here in  
15 December and I just wanted to reiterate the stand of  
16 the Board of Directors of the Greater Homestead  
17 Florida City Chamber of Commerce in support of the  
18 license renewal, and a resolution that I will submit.  
19 I won't read here and bore you further but I will  
20 submit it in writing to you here.

21                   And I just, you know, want to stand up  
22 here and say that I live in the community and I work  
23 in the community. We are not a large Chamber of  
24 Commerce, but with a membership of nearly 600 people  
25 we are the largest volunteer organization in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Homestead Florida City community. And as a business  
2 community it would just be unconscionable for the  
3 Chamber of Commerce to not support the renewal of the  
4 license for Turkey Point. I just want to reiterate  
5 that and lay this in your paper records and back it up  
6 with that.

7 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you very much,  
8 Mary. And we'll attach that to the transcript.

9 Is Elvira Williams here?

10 Okay, Kristy Doyle Bailey?

11 MS. BAILEY: Recently many problems have  
12 come to light as a result of the relicensing  
13 activities for Turkey Point.

14 One, there are new and significant  
15 information about the baby teeth study.

16 Two, the commercial airport safety  
17 assessment.

18 Three, the storage of high level waste.

19 Four, the releases of radioactive waste  
20 into the environment.

21 And five, the deterioration of aging plant  
22 safety components.

23 Each of these questions is significant and  
24 deserves study and thoughtful consideration. Rushing  
25 to complete the process and prevent safety hearings is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 not in the public interest. Therefore, the Miami  
2 Group of Sierra Club calls for safety hearings and an  
3 Environmental Impact Statement that studies site  
4 specific health and safety issues.

5 Thank you.

6 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much.

7 Tim Williams?

8 Okay, we'll catch him when he comes back.

9 How about Kim Sovia?

10 MS. SOVIA: I don't think I need the  
11 podium. I'll just stay back here so I can look at  
12 everybody.

13 For the record, my name is Kim Sovia. I  
14 have been a resident of this community, specifically  
15 Homestead, for the last twenty-five years. A little  
16 bit about my professional background.

17 I was with the Department of Defense for  
18 five years and also the present CEO of the Greater  
19 Homestead Florida City Chamber of Commerce. I also  
20 hold numerous board positions within Miami and Dade  
21 County. I've been heavily involved in a lot of the  
22 EIS studies that were done concerning Homestead Air  
23 Force Base closure. I am currently employed by the  
24 world's largest communications company, with --  
25 Broadcasting.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           But I'm here as a concerned citizen in  
2 support of FP&L and Turkey Point. So what I'd like to  
3 do is let you all know that I've had numerous  
4 occasions to work with them on key community  
5 environmental issues affecting South Florida. And  
6 having the cost effective convenience of Turkey Point  
7 has been a huge benefit to Miami and South Dade, and  
8 I'm specifically talking about the cost for  
9 electricity.

10           More importantly, is their on-going strong  
11 commitment to sensitive environmental issues as proven  
12 in FP&L receiving Environmental Business Practices  
13 Award from the Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce. The  
14 Turkey Point property is also a testament to that  
15 commitment since most of the property remains in its  
16 natural habitat.

17           What impresses me the most is their safety  
18 record. Having received numerous superior ratings  
19 through the years from the NRC, plus having been rated  
20 as one of the safest and most reliable nuclear power  
21 plant in the world gives me a very comfortable feeling  
22 having them as a much needed neighbor for the next  
23 twenty years, as they have been for the current  
24 twenty-five that we've been all living together.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           With their record I would like to go on  
2 stating that I am -- excuse me.

3           With their record, I would like to go on  
4 record condoning the development of yet another power  
5 plant when we have one with such a superior record.

6           And in closing, the tremendous economic  
7 impact that they've been to the community, which is  
8 well over 60 million. It's a necessity for the  
9 continued survival of an already endangered community,  
10 economically endangered community. So I implore you  
11 to take a strong look at the renewal license for FP&L  
12 and Turkey Point.

13           Thank you.

14           MR. CAMERON:       Thank you for those  
15 comments.

16           Tim Williams?

17           MR. WILLIAMS:   Hello. My name is Tim  
18 Williams and I'm speaking tonight as an individual, as  
19 a parent and as a resident of the local community all  
20 of my life. I'm actually a fourth generation resident  
21 of this community.

22           I think the Draft EIS so far is an  
23 excellent document that obviously has been well put  
24 together and covers the items required by law.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Two things, however, remain as my most  
2 important reason for supporting the renewal of license  
3 at Florida Power and Light nuclear facility. And  
4 those two things are:

5           Number one, the abundance of locally  
6 generated affordable power. If that wasn't obvious at  
7 the earlier meeting which some of you mentioned that  
8 was already conducted here, that should be painfully  
9 obvious as we see the situation in California. And  
10 also the nuclear power is produced here in an  
11 environmentally sound manner.

12           Number two, what would happen to the local  
13 environment should Florida Power and Light be denied  
14 relicensure? I know some of the folks spoke about  
15 that component of the GEIS.

16           On the first subject my comments are based  
17 on my involvement with Whiteheart and Community  
18 Centers, Inc. In that capacity I've been their past  
19 president, I've sat on their Board of Directors, and  
20 we administer Head Start and child development  
21 services to over 450 children in the local community  
22 at five different centers through the cities of  
23 Homestead and Florida City.

24           In that capacity I've been part of the  
25 United Way Success by Six Program, and the Success by

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Six Program took all the available data collected from  
2 many studies and reports and came up with a program to  
3 effect change in impoverished communities, targeted at  
4 the zero to six year old child. The Florida  
5 Department of Children and Family Services as well as  
6 the Miami-Dade County Department of Community Affairs  
7 has the information from those studies and reports.  
8 So if anyone is interested they can contact those  
9 agencies to receive that information.

10 Conclusively, the findings prove that a  
11 balanced diet and exposure to education and certain  
12 types of structure stimulation in the early years  
13 contribute dramatically to the child's ability to  
14 develop normally. We're talking about the size of the  
15 brain, and their ability to function in life.  
16 Clearly, affordable power is a key component to that.  
17 So when we talk about children, and I know there's  
18 been some discussion about some things that have been  
19 in the press, beyond a shadow of a doubt, available  
20 affordable power is very important to child  
21 development.

22 The second point comes from my personal  
23 experience with the Biscayne National Park Property  
24 Development and Review Committee, of which actually

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 our chair of that committee is here tonight, and the  
2 Biscayne Land --

3 Now while I'm not speaking on behalf of  
4 those groups, let me tell you, because of my  
5 experience there I have a first-hand and working  
6 knowledge of the restored environment and the  
7 continued commitment of Florida Power and Light to  
8 maintaining the pristine environment out there  
9 surrounding Turkey Point nuclear power plant.

10 The vast expanse of primitive wetlands,  
11 the natural areas that Florida Power and Light are  
12 responsible for, we must keep that in mind when it  
13 comes to license renewal. By renewing the license it  
14 is my opinion that they're going to be able to  
15 continue to maintain and improve what they've already  
16 started. And they are exemplary in the field. I  
17 don't think there's any other on-going active  
18 restoration -- there's some contemplated, the  
19 Everglades restoration -- that's being done at the  
20 level they're currently working at.

21 So please continue and I hope the NRC  
22 finds favorably for license renewal.

23 In conclusion, the Draft looks at the  
24 affects of relicensing in three categories, small,  
25 medium and large. It's my opinion that if you don't

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 relicense this facility, obviously the impacts are  
2 going to be huge.

3 So thank you very much.

4 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Mr.  
5 Williams.

6 Let's now hear from the representatives of  
7 Florida Power and Light at this point. First we're  
8 going to hear from Mr. Robert Hovey who's the vice  
9 president for the Turkey Point plant.

10 Mr. Hovey?

11 MR. HOVEY: Good evening and thank you,  
12 Mr. Cameron.

13 My name is Bob Hovey and I'm the vice  
14 president of Florida Power and Light Company's Turkey  
15 Point nuclear power plant. I appreciate this  
16 opportunity to speak to you today about FPL's  
17 application for renewal of the Turkey Point operating  
18 licenses.

19 Assisting me is Liz Thompson. Liz is our  
20 license renewal project manager who will address more  
21 specifically the findings contained in the Draft  
22 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement.

23 I would like to thank the Nuclear  
24 Regulatory Commission for arranging and holding the  
25 meeting today. FPL strongly supports the openness of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 this process and during the last two years we have  
2 been involved in dialogue with the community  
3 surrounding Turkey Point. We've met with more than a  
4 thousand homeowners, community groups and Government  
5 officials. Our purpose was to share the information  
6 about license renewal and plant operations.

7 We believe that the community interests  
8 and priorities should be incorporated into not only  
9 our license renewal of Turkey Point, but overall  
10 operations. Community input is an integral part of  
11 the license renewal process. The application we  
12 prepared consisted of two parts, a safety analysis and  
13 an environmental report. Our application has been  
14 open to public review for some time and the Nuclear  
15 Regulatory Commission has requested comments from  
16 interested parties.

17 Just as the process has been open for  
18 reviewing the environmental aspects of license  
19 renewal, the safety analysis is following a parallel  
20 path. The safety analysis is going to go through a  
21 series of open meetings and the NRC is currently  
22 conducting an intensive review of the plant systems to  
23 insure safe operation for an additional twenty years.

24 The public meeting and the scoping of  
25 NRC's environmental review of our license renewal

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 application was held here in this room back in  
2 December of last year. Today's meeting continues the  
3 open process of seeking public input on license  
4 renewal. We welcome this opportunity to gain  
5 additional community input on the environmental  
6 aspects of license renewal.

7 I would like to thank the members of the  
8 community represented here today for taking time out  
9 of your busy schedules to share your views and ideas  
10 on this Draft Supplemental Report with the NRC. And  
11 we appreciate the support provided us by the South  
12 Dade community. And I'd also like to thank the NRC  
13 staff and the members of National Laboratories, the  
14 review teams, for the work in preparing the  
15 Supplemental Evaluation Impact Statement and Turkey  
16 Point license renewal.

17 I believe the report reflects a  
18 comprehensive assessment of the environmental impacts  
19 of license renewal. With that said, let me provide a  
20 little bit about my background.

21 I came to Florida Power and Light in 1995  
22 as the site vice president for Turkey Point nuclear  
23 plant with a Master's Degree in Business  
24 Administration, a Bachelor of Science in Nuclear  
25 Engineering, and a Bachelor of Art's Degree in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Business Administration. I also spent time at other  
2 nuclear facilities and utilities around the United  
3 States and with the U.S. Navy in the Submarine  
4 Service.

5 On a personal level, my wife and I have  
6 six children and we live here in the South Dade area.  
7 As vice president at Turkey Point my first job and my  
8 primary focus is the health and safety of my family,  
9 the Turkey Point employees, my friends and this  
10 community. Their well being comes before all else.  
11 And when I look at the evidence presented in the  
12 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement and other  
13 license renewal documents, I'm assured of the plant's  
14 safety and positive impact on our environment.

15 I believe the case for continued operation  
16 of Turkey Point is strong. And let me now address  
17 four areas; our performance, the economics of Turkey  
18 Point electricity, environmental stewardship, and  
19 community presence.

20 First, the performance of our plant is top  
21 notch, thanks to our employees. Their time and effort  
22 and dedication have resulted in Turkey Point  
23 consistently being recognized as safe and one of the  
24 most reliable and efficient plants in the industry.  
25 Our employees have also worked diligently through

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 effective maintenance programs to sustain the option  
2 for continued plant operation well beyond the initial  
3 forty year license.

4 Not only does the NRC monitor our  
5 performance, other independent agencies also agree  
6 that our operations are safe and have no adverse  
7 impacts on the surrounding community. This includes  
8 the State of Florida's Department of Health, which  
9 conducts monitoring and sampling of the South Dade  
10 area around Turkey Point.

11 Today you may hear claims by an activist  
12 group opposed to nuclear power called the Tooth Fairy  
13 Project, and that Turkey Point is harming people in  
14 Miami-Dade County. Let me assure you that their  
15 claims are just not true. As a parent I understand  
16 that we all want to protect our children's health and  
17 we want answers when any child is suffering from  
18 cancer or any type of illness.

19 The group organized against Turkey Point  
20 claims the answers for some types of cancer are found  
21 in the plant's operation. That is not the case. I  
22 could not in good conscience work at a facility that  
23 could be harmful to any child. Having worked at  
24 Turkey Point for many years I'm convinced that the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 environment around Turkey Point is safe for your  
2 children and mine.

3 The group's claims have been repeatedly  
4 rejected by Federal and State health agencies as well  
5 as by leading scientists in the radiation protection  
6 field, some of which are in this room here tonight.

7 For example, in 1990 the National Cancer  
8 Institute conducted an independent study of 62  
9 communities around the United States, U.S. nuclear  
10 facilities in operation for at least ten years. The  
11 agency confirmed that there was no increase in health  
12 risk of living in proximity to nuclear power plants.  
13 The NRC also appropriately addressed these claims in  
14 the Draft Supplement Environmental Impact Statement  
15 and concluded that the Tooth Fairy study shows no link  
16 to adverse health affects.

17 So the bottom line, forget the fairy tale;  
18 Turkey Point is safe.

19 Another factor to consider is our ability  
20 to help meet Florida's energy needs. Turkey Point  
21 power helps sustain our economic growth and maintain  
22 our quality of life. Our plant is strategically  
23 located in the FPL generating system to help maintain  
24 that system and Turkey Point is among the lowest cost  
25 producers of electricity in the FPL system, so we'll

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 help keep the electric bills low for all of our  
2 customers. And that's good news for our customers.

3 From an environmental standpoint, Turkey  
4 Point remains a guardian of our natural resources. I  
5 was going to say some more but I think Bill Wasilewski  
6 said it better than I'm going to be able to say it, so  
7 I'll skip through that. But leave it at recognizing  
8 that we placed over 14,000 acres of sensitive wetlands  
9 and permanent conservation where the land is being  
10 restored and preserved to the natural condition.

11 Finally, what does Turkey Point mean to  
12 our community? We asked our neighbors and they told  
13 us that we're an important part of the economic factor  
14 in the community, one that they want to see remain as  
15 a viable contributor. The payroll for 800 some  
16 employees, tax dollars, purchases and contributions to  
17 local United Way agencies help in this area.

18 But perhaps more importantly is the role  
19 our people play in the community. Our employees are  
20 active in churches, scout organizations, PTA's, little  
21 leagues and even local Government. And you heard some  
22 of our local Government representatives here today.

23 I have heard testimony to our community  
24 role. Many members of the local community spoke in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 support of the Turkey Point license renewal in the  
2 December 2000 public scoping meeting.

3 In summary, I believe that renewing the  
4 licenses of FPL Turkey Point nuclear power plant is in  
5 the best interest of our community in continuing to  
6 provide safe, clean, reliable and low cost electricity  
7 to our customers. That's my professional opinion as  
8 the vice president of Turkey Point and my personal  
9 conviction as a parent and an active member of this  
10 community.

11 Now I'd like to turn it over to Liz  
12 Thompson, our license renewal project manager, to  
13 provide some additional details on FPL's license  
14 renewal efforts and comments on the Draft  
15 Environmental Impact Statement.

16 MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Bob. Good  
17 evening, everyone. I would also like to thank the  
18 Nuclear Regulatory Commission and each of you here  
19 today for taking time and your involvement in the  
20 license renewal process.

21 It's a pleasure to be here today to share  
22 some thoughts about the Supplemental Environmental  
23 Impact Statement for Turkey Point license renewal.

24 As Bob said, my name is Liz Thompson and  
25 I'm the project manager for the Turkey Point license

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 renewal efforts. I've worked at the site for about  
2 fourteen years and been personally involved, not only  
3 in license renewal, but in operations, maintenance and  
4 engineering. I have first-hand experience of the team  
5 work that has enabled the plant to become a top  
6 performer in its class and a viable candidate for  
7 license renewal.

8 License renewal was not a process that we  
9 entered into lightly. We realize we have a  
10 responsibility to the community in which we're  
11 located. In preparing our license renewal application  
12 we were extremely careful to insure that programs and  
13 procedures are in place to assure safe operations and  
14 that the plant is having a positive impact on the  
15 environment. That process is not something new. It's  
16 how we run our business every day.

17 The NRC has now evaluated the  
18 environmental aspects associated with our license  
19 renewal application. The Supplemental Environmental  
20 Impact Statement for Turkey Point license renewal  
21 provides a thorough evaluation of the 92 environmental  
22 issues addressed in the regulations.

23 This very broad approach has been  
24 thoughtfully designed and is intended to cover a wide

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 spectrum of consideration that need to be evaluated in  
2 renewing our operating license.

3 The Supplemental Environmental Impact  
4 Statement concludes that the environmental impacts  
5 from operating Turkey Point for an additional twenty  
6 years would be small and less than the impacts of  
7 other energy source options. This conclusion is based  
8 on the detailed analysis of the impact areas.

9 The analysis in the Supplemental  
10 Environmental Impact Statement also looked at  
11 replacing the two reactors with equivalent electricity  
12 producers, new nuclear reactors, oil or gas burning  
13 generators and even solar panels, and concluded that  
14 those options would produce greater pollution and  
15 ecological impact.

16 We have been told by our neighbors that  
17 clean energy is important to them and we believe  
18 Turkey Point provides that benefit.

19 But another reason I believe that Turkey  
20 Point should operate for an additional twenty years is  
21 to be able to continue the award winning conservation  
22 work that was initiated almost thirty years ago. I'm  
23 proud of the work we do to preserve and protect the  
24 environment. We believe in our responsibility to  
25 operate in harmony with the environment. Turkey

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Point's unique location successfully combines modern  
2 technology with a strong environmental commitment.

3 In recognition of our efforts in land  
4 preservation FPL was presented with the Edison  
5 Electric Institute Environmental Award for Turkey  
6 Point's land management work this year, and the  
7 Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce Environmental Award  
8 in 2000, recognizing FPL's efforts for preservation  
9 and education on the endangered American crocodile.  
10 These efforts have attracted world wide attention,  
11 being featured in National Geographic Magazine and on  
12 television, CNN and the Discovery Channel. This  
13 preservation of the site and the species present there  
14 will continue through the renewed operating license  
15 period.

16 Aside from the very important  
17 environmental benefits of continued Turkey Point  
18 operation, license renewal is also important for  
19 meeting the energy needs of South Florida. Florida is  
20 growing approximately two percent per year and the  
21 electricity consumed per customer is also increasing.  
22 FPL must provide power plants to keep up with this  
23 growing demand and insure an ample supply of  
24 electricity.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           This means keeping solid performers like  
2 Turkey Point as a viable option as part of FPL's  
3 generation network, one that uses a diverse energy mix  
4 to insure that when our customers flip that light  
5 switch the electricity is there.

6           As Bob Hovey mentioned, there are many  
7 additional benefits that Turkey Point provides to the  
8 community. Our neighbors have told us that taking  
9 away Turkey Point would have a big impact on this  
10 community and we agree with that conclusion.

11           The Turkey Point employees want to remain  
12 a part of this community and as your neighbors. I  
13 believe extending our operations is more than renewing  
14 our license. It's about renewing the future of South  
15 Florida. We're committed to safely and reliably  
16 operating the Turkey Point power plant long into the  
17 future to meet the area's energy needs while  
18 protecting the environment.

19           Thank you.

20           MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Liz. Thank you,  
21 Bob.

22           Let's go next to Noreen Surge, and I  
23 apologize if I pronounced that incorrectly, and Norma  
24 Martin. Okay, well maybe they'll return.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   How about Stewart Maloney and Dan Cutler.  
2                   Dan Cutler?

3                   I know these two people are here. Harlan  
4                   Keaton from the State of Florida and then we're going  
5                   to go to Dr. Dade Moeller.

6                   Harlan?

7                   MR. KEATON: Thank you. I'll make this  
8                   kind of brief.

9                   My name is Harlan Keaton. I'm with the  
10                  Department of Health. And we are the people who do  
11                  the sample collection and analysis around the nuclear  
12                  power plants there at Turkey Point. We also do St.  
13                  Lucie and we also do Crystal River.

14                 And basically what we want to do is to get  
15                 across to you that the analysis that we do there at  
16                 those facilities, through all of the years before the  
17                 plant and since the plant's been in operation, we do  
18                 things like test water, fish, crustacea, sediment,  
19                 sand, various other environmental samples there, and  
20                 basically what we look for is a base line of any  
21                 increase in radioactive materials, any increase in  
22                 environmental dose there that the public might pick  
23                 up, and to date we have found a stable environment, no  
24                 increases in radiation and no increases in  
25                 radionuclides that can be found in the environment.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Another thing, our Department of  
2 Epidemiology in Tallahassee has been reviewing a study  
3 that was done called the Tooth Fairy Study and to that  
4 they have done an analysis which I would like to read  
5 the summary of. It's several pages, about seventeen  
6 pages. I'm not going to read it all. It has been  
7 presented to the NRC. And the summary goes like this.

8 "In summary, we reconstructed the  
9 calculations made by the RPHP" -- that's the Tooth  
10 Fairy people -- "using the same data from" -- I messed  
11 up earlier so I'm not going to repeat that mistake --  
12 "using the same data from which they base their  
13 claims. RPHP claims that there are striking increases  
14 in cancer rates in Southeastern Florida counties and  
15 attributes these increases to radiation exposure from  
16 nuclear reactors.

17 Given the data to reconstruction  
18 calculations and graphing out our findings, we have  
19 not been able to identify unusually high rates of  
20 cancers in these counties. As we would expect, just  
21 by chance, some county rates appear higher than State  
22 and national trends and some appear lower. These  
23 rates fluctuate from year to year and in some  
24 situations large fluctuations occur with a small

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 number of cases in small underlying county  
2 populations.

3 One has to use careful scientific and  
4 objective evaluation of these fluctuations to avoid  
5 misinterpretation. Careful analysis and observation  
6 of the data presented here does not support the  
7 alarming claims made by the RPHP regarding cancer  
8 mortality rates and trends in Southeastern Florida  
9 counties when compared with the rest of the State of  
10 Florida and the nation."

11 That's the summary review, this report,  
12 it's available. And there are the graphs there for  
13 everybody to see.

14 I'd also like to quickly read the cover  
15 letter that goes out with this.

16 "Dear Interested Parties: Much concern  
17 has been relayed to us about statements made by the  
18 Radiation and Public Health Project Incorporated, and  
19 the March 28, 2001 announcement. RPHP has implied  
20 that there are large increases over time in cancer  
21 rates in Southeastern Florida counties and they  
22 attribute these increases to radiation exposure to the  
23 Turkey Point and St. Lucie power plants.

24 The Florida Department of Health takes  
25 these assertions seriously and has reviewed the data

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 used by RPHP regarding cancer rates in Southeast  
2 Florida. Using this data to reconstruct calculations  
3 and graphing the results we have not been able to  
4 identify any unusually high rates of cancers in these  
5 counties. Attached is the Bureau of Environmental  
6 Epidemiology report addressing this data and the RPHP  
7 findings. Should you need any further clarification  
8 please feel free to contact me at 850-245-4299," and  
9 it's signed "Sincerely, David R. Johnson, Medical  
10 Doctor, Master of Science, Bureau Chief of Environment  
11 Epidemiology."

12 These reports are available if anybody  
13 would like to see them. With that I conclude this  
14 presentation.

15 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Harlan, and  
16 thanks for putting in the effort today to bring us  
17 news of that study.

18 Next we're going to go to Dr. Dade  
19 Moeller. Dade?

20 DR. MOELLER: My name is Dade Moeller. I  
21 was born and reared in Florida. I went to twelve  
22 years of public school here. After I finished school  
23 I enlisted in the Navy. World War II was going on and  
24 I served in the Navy and then fortunately had the G.I.  
25 Bill and could go to college.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           This afternoon we had a similar meeting  
2           and Dr. Ernest Sternglass and Dr. Jerry Brown were  
3           here and presented the results of the Tooth Fairy  
4           Project, and I know a number of you are very  
5           interested in that.

6           You'll notice though that they're not here  
7           tonight. Their primary objective is one of deception.  
8           Their primary objective is to breeze into town, appear  
9           before the T.V. cameras and then dash on their way,  
10          and hopefully they'll get out of town before anyone  
11          can catch up with this misleading information they  
12          have provided you.

13          I came down here on my own. I don't live  
14          in Florida at the moment. I live in North Carolina.  
15          But I'm a senior citizen and so I can get, you know,  
16          frequent flyer tickets at a very reasonable price. I  
17          can fly round trip anywhere for \$300.00. So I flew  
18          down here on my own. And why did I come down here?  
19          Well, because I'm up to my eyeballs in the misleading  
20          and distorted information that these gentleman  
21          present. And my time is limited so let me get to the  
22          bottom line. I know it's late. I'm going to try to  
23          move along very rapidly.

24          The Tooth Fairy Project is exactly as  
25          we've heard from several of the preceding speakers.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 It's a fairy tale. And what is my opinion of it?  
2 Well, it's unadulterated gobbely-goop. That report is  
3 one of the worse examples of junk science that I have  
4 ever seen in my career. Now I hope if there are any  
5 newspaper reporters here that you don't mis-quote me,  
6 because I said what I meant to say.

7 Now why can I say that? Well, let's look  
8 at the Tooth Fairy Project in some of the technical  
9 detail, and as I move along I'll relate to you some of  
10 my technical qualifications.

11 The data they present is in terms of  
12 picocuries per gram of calcium in the teeth. Why  
13 didn't they report it in terms of the dose? The dose  
14 is what's important. The reason they didn't report it  
15 in terms of the dose is because the dose is so low.  
16 I calculated the dose and it is in the range that is  
17 below the value of the annual dose rate that the  
18 National Council on Radiation Protection and  
19 Measurements deems as a negligible dose. They say  
20 such a dose is so low you should forget about it and  
21 get on with something important.

22 Now who is the National Council on  
23 Radiation Protection and Measurements? They are a  
24 group chartered by the U.S. Congress with the express  
25 duty to analyze information on the health affects of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 radiation and to present in reports and to present to  
2 the public in reports that the public can  
3 understanding by reading and so forth, and Dr.  
4 Sternglass and Dr. Brown said, "Oh, we need the study  
5 about the teeth, because no one knows anything about  
6 the health affects of strontium 90."

7 Well here's Report 110 of the National  
8 Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Why  
9 don't they read the report? It's some aspects of  
10 strontium radio-biology. It gives all of -- a summary  
11 of all of the information on the health affects of  
12 strontium 90.

13 Furthermore, they say send us \$50.00 --  
14 you know, send us a tooth and \$50.00 and if we can get  
15 1000 teeth from Dade County we can confirm the  
16 clinical relationship between strontium 90 and its  
17 health affects. That's hog wash. Why do I say that?  
18 I say that because if the doses that are involved, any  
19 good epidemiologist, and they're pseudo-  
20 epidemiologist, they're practicing in a field they  
21 don't understand, anyone who had bat brains about  
22 epidemiology would know that it takes over a million,  
23 you'd have to follow a million children for over a  
24 hundred years to demonstrate whether there was any  
25 relationship.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   Now why can I stand up here and say this,  
2                   say these harsh things about them? Well, I used to  
3                   work for the Public Health Service, United States  
4                   Public Health Service, and I could have never stood up  
5                   and said anything like this. After finishing with the  
6                   Public Health Service I was a Professor at a small  
7                   college in Boston, Harvard, and I couldn't speak out  
8                   then. But today I can.

9                   As I say, I paid my own way down here.  
10                  I'm beholden to no one. I'm not for Florida Power and  
11                  Light. I'm not for the State of Florida. The main  
12                  reason I came here is because I love the State of  
13                  Florida and I was born and reared here and I want to  
14                  come back and recompense them -- is that the word --  
15                  reimburse them for what they've given me.

16                  Okay. I was in the Public Health Service  
17                  for eighteen years. What did I do? I worked as a  
18                  laboratory radio-chemist at the Oak Ridge Laboratory  
19                  from 1956 to 1957. For five years I directed the  
20                  Northeastern Radiological Health Laboratory, which  
21                  monitored the strontium 90 in children in the fourteen  
22                  Northeastern States of the United States. The Public  
23                  Health Service divided the nation up into groups and I  
24                  was responsible with roughly 100 strongly motivated  
25                  supporting employees to monitor for strontium 90 in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 those states. And they're always saying, "Oh, no  
2 one's ever monitored for strontium 90." Hog wash.  
3 They do it all the time.

4 I was also for five years director for  
5 Radiation Protection Training for the U.S. Public  
6 Health Service. Then I went to Harvard. For twelve  
7 years I was chairman of the Department of  
8 Environmental Sciences. And for the last decade I was  
9 Associate Dean at a Harvard School of Public Health.  
10 I think that counts for something.

11 Okay, what have they done? They distort.  
12 I'm pressed for time. Let me give you a couple of  
13 examples.

14 Sternglass and his cohorts said the  
15 Prairie Island plant in Minnesota was responsible for  
16 increased breast cancer. Well, the Minnesota  
17 Department of Public Health, just as the Florida  
18 Department of Health, used their data. And what did  
19 they find out?

20 They found out that in analyzing whether  
21 the plant could possibly have had any impact on breast  
22 cancer, if they found one of the neighboring states  
23 had a low frequency of breast cancer, they moved the  
24 county out. If they found the county a little farther  
25 away than their limit and it had a high breast cancer

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 rate, they moved it in. Well, they are just a very  
2 deceptive group of people.

3 At Millstone Plant, they said the  
4 Millstone Plant in Connecticut was responsible for the  
5 strontium in the milk, you know, in some dairy farms,  
6 50, 100 miles away. Well, bless EPA. The U.S.  
7 Environmental Protection Agency went in and did what  
8 -- to explain it to you, it's like DNA test. You  
9 know, you can convict a person who murdered someone  
10 ten years ago because of DNA. They went in and  
11 analyzed the strontium and it showed it was not from  
12 any nuclear power plant anywhere. It was from  
13 fallout.

14 Well, why doesn't the Tooth Fairy group  
15 just pay someone to analyze the strontium the cesium  
16 in the environment around here. You'd find it's not  
17 from Turkey Point.

18 So, let me wrap it up. Don't be taken in  
19 by this group. They have absolutely no shame  
20 whatsoever. In 1971 I was president of the Health  
21 Physics Society and the past presidents were so  
22 disturbed by Sternglass and his group -- they've been  
23 in this business over thirty years -- so disturbed by  
24 them that they issued a press release which was signed  
25 by every living past president of the Health Physics

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Society, which is a premier radiation protection  
2 society in the United States, and they all said Dr.  
3 Sternglass is an embarrassment to the field of  
4 radiation protection and we wish he would just shut  
5 up.

6 Then in 1980 -- but what did the National  
7 Academy of Sciences do? They -- EPA financed a  
8 committee to evaluate the doses from radiation and the  
9 health affects. We invited in Sternglass. I was on  
10 the committee. I'm not talking hearsay. I was there.  
11 I've been there. We invited in Dr. Sternglass and  
12 said tell us. He said, "I'm going to follow up with  
13 some data." Twenty years later no data.

14 If you'll read that committee's report  
15 you'll find they said the gentleman did not know  
16 anything he was talking about.

17 Okay. If you had your faucet in the  
18 kitchen is leaking, would you call in an  
19 anthropologist or a physicist to fix it? That's what  
20 Dr. Brown and Dr. Sternglass are. No, you'd call in  
21 a licensed plumber and get it fixed right. In a  
22 similar manner, if your spouse or your child or if you  
23 yourself were sick, you'd want a medical specialist,  
24 wouldn't you? And if it involves anything that was  
25 the least complicated, you'd want that person to be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Board Certified, you know. And there are  
2 certification boards for people in the radiation  
3 protection field, it's the American Board of Health  
4 Physics. I took the exam. I'm Board Certified.  
5 There is board certification for environmental health  
6 people. I took the exam. I worked hard, I studied,  
7 I took the exams, I passed, and I'm Board Certified.

8 This morning, because I didn't think about  
9 it until then, I looked up Dr. Brown and Dr.  
10 Sternglass. They're not in the list, no where. So  
11 please, don't send them any money.

12 We're all interested -- as I close out  
13 -- we're all interested in anyone who can bring to our  
14 attention some unrecognized hazard from radiation.  
15 But we're not interested, I personally have no  
16 patience with the people who breeze in this town, pass  
17 out false information, then catch their plane home.  
18 Next month they'll be in another state. They've done  
19 this for over thirty years and we're not going to stop  
20 them today.

21 Thank you.

22 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Dr.  
23 Moeller. And Dr. Moeller referred to this afternoon's  
24 session. If anybody is interested in seeing what was

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 said at that session, the transcript will be placed on  
2 the web from that meeting.

3 What I'd like to do now is send -- we'll  
4 try to come back and answer some questions perhaps, if  
5 we have time, but I do -- yes?

6 DR. MOELLER: Could I mention that there  
7 is a hand-out of my technical comments in that next  
8 room. If you want them, please let us know.

9 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you.

10 We're going to go to Mary Jonckheere, then  
11 Mary Donworth, Ralph Andersen and Mark Oncavage next.

12 Mary?

13 MS. JONCKHEERE: Hello. My name is Mary  
14 Palazuelos Jonckheere. I'm an ex-professor of  
15 mathematics at Miami-Dade Community College and a  
16 Green Party member and a Sierra Club member, and a  
17 home schooling mother.

18 And the things that I want to say about --  
19 I wasn't here in the afternoon, but the things that I  
20 want to say about this issue is that I know the  
21 country of Germany has completely decided to phase out  
22 nuclear power. My husband is from Belgium and I often  
23 go to Europe with him and I have deep respect for the  
24 citizens of Europe. They're I think much more  
25 publicly aware of the dangers of the food supply and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the dangers of nuclear energy than we are here in the  
2 United States.

3 I think the issue of nuclear energy has a  
4 kind of a clouded vision with people in the United  
5 States, especially the people that stand to make a lot  
6 of money from nuclear power, including the  
7 administration that's in Washington right now. And  
8 what I feel like is, I come from a kind of  
9 dysfunctional family where my father enjoyed watching  
10 my sister and I have fights, physical fights, and we  
11 had once a fight at our country club and my sister was  
12 like pushing me down under the water and I decided I  
13 would start screaming since my father wasn't stopping  
14 this, he was enjoying it. And I realized that there  
15 were strangers coming and helping me. And that was  
16 something that I suddenly found a survival skill, and  
17 that's something that I'd like to happen here. I  
18 think that there's something happening in the United  
19 States, there's some kind of conspiracy, and it seems  
20 like there's a pro-nuclear and then there's the Green  
21 people that are against, and I think that for the  
22 citizens to be truly sure that the facts we're getting  
23 are true, because I read this beautiful glossy thing  
24 put out by Florida Power and Light, and they say how  
25 it's very safe around the nuclear power plants, but

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 they didn't mention how maybe fifty miles away in  
2 Miami Beach, that's where the highest level of  
3 strontium 90 are being found, which is from where the  
4 gasses are released by Turkey Point because the  
5 pressure builds up. Those gasses contain the nuclear  
6 isotopes and that's where the children of Miami Beach  
7 are finding that.

8 So you know, yes, maybe the smoke stacks  
9 are safe. I'm happy I live in Homestead. I'm safer  
10 than the people in Miami Beach, but the clouds are  
11 bringing up those radioactive isotopes.

12 But I really feel is that there needs to  
13 be a panel of scientists that are analyzing all the  
14 research done by the NRC, by the Tooth Fairy, and that  
15 panel of experts has to be kind of the way a jury is  
16 selected, that there's the environmentalists and  
17 there's the nuclear people and we're going to agree on  
18 the scientists, because I'm sure that the NRC, you  
19 guys can find scientists that are going to support  
20 you, and you're saying that we're finding scientists  
21 that support us. Well, there would have to be some  
22 kind of a jury selection and it has to be not only  
23 American scientists, but scientists from all over the  
24 world, where maybe some clouds with nuclear power is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 not affecting them as the country of Germany has  
2 completely decided to shut them down.

3 I'd like the data of Germany to be looked  
4 at and included in the study for the relicensing of  
5 Turkey Point. Why did that country decide to phase  
6 those out? We know German people are very  
7 intelligent.

8 The other thing I wanted to say as a  
9 mathematician, this generic study takes, from what  
10 I've been told, it takes about 102, the data from 102  
11 power plants, and adds up the numbers and divides by  
12 102. And that's not really very good mathematics when  
13 you're talking about different places in the country.  
14 You know, somebody said that the smoke stacks, the  
15 number of birds that fly into the smoke stacks is the  
16 same all over the country, so you can just kind of  
17 average that out. But Turkey Point is close to the  
18 Everglades so I'd think there would be more birds  
19 flying there than there would be in some other part of  
20 the United States where there are not that many birds  
21 and maybe -- that's just an example. But us people  
22 here in Homestead and in South Florida would be very  
23 concerned about the number of birds that would be  
24 flying into our smoke stacks, just like we're  
25 concerned about the number of children that are dying

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of brain cancer here in South Florida and the number  
2 -- and the levels of strontium 90.

3 So I would like the data that is included  
4 in this study not to be generic but to be site  
5 specific to South Florida. And again, I would like it  
6 to be reviewed by an independent panel of scientific  
7 experts from all over the world, not just the United  
8 States. It's very sad that I have to say this, but  
9 this is the health of our children and our  
10 grandchildren and I'd like to pass on the earth in a  
11 better condition than we have it right now to my  
12 daughter and her children.

13 Thank you.

14 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mary.

15 Mary Donworth, and then we're going to go  
16 to Ralph Andersen and Mark Oncavage.

17 Mary?

18 MS. DONWORTH: Good evening. My name is  
19 Mary Donworth. I am the vice president of Agency  
20 Relations and Fund Distributions at the United Way of  
21 Miami-Dade. I've worked at United Way for eleven  
22 years and I'm here to talk about FPL's commitment to  
23 the community.

24 Each year FPL, the IBEW and its employees  
25 raise over a million dollars for health and human

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 services in our community. Of that amount, Turkey  
2 Point employees raise over \$150,000.00 for services  
3 here in the Homestead Florida City area and those  
4 services include scouting, mentoring, youth programs,  
5 early childhood development programs, therapeutic  
6 programs, et cetera.

7 Some of those agencies that receive  
8 funding are Central Capacino Farmworkers Center,  
9 Homestead Food Kitchen, the YMCA and the Redlands  
10 Christian Association.

11 In addition to the tremendous financial  
12 support that we get from FPL, we also receive  
13 thousands of hours of volunteer time from the  
14 employees, which is tremendous in our community.

15 In conclusion, because I want to be brief,  
16 it is late, I just want to say that United Way is  
17 tremendously proud of our partnership with FPL and its  
18 employees in our community.

19 Thank you.

20 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Mary.

21 Ralph Andersen?

22 MR. ANDERSEN: My name is Ralph Andersen.

23 I'm a Board Certified health physicist at the Nuclear  
24 Energy Institute.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I am pleased to have the opportunity to  
2 join this discussion tonight.

3 Nuclear energy provides electricity for  
4 one out of every five homes and businesses in America,  
5 and here in Florida electricity customers get about  
6 seventeen percent of their electric power from five  
7 nuclear reactors, including Turkey Point, at Florida  
8 Power and Light St. Lucie plant and Progress Energy  
9 Crystal River plant.

10 I'm going to abbreviate my comments in the  
11 interest of time, but I would like to give you a copy  
12 of the full comments if you would assess those.

13 With the extension of the license at  
14 Turkey Point there will be twenty more years of  
15 environmental and economic benefits and continued  
16 reliable electricity for consumers and businesses in  
17 South Florida. I think it's a necessary option and  
18 I'd like to suggest three reasons why.

19 First, license renewal will maintain  
20 economic electric generation that does not produce  
21 greenhouses gasses or other air pollutants, such as  
22 sulphur dioxide, nitrogenoxide and particulates.

23 Second, license renewal will preserve good  
24 jobs for this area and will continue to support the  
25 economy.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Thirdly, renewal of Turkey Point's license  
2 is far more economical with less environmental impact  
3 than building a new power plant or in pursuing other  
4 energy alternatives.

5 Nuclear energy is the largest source of  
6 emission free electricity generation in America. It  
7 represents nearly seventy percent of our nation's  
8 emission free generation. Hydro-electric power is  
9 second at twenty-nine percent, and the remaining one  
10 percent is divided essentially between -- PXL's, also  
11 known as solar energy, and wind power.

12 It's obvious from these figures that  
13 nuclear energy provide vital clean air benefits to  
14 Florida and to the United States, considering that  
15 each state must control emissions from electric  
16 generating sources through the Clean Air Act. In this  
17 community Turkey Point also provides stable jobs, a  
18 strong tax base, and safe, reliable and affordable  
19 energy.

20 I mentioned earlier that I am a health  
21 physicist, which means that my profession is in  
22 radiation safety. So I'd like to talk for a moment  
23 about radiation.

24 During the course of the day we've heard  
25 a lot of speculation about radiation from the Turkey

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Point plant. What I'd like to do is, using some of  
2 the facts that are presented in the Draft Generic  
3 Environmental Impact Statement, give you some  
4 prospective with a simple comparison.

5 The Draft GEIS for Turkey Point includes  
6 an assessment of environmental impacts associated with  
7 radiation from plant operations. The results of this  
8 assessment can be found on Page 2-34 in the GEIS. The  
9 NRC characterizes the impact as small. In drawing on  
10 that information I'd like to offer a simple comparison  
11 to illustrate what the NRC means by small.

12 The subject meeting tonight is scheduled  
13 to last about three hours. During the time of this  
14 meeting the amount of radiation that each of us will  
15 receive from natural sources of radiation will be more  
16 than anyone living near the Turkey Point power plant  
17 will receive in the entire twenty years of operation  
18 associated with license renewal. That's because  
19 during the time we are in this meeting each of us is  
20 receiving natural radiation from our own bodies, from  
21 the food we eat and the water we drink, from the air  
22 we breathe and the ground we're standing on and the  
23 materials used to construct this building and even  
24 cosmic radiation reaching us from the stars and  
25 distant galaxies.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I want to close by saying that the Draft  
2 GEIS is factual and complete and should contribute to  
3 a fair and objective review of the environmental  
4 impacts of license renewal at Turkey Point.

5 I'd also like to commend Florida Power and  
6 Light and the nuclear professionals at Turkey Point  
7 for the continued excellent record of safety  
8 performance and commitment to protecting the health  
9 and safety of their community and the surrounding  
10 environment. Together these are the key factors in  
11 the NRC's conclusion in the Draft GEIS that support  
12 the positive decision of renewing the license for an  
13 additional twenty years.

14 Thank you very much.

15 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Ralph, and we'll  
16 enter that on the transcript.

17 Let's go to Mark Oncavage, and then we'll  
18 go to Dave Peyton and Darwin Brown.

19 Mark?

20 MR. ONCAVAGE: There are a number of  
21 deficiencies in the alternative section of the Draft  
22 SEIS. This interim report from the Governor's Energy  
23 Study Commission is completely missing from the Draft  
24 SEIS. It's available on the State of Florida website.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I suggest you download it to take a look at it. It's  
2 going to play a large part in our lives.

3 The final report is due in December, well  
4 in time for the 2002 Florida Legislative Session.  
5 This deregulation plan says, and I quote, "Investor  
6 owned serving utilities should no longer be in the  
7 business of owning and operating generation."

8 In simple language that means that Florida  
9 Power and Light, Tampa Electric, Florida Power Corp.  
10 will sell their power plants to other companies.

11 A Governor's committee is working on a  
12 plan where retail sales of electricity is done by a  
13 different company that operates the transmission grid,  
14 and that is separate from the other companies that  
15 generate electricity. Basically, they are breaking up  
16 the monopolies that utilities now have.

17 This proposal changes the whole character  
18 of the Draft SEIS. No longer would FP&L be concerned  
19 with the alternatives to relicensing Turkey Point.  
20 FP&L would sell or trade all their plants, sell their  
21 transmission lines to grid Florida and concentrate on  
22 their new business model of buying electricity to sell  
23 to their customers.

24 Alternatives become meaningless. There  
25 are now 56 new merchant power plants proposed to come

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 on line in Florida, 56 plants. These plants are  
2 natural gas. Some are simple cycle, some combined  
3 cycle. The electricity will be more expensive, but  
4 there will be no shortages of generation in Florida  
5 with or without Turkey Point. There is no time table  
6 on this restructuring. The disaster in California has  
7 everyone moving very cautiously, if not backing up.

8 In this new light, license renewal all  
9 changes its character. Why would FP&L spend so much  
10 money if they can't keep the plant? Well, the book  
11 value and the market value would go up when they sell  
12 Turkey Point. It becomes a money game.

13 In the Draft SEIS, FPL concludes that  
14 Turkey Point would not be a reasonable site for a  
15 natural gas plant since it would necessitate laying  
16 150 mile pipe line through Everglades habitat.

17 It seems that the NRC has missed work to  
18 build a new gas pipe line from Grand Bahama Island to  
19 Ft. Lauderdale, Project Calypso. To serve the west  
20 coast of Florida another pipe line is proposed from  
21 Mobile Bay to Tampa under the Gulf of Mexico. That's  
22 called Project Gulfstream.

23 I'm sure when this information is  
24 considered it will have a marked affect on the  
25 alternatives to relicensing.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The Draft SEIS also needs to look at the  
2 conversion of the Fort St. Vrain (sic) reactor to  
3 natural gas. All the expensive infrastructure was  
4 reviewed and plant now produces electricity. I've  
5 heard that the conversion of the Fort St. Vrain plant  
6 costs 250 million dollars.

7           Natural gas conversion along with Project  
8 Calypso should be the strongest alternative to the  
9 license renewal in the Final SEIS.

10           One of the most troubling aspects of  
11 deregulation is the disposition of the millions of  
12 dollars held for the decommissioning of Turkey Point.  
13 I urge the NRC to become significantly involved in  
14 this issue. If private companies are allowed to get  
15 control of this money and the usual activities of  
16 mergers and acquisitions and spin-offs and selling of  
17 assets and bankruptcies all occur, we may never see  
18 this money again. That would be a real environmental  
19 impact, new and significant.

20           Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

21           MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mark.

22           Mr. Peyton:

23           MR. PEYTON: My name is Dave Peyton and  
24 I've lived and worked in Homestead for about twenty-  
25 five years and I don't have any fancy qualifications

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for science or anything like that. I am not a part of  
2 any of those special clubs and groups and all that.  
3 I'm thinking now after having heard some of these  
4 called the Friends of Thomas Edison, but I don't know  
5 whether -- I have to work on my dues and stuff like  
6 that.

7 I have no financial interest in FP&L. I  
8 am not employed there. I don't -- I'm not related to  
9 anybody who works there. I casually know four or five  
10 people who work at Turkey Point but their hours are so  
11 long that I don't particularly hang out with them very  
12 much either.

13 I do though live in a house here in  
14 Homestead that has electric water heater and electric  
15 stove and a microwave oven and I'd much rather be home  
16 tonight consuming electricity, but I heard about this  
17 sort of thing and I don't see anybody else that just  
18 likes to use electricity coming over here. I learned  
19 my distinct appreciation of it in the summer of 1992  
20 when I went for three or four months without any  
21 electricity and took cold showers when I finally got  
22 water again. I like electricity. I like the people  
23 who make electricity. I think electricity is a good  
24 thing.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I used to live up north and I have some  
2 experience with coal and oil and burning and all that.  
3 I lived in Cleveland when the Cayahoga River caught on  
4 fire and you wouldn't walk along the beach of the Lake  
5 Erie, let alone eat anything that came out of it, and  
6 I took prescription antihistamines to such an extent  
7 when I lived up there that I had an enlarged prostate  
8 at 25, but that's more information than you need to  
9 know, I suppose.

10 But I like the fact that this nuclear  
11 stuff, I don't have to breathe all that junk. I don't  
12 have soot around. I learned that everybody has notes  
13 here, so I'm trying to look at mine that I formally  
14 prepared here. You don't have the abbreviated version  
15 of these to put in your record there. And I'm not  
16 trying to be flippant about this. I recognize that  
17 this is a serious issue with a lot of folks.

18 I never even considered this thing about  
19 Biscayne Bay and the need to camouflage Turkey Point.  
20 I've lived down here a long time. I've been stuck out  
21 on the bay in a boat at night and in bad rain storms.  
22 It's my favorite landmark to stay out of the shallows,  
23 so don't camouflage it too much.

24 And so I just want to say that I'm here in  
25 support of clean electricity and I hope that they

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 renew the license, and now I'm going to go home and  
2 sit in my recliner chair and burn electricity.

3 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Peyton. And  
4 there he goes.

5 Mr. Brown, Darwin Brown?

6 How about Mr. Chris Doherty?

7 MR. DOHERTY: How you guys doing? My name  
8 is Chris Doherty and I represent South Florida Motor  
9 Sports and we're comprised of 54 members in the South  
10 Dade area, including business and community leaders.  
11 Our non-profit organization supports racing events out  
12 at the race track throughout the year.

13 We strongly support Turkey Point's  
14 application for license renewal. Besides being one of  
15 the largest employers in the immediate area, we have  
16 found Turkey Point to be a good neighbor, conscious of  
17 the environment and generous to our community.

18 For years Turkey Point and its employees  
19 have contributed to the United Way, Boys Scouts and  
20 Girl Scouts, little league, South Florida Blood Bank  
21 and many more. We applaud Turkey Point's endless  
22 efforts in contributing to our community and being  
23 environmentally conscious, providing safe and  
24 economical power to our community.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   Therefore, on June 26th our board of  
2 directors passed a resolution supporting the license  
3 renewal for Turkey Point to continue producing  
4 electricity for another twenty years.

5                   MR. CAMERON: Thank you.

6                   Next we're going to go to Mike Richardson,  
7 First National Bank. And thank all of you for your  
8 patience.

9                   MR. RICHARDSON: I am Mike Richardson and  
10 I work for the First National Bank of South Florida,  
11 used to be Homestead, now it's South Florida.

12                   I've learned one thing tonight, and that  
13 is it doesn't make any difference if you come an hour  
14 early and sign up to speak, you still end up being the  
15 last speaker on the agenda.

16                   I am among a group of people that was here  
17 last December also and urged you all to extend the  
18 operating license for Turkey Point, and with some  
19 caveats, and certainly those caveats still exist, but  
20 I still have the good feeling that Turkey Point can  
21 operate into the future safely and efficiently.

22                   There's a distinct different flavor in  
23 tonight's meeting as opposed to the meeting back in  
24 December. In December we heard from an overwhelming  
25 voice from the local community about the value of

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Florida Power and Light and Turkey Point in our local  
2 community, whether it was economics, whether it was  
3 the contribution that the Turkey Point staff makes to  
4 our community, whether it was the low cost power,  
5 minimal environmental impact that Dave Peyton's just  
6 gone home to take advantage of. You need to know that  
7 I work with Dave at the bank and he is never that  
8 funny when he's at the bank.

9 You also heard tonight and before too  
10 about the impact of Turkey Point on the local  
11 environment, the crocodile breeding grounds and the  
12 threatened and endangered species that live within the  
13 compounds out there.

14 So it was with particular gratitude, I  
15 guess is the right word, that I was able to look at  
16 the Draft Supplement Environmental Impact Statement  
17 and see virtually across the board the impact that  
18 relicensing Turkey Point is characterized as small,  
19 and in many cases as virtually negligent --  
20 negligible. Sorry about that.

21 I do want to emphasize though, as I did  
22 last December, that you've mentioned the fact that you  
23 have a parallel course, one is looking at the  
24 environmental impact, the other is the safety impacts.  
25 And I mentioned then that we as a community are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 depending upon your technical expertise for that  
2 safety impact. And we can talk about how the company  
3 impacts us here, but most of us don't have the  
4 technical expertise to be able to talk to the safety  
5 aspects of the plant and its ability to operate safely  
6 for another twenty years.

7 Joette Lorion brings up valid points that  
8 need to be addressed and either confirmed or denied,  
9 as the case may be. I don't think there's anybody in  
10 our community, I said this last December, I don't  
11 think there's anybody in our community that would  
12 object to the continued operation of the nuclear  
13 facility at Turkey Point for an additional twenty  
14 years as long as we all felt very comfortable that it  
15 can be done safely and it will continue to general low  
16 cost, environmentally low impact electrical power to  
17 support our community and provide us with the quality  
18 types of people and activities that FP&L contributes  
19 to Homestead, Florida City and the Greater South Dade  
20 area.

21 Thank you very much.

22 MR. CAMERON: Thank you.

23 Let's go to Mr. Broom and Mr. Shomar.

24 MR. BROOM: I'd have somebody turn off the  
25 lights for five minutes just so we understand the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 importance of what we're talking about tonight. I'd  
2 also like to say good evening.

3 My name is Chuck Broom. I am with Florida  
4 International University in the Center for  
5 Environmental Technology. I'm the associate director  
6 there.

7 I've been in the nuclear and environmental  
8 field for over twenty-one years, with some emphasis in  
9 nuclear construction and engineering maintenance and  
10 actually reactor decommissioning and dismantlement.

11 But tonight I want to speak as a private  
12 citizen and a resident of South Florida. I was here  
13 for the afternoon session also, so I got to see the  
14 view graphs up there that no matter whether I live in  
15 Broward or I work in Miami, I'm going to get hit by  
16 the air plume that's coming my way.

17 First, I'd like to recognize the NRC and  
18 thank them for the job that they do. I've been  
19 involved with them on again and off again most of my  
20 career. I'd also like to recognize National  
21 Laboratories and my friends at PNNL, where I've had a  
22 twenty year association with, the professional  
23 colleagues at Florida Power and Light and many of you  
24 who are here that work there. I don't think anybody,  
25 if you haven't ever dressed up and walked down a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 reactor and been in the middle of it, really  
2 understand what it takes, the commitment it takes to  
3 run that machine. And that's what a reactor is, it is  
4 a machine.

5 And then lastly but most importantly, I'd  
6 like to recognize all of the community members here  
7 that have an interest.

8 I wrote but my notes were unprepared,  
9 maybe they're even more extraneous than prepared,  
10 would be short. I endorse the license extension of  
11 the Turkey Point 3 and 4 and why, because in my view  
12 power plants are a national resource.

13 More importantly, power plants are a  
14 national -- are an issue of national security, for  
15 this country, for this state, for this community. In  
16 my opinion the stability of that resource is paramount  
17 to any national security element that is considered  
18 locally or in Washington, D.C. or any place in the  
19 world.

20 I've had the privilege of having a tour  
21 that has taken me across this great land. I've seen,  
22 like you, the ransoming of our national resources by  
23 foreign oil interest. I have seen our aged and  
24 underprivileged citizenry die when I was working in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Chicago. I have seen and I have friends that are  
2 experiences the brown outs in California right now.

3 On an international assignment, I can tell  
4 you -- our good friend has already left -- I can tell  
5 you what it's like to get stuck in an elevator  
6 somewhere between the first and fourteenth floor,  
7 because about that time the Uranian Government pulled  
8 the plug on that quadrant and you're stuck in a four  
9 by four elevator for four hours because you couldn't  
10 get out, because they didn't have enough power.

11 Where are we going to get the power if we  
12 don't have our own sustainable resource? We're going  
13 to ransom this country and the resources we have if we  
14 don't have it.

15 Our standard of living, nationally and  
16 here in Florida, is dependent upon safe reliable power  
17 and our future as a nation depends upon it. But when  
18 looking at these issues you have to look at  
19 performance. Florida Power and Light has a history  
20 and a reputation for quality performance. Those of  
21 you that were with us earlier this afternoon got to  
22 hear the representative from the IBEW speak about the  
23 national recognition and the industrial recognition  
24 that FP&L has received and that Turkey Point has  
25 received.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I'm a businessman. That's my job. My job  
2 is to help that center grow. In business I like to  
3 look my potential partners in the eye and know that  
4 they are as dedicated to the challenge ahead as I am.  
5 And since 1997 I have had an indirect professional  
6 relationship with FP&L. They haven't given me any  
7 work, but I've had an opportunity to know them and to  
8 know their management team. And I have been to the  
9 plant.

10 When you walk to the Turkey Point plant,  
11 from the security guard that meets you, to the  
12 radiation control technicians who escort you around,  
13 to the project managers and to the facility managers,  
14 you find a commitment to excellence at that plant. It  
15 is an organizational culture at that plant. So I  
16 don't care if I'm talking to a craftsman or I'm  
17 talking to Bob Hovey, I'm going to get the same  
18 commitment and the same straightforward response and  
19 pride in their operation that I would want in my own  
20 business affairs.

21 I know of no other finer team of  
22 professionals than what they have out at that plant,  
23 and I have been at all -- I have been at nine of the  
24 Federal nuclear reservations and I have been at about  
25 four other plants besides Turkey Point.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The thing that I would leave you with is  
2           that they are our neighbors and for some of us they  
3           are family. And I know that they can and will do the  
4           job safely and efficiently. And knowing them, they  
5           have my trust to do the right thing, whether it's an  
6           environmental issue, a safety issue or business issue.  
7           I expect on time, on demand power at the cheapest cost  
8           in a safe way, and I know that Bob Hovey and Florida  
9           Power and Light and his team will do that.

10           Thank you.

11           MR. CAMERON: Okay, thank you, Mr. Broom.

12           We have a few speakers left. Mr. Shomar  
13           and Mr. Randles and Jennifer Balfe and Bob Vandorser.

14           MR. SHOMAR: It's about an hour past my  
15           bedtime so this is a big sacrifice for me, but it's a  
16           pleasure for me to be here.

17           Good evening. My name is Wasin Shomar and  
18           I have lived in this area since 1983. I speak before  
19           you today not only as a concerned citizen, but also as  
20           a person that holds a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering,  
21           as a previous Dean of Engineering at Miami-Dade  
22           Community College, and also as the current present of  
23           Miami-Dade Community College, and I'm only two weeks  
24           in this job, so if you haven't heard the news, that's  
25           why.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   What brings me here today is my concern  
2                   for safety and efficiency, my love for the environment  
3                   and my concern for our local economy and the  
4                   preservation of jobs for our local residents.

5                   It has been proven and documented time and  
6                   time again that nuclear energy is unquestionably the  
7                   safest and the most efficient effective and  
8                   environmentally friendly means of producing  
9                   electricity. To guarantee the safety of the residents  
10                  one must insure that all safety procedures at Turkey  
11                  Point are fully adhered to and that the employees at  
12                  Turkey Point are well educated and well trained.

13                  The fact that Turkey Point is the only  
14                  plant in the United States to receive three  
15                  consecutive superior ratings from the NRC in the  
16                  recent years leaves me no doubt that Turkey Point is  
17                  one of the safest and most reliable nuclear power  
18                  plants in the U.S. and even in the world.

19                  In terms of the qualifications of the  
20                  employees, almost half of Turkey Point's employees  
21                  hold advanced degrees. That education is further  
22                  enhanced by the training they receive. There are more  
23                  than twelve training programs offered to employees.  
24                  Some are so specialized that they are certified by an  
25                  independent training organization.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           The Turkey Point management even went  
2 further in terms of training where they set up a  
3 rigorous training program with Miami-Dade Community  
4 College and Bob Hovey and I and our teams work very,  
5 very closely together on that. And the purpose of  
6 this was to further advance the knowledge of the  
7 Turkey Point employees and to allow us to create a  
8 home grown pool of talent for future job openings at  
9 the plant.

10           FPL confirmed their commitment to quality  
11 training by creating an endowment scholarship fund  
12 that produces twenty full tuition scholarships every  
13 year to community residents to participate in this  
14 specialized training program at the college.

15           I must point out that I do have a lovely  
16 wife and two lovely young boys that live within a few  
17 miles away from Turkey Point and I would not be  
18 standing before you today if I was not 100 percent  
19 sure that Turkey Point is absolutely and definitely  
20 safe and vital to our community.

21           As a concerned neighbor and somewhat of a  
22 self proclaimed field expert, I'm here to tell you  
23 that it would be a great disservice to our community  
24 and a grave mistake if the license is not renewed. I  
25 urge you to renew Turkey Point's license for twenty

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 more years, thus renewing our hopes for a safe and  
2 strong future economy for our beloved community.

3 Thank you.

4 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Shomar.

5 Mr. Randles?

6 MR. RANGLES: Good evening. My name is  
7 Johnny Randles. I'm the business manager and  
8 president of Local Union 359, International Builders  
9 of Electrical Workers here in Dade County, and just  
10 about everything that's been said is on my notes.

11 I come from a small town up in Southern  
12 Illinois and I graduated out of high school in 1962,  
13 all seventeen of us did. On Saturday night I used to  
14 take my date to Sears and watch them unload the Sears  
15 truck. That was the highlight of the week.

16 So I went -- after I got out of the  
17 service I went to work for the railroad and I worked  
18 for them for fifteen years, went to an apprenticeship  
19 program with them, and it's a great apprenticeship  
20 program. It's probably one of the best ones that I  
21 recognize in the country.

22 Through that whole thing, safety was not  
23 part of that apprenticeship program. Eighteen years  
24 ago I come to Florida and went to work for Florida  
25 Power and Light. I found out what safety is all

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 about. They completely changed the way you think  
2 about safety by how they do things. Bob Hovey and  
3 myself here work very closely on safety. That's one  
4 of the things we agree upon wholeheartedly. We have  
5 no disagreements with that. We work well together.  
6 You heard the business manager here earlier today talk  
7 about the safety that we do throughout the state.  
8 We're setting records on safety out there. We have  
9 programs out there where we're looking at each other.  
10 We actually go and check each other, have a check  
11 sheet to go check off on things that we do. This is  
12 not part of my speech in here.

13           And one of the things that we do produce  
14 is 693 million watts of electricity per each unit, and  
15 that approximately covers everything from Miami  
16 Airport south. So if we loose them units we'd be  
17 watching T.V. in the dark down here. That's one of  
18 the bad things that we'd be doing.

19           Turkey Point's done a lot of things for  
20 us. We hear about the impact it has -- that we've had  
21 on our environment to crocs and everything else. I've  
22 been out there and looked at -- they don't allow us  
23 out there any more because they're afraid of some  
24 impact we may have going out there. You used to go  
25 out there and it used to be good fishing back in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 back canals out there, but they don't allow us to do  
2 that any more. Which we understand why they do it,  
3 because they are protected out there. And I hear some  
4 of the stories about some of the National Geographic  
5 people that's come down and actually taken movie and  
6 stuff. There's one photographer there that talked  
7 about coming out and taking pictures and they take him  
8 out in the air boat and they got up real close on this  
9 bird and he was taking pictures out there. They said  
10 he was so excited when he come back he just couldn't  
11 hardly -- he couldn't even sleep that night because he  
12 had never seen that bird before, whatever that bird is  
13 out there. But I heard the story and I thought it was  
14 real swell.

15           And one of the things I've learned at  
16 Florida Power and Light is, is a lot of things they do  
17 for us. They do a lot for the community. As you  
18 heard, United Way, we work a lot with United Way.  
19 They support United Way quite a bit. When I first  
20 started this job here I went up and we had a United  
21 Way meeting and I was sitting up front and I didn't  
22 realize the significance of sitting up front. Up  
23 front was the million dollar contributors and I didn't  
24 realize it. I was sitting up where all the million  
25 dollar contributors are. I don't know how much a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 million dollars is. Except I did see in Washington,  
2 D.C., I went mint up there and seen a million dollars  
3 up personal. That's as close as I've ever come to a  
4 million. But I do bank at First National Bank.

5 -- is growing about two percent a year for  
6 electricity and if we don't continue growing and using  
7 our resources we have right now, we're going to wind  
8 up just like California is.

9 I go to a nuclear conference every year  
10 and all the utilities from IBEW that we have in  
11 nuclear send representatives to Las Vegas out there  
12 and we meet out there every year. And I had been to  
13 Yuca Mountain and that's quite a project out there.  
14 The study that they're doing out there, they took a  
15 twenty-five foot drill and drilled into the side of  
16 Yuca Mountain and there's a twenty-five foot hole they  
17 dug five miles back into that.

18 South Florida went out there. Thought it  
19 was going to be nice and warm out there. I found out  
20 it was cold. Of course every place north of the  
21 airport is cold to me.

22 There's some of the things that we gain by  
23 having this plant. You take all the businesses and  
24 all the people that support it. There are several  
25 thousand businesses, or several thousand people that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 support it in this business that we do, that support  
2 us, the support that we get. Homestead here would be  
3 really impacted. I know a lot of business we use in  
4 here, because at one time I purchased -- I worked on  
5 the fossil site also when it was all combined, it was  
6 all one thing. They separated the fossil and the  
7 nuclear right now. And I did some purchasing for a  
8 couple of years. And I was surprised at the amount of  
9 money and stuff that's spent just for the products and  
10 things that we buy and the number of people that come  
11 in here and support our business.

12 Florida Power and Light didn't tell me  
13 what to say or what to do up here. I volunteered to  
14 come up here because I think it's a safe plant to work  
15 at. I'm an electrician out there. I've been an  
16 electrician out there for eighteen years. I go to  
17 training every year. It's part of my job to go to  
18 training, to go to learn, to find out about the  
19 environmental impact, the studies that they have at  
20 the other plants that we go over. We have to sign and  
21 verify that this is what we've done. Everything --  
22 these people from the NRC right here, they regulate  
23 us. They do an excellent job of it, and I never  
24 realized how well NRC does until I come down to  
25 Florida and started working for FP&L. They're a good

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 agency. They're a good agency, they help us a great  
2 deal. They help us run that plant out there and  
3 without them we'd be hurting. And I'm not saying that  
4 to make any points, because I don't make any points.

5 The power that we produce out there, we  
6 strive to make cheaper power. The employees there  
7 -- I represent about 300 plus employees there at that  
8 plant. I have about 1200 all together and I represent  
9 Lake Worth, Homestead and Dade County. And the --  
10 most of the people that know me, know about this plant  
11 that work for FP&L. They know it's a safe plant.  
12 They know it's a safe place to work.

13 If there is anything that I ever thought  
14 was unsafe, we got several mechanisms we could go to  
15 to do that.

16 And you talk about -- you was talking  
17 about the cancer rates in Dade County. I am a  
18 survivor. A year ago, almost a year ago today, I  
19 found out that I had a problem and I had cancer.  
20 Where did I get that cancer out? I got that in 1969  
21 when I quit smoking and quit drinking. Every doctor  
22 that I've been to, including the ones in New York that  
23 found it, first question they asked me was, did you  
24 smoke. That's what we ought to be looking at, if  
25 you're looking for safety. That plant out there is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 safe. I'd be glad to work at that until 2010 when I  
2 retire.

3 That's all I've got to say, and thank you  
4 all. Thank you, appreciate it.

5 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Randles.

6 Jennifer? Is it B-A-L-F-E? F-E.  
7 Jennifer Balfe, B-A-L-F-E.

8 MS. BALFE: Good evening. My name is  
9 Jennifer Balfe. I'm a concerned daughter and future  
10 mother in this community. I live in Miami.

11 I'd like to just say a few simple quick  
12 points. Number one, it's an old facility. That  
13 concerns me. The EIS report is weak. I notice quite  
14 often it mentions small impacts, but what exactly is  
15 small when we're speaking of humanity, endangered  
16 animals? It's kind of important. I don't know  
17 exactly what small is.

18 FP&L is sensitive to the environment. I  
19 understand you do a lot of work. You restore things,  
20 you're helping out crocodiles, but what if the nuclear  
21 power plant would just explode and all your work would  
22 just go to dust? Modern technology, there's plenty of  
23 modern technology that seems to be being ignored. One  
24 thing mentioned is fear of the economy dropping. But

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 if we focus on new energy there will be a new economy  
2 that will come about.

3 The commendable safety record seems to be  
4 -- holding back a pack of hungry wolves from children  
5 with a shredded rope. This safety aspect that we're  
6 keeping up. How about out with the old and in with  
7 the new?

8 I'm a concerned citizen and I'm not for  
9 nuclear energy at all. Thank you.

10 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Jennifer, for  
11 being with us tonight.

12 Could we have Bob, is it Bob Vandorsen?

13 MR. ANDERSON: Bob Anderson.

14 MR. CAMERON: Bob Anderson. It could be  
15 my eyes. Welcome.

16 MR. ANDERSON: My name is Bob Anderson and  
17 earlier today Luis Delan of the Vision Council, and  
18 I'm the chairman of the Vision Council which is an  
19 economic development agency here in Homestead, Luis  
20 presented our support for the relicensing of the  
21 nuclear plant.

22 And I hadn't planned to speak tonight, but  
23 a couple of comments just came to mind that I thought  
24 might be shared. I've had the opportunity, whether  
25 it's fortunate or not, to spend as a business

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 consultant some time, several months over the past  
2 couple of years, in Eastern Europe. And when you go  
3 to a country like Bulgaria, first of all the whole  
4 country seems to be lit by a 40 watt bulb. I mean  
5 it's dark.

6 But I was walking along the street with a  
7 business associate over there and stuff was falling on  
8 my head. And I thought perhaps it was snow, but it  
9 was ashes. We looked around and here's this plant  
10 spewing stuff and we're in downtown, main street. And  
11 I said, "What in the world were they thinking of when  
12 they built the plant there?"

13 The guy turns to me and says, "We didn't  
14 vote on it."

15 And I think that's an important  
16 distinction. Chernobyl was mentioned earlier.  
17 Chernobyl was built by a communist government,  
18 inspected by the same government, run by the same  
19 government. There was no union that could look at  
20 safety. There was no FP&L and NRC regulating it.  
21 There was no public as to whether it was good, safe,  
22 bad, anything else. To compare Chernobyl with any  
23 power plant in the United States with the oversight  
24 that we have, with the possibility of participation by  
25 citizens to put in their input, their concerns. You

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 talk to somebody in Eastern Europe who's sitting there  
2 with some monster facility that was built under  
3 communism.

4 They never got a chance to say, "Hey, I  
5 don't want it here," whether it's safe or anything  
6 else. And the legacy that those people are living  
7 under will go on a long time, in the power plants, in  
8 the terrible architecture. The same people that built  
9 Chernobyl build a car called a Lada, and if you ever  
10 want to see a bum of an automobile, that is it.

11 This is a wonderful system we have here.  
12 We're relying on the NRC to technically oversee it.  
13 We're relying on the good folks at FP&L who live here  
14 with us not to be sacrificing their families just as  
15 ours. And it's really a remarkable procedure that we  
16 go through here in this country and when you go spend  
17 some time in some of these dismal places in Eastern  
18 Europe, the gentleman talked about being stuck in an  
19 elevator, it happens. And you greatly appreciate the  
20 process that we have here and the regulation that we  
21 have here and the companies that we have here that  
22 provide this thing, because there's a lot of places in  
23 this world that never had a choice and got some pretty  
24 bad stuff from where they live, the buildings they

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 live in, the cars they drove and what the power was  
2 and what got sprayed around their neighborhood.

3 So I say right on, and thank you very  
4 much.

5 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Anderson.

6 We have one final speaker. I don't think  
7 I missed anybody, but hopefully someone will remind me  
8 if I did, someone who signed up. But we'll close with  
9 Mr. Rothschild.

10 MR. ROTHSCHILD: Thank you. My name is  
11 Rubin Rothschild. I'm an employee of FP&L and as you  
12 can see I'm also a Boy Scout.

13 I was not asked by FPL to do this. I  
14 asked FPL if I could. I wanted to be part of this  
15 process. I wanted to see this process and I wanted to  
16 have a little say in this process as an adult, as a  
17 citizen of this country and an employee of FP&L.

18 A little bit about myself. I graduated  
19 high school in 1957 and went to the Navy in 1958. In  
20 1960 I went to nuclear power school and since then I  
21 have spent twenty-eight of the last forty odd years in  
22 nuclear power in one form or another. I've also spent  
23 some time in some other industries and as has been  
24 said before, this plant has a culture, an atmosphere,  
25 an attitude of safety. Other plants that I've been

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in, they don't care about the employees, they kill to  
2 keep the product going. And I'm pleased to say that  
3 I'm part of that process and that I'm glad to be  
4 involved in that process.

5 I am a technical reviewer. I review  
6 purchase documents for technical adequacy, spelling  
7 adequacy, whether they meet the current design  
8 requirements, whether the evaluations are correct and  
9 current, and that they meet all the regulations for  
10 the State, the City and the Federal Government.

11 I also assist in reviewing changes to the  
12 material. If there's a change, because we're so old  
13 and a lot of the companies that we originally bought  
14 equipment from, they're out of business, they're  
15 obsolete, they don't make this equipment any more, and  
16 I'm part of the process that reviews and gathers the  
17 information and does the engineering to make sure that  
18 if widget A is no longer available, widget B will fit  
19 and meet those requirements and it will meet out  
20 design basis problem -- design basis and maintain the  
21 quality of the plant.

22 Also, I want to thank FPL for supporting  
23 me and the Boy Scouts with funds. Mr. Hovey is  
24 chairman of the Friends of Scouting Campaign for the  
25 District, the Thunderbird District. FPL supports the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Boy Scouts, the facility. There's a marvelous scout  
2 camp out at the plant that we use for training and  
3 it's a scouts camp and it's right on the bay and the  
4 boys have a good time and it's also a Girl Scout camp  
5 too.

6 FP&L provides facilities for the Atomic  
7 Energy Merit Badge. We have the poster out there.  
8 For the last six years we've been able to get  
9 approximately thirty-six boys a year for the Atomic  
10 Energy Merit Badge and those boys appreciate that  
11 Merit Badge.

12 Also, I get personal encouragement from  
13 management for what I do for the scouts, and that's  
14 very gratifying.

15 Earlier I said that I was on vacation the  
16 last couple of weeks and on that vacation I took some  
17 trips on old Route 66 and it was quite an experience  
18 going through some of those old roads. And yesterday,  
19 I think or this morning on NPR, they talked about the  
20 Route 66 Association and their meetings in California.  
21 And one of the things they talked about was the old  
22 cars that have been restored that are still driving  
23 nowadays that the people are bringing out to  
24 California and they maintained these cars.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           So I think there's a relationship between  
2 maintaining the car that's over fifty or sixty years  
3 old and maintaining a plant that's only thirty some  
4 years old for another twenty years or thirty years.  
5 So I think there's a causal relationship there that we  
6 can maintain this plant and I'm pleased and proud to  
7 be part of that process, to say that we can get the  
8 parts that the plant needs on time and when they need  
9 them.

10           I was looking at the report, part of the  
11 report that says okay, if we do fossil we can do this,  
12 if we go solar we can do that. But all of those  
13 processes say that we have to close this plant. So  
14 that means we have to become a disposable society. We  
15 have to throw away this plant and build a new one, and  
16 I don't think that's the way to go.

17           So I want to thank FPL and I want to thank  
18 the NRC and thank you all.

19           MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Rothschild.

20           Did I miss anybody who signed up?

21           Okay. We do have an evaluation form on  
22 the meeting. If you could fill it out we'd appreciate  
23 that.

24           I want to thank you from all of the NRC  
25 staff for all the good information tonight and all the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 heartfelt comments that we heard. And you have a  
2 contact information, Jim Wilson. Feel free to contact  
3 any of us any time and we'll try to provide  
4 information or whatever.

5 Thank you very much. We're adjourned.

6 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at  
7 10:30 p.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Attachments to Meetings Transcript:

- 2 1. Letter from Mayor Alex Penelas of Miami-Dade County
- 3 2. Testimony of Leonard S. Anthony, dated July 17, 2001
- 4 3. Testimony of Naranja Lakes Condominium No 5, Inc., dated July 17,  
5 2001
- 6 4. Comments on "Draft Report and Submission of New Information" by  
7 the Radiation and Public Health Project, dated July 17, 2001
- 8 5. Letter from Kathryn Barber, Counsel for NRC Staff, to Mark  
9 Oncavage, dated January 24, 2001
- 10 6. Letter from Mark Oncavage, to George Mulley, Office of the Inspector  
11 General, NRC
- 12 7. Statement from Frank Pitz, dated July 17, 2001
- 13 8. Letter from Robert Anderson and Luis Dilan, Vision Council, to Jim  
14 Wilson, NRC
- 15 9. Statement of Brian Thompson, International Brotherhood of Electrical  
16 Workers
- 17 10. Comments on "Cigarettes and Radiation" by Dade Moeller, dated  
18 June 10, 2001
- 19 11. Comments by Dade Moeller on the Radiation and Public Health  
20 Project's "Environmental Radiation from Nuclear Reactors and  
21 Increasing Children's Cancer in Southeastern Florida, A Special  
22 Report on the Florida Baby Tooth Study" (March 28, 2001), Gould et.  
23 al's "Strontium-90 in Deciduous Teeth as a Factor in Early Childhood  
24 Cancer" (2000), and the "Draft Generic Environmental Impact  
25 Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants: Turkey Point Units  
26 3 and 4" (May 2001)

- 1 12. Testimony of the Greater Homestead/Florida City Chamber of
- 2 Commerce, dated August 17, 200
- 3 13. Comments on "License Renewal is the Best Option for Turkey Point"
- 4 by Ralph Anderson, dated June 17, 2001
- 5 14. Comments by Johnnie Randles
- 6 15. Slides from the presentation by Dr. Earnest Sternglass
- 7 16. Letter from David Johnson, Florida Department of Health, regarding
- 8 the Radiation and Public Health Project, Inc.
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25