



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 6, 1985

Docket Nos: 50-424
and 50-425

Mr. Donald O. Foster
Vice President and Project General Manager
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 299A, Route 2
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Dear Mr. Foster:

Subject: Amendments to Construction Permits for Vogtle
Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued the enclosed Amendments No. 5 to Construction Permits CPPR-108 and CPPR-109 for the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Burke County, Georgia. The amendments are in response to your letter dated January 11, 1985.

The amendments modify the construction permits to reflect issuance, by the NRC, of an Exemption dated February 5, 1985. The amendments are effective as of their dates of issuance.

A copy of the safety evaluation supporting Amendments No. 5 is enclosed. Also enclosed is a copy of a related notice which has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Elinor G. Adensam".

Elinor G. Adensam, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 4
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 5 to CPPR-108
2. Amendment No. 5 to CPPR-109
3. Safety Evaluation
4. F.R. Notice

cc w/encl:
See next page

8503190509 850306
PDR ADDCK 05000424
A PDR

RECEIVED ORIGINAL
Certified By A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely of the certifying official, written over a stamp that says "RECEIVED ORIGINAL" and "Certified By".

VOGTLE

Mr. Donald Foster
Vice President and Project General Manager
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 299A, Route 2
Waynesboro, GA 30830

cc: Mr. L. T. Gucwa
Chief Nuclear Engineer
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. Ruble A. Thomas
Vice President - Licensing
Vogtle Project
Georgia Power Company/
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 2625
Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Mr. R. E. Conway
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
Power
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. J. A. Bailey
Project Licensing Manager
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 2625
Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. G. Bockhold, Jr.
Vogtle Plant Manager
Georgia Power Company
Route 2, Box 299-A
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Mr. James P. O'Reilly
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Office of Planning and Budget
Room 615B
270 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Mr. William S. Sanders
Resident Inspector/Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
P.O. Box 572
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Deppish Kirkland, III, Counsel
Office of the Consumers' Utility
Council
Suite 225
32 Peachtree Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

James E. Joiner
Troutman, Sanders, Lockerman,
& Ashmore
Candler Building
127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Douglas C. Teper
Georgians Against Nuclear Energy
1253 Lenox Circle
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Laurie Fowler
Legal Environmental Assistance
Foundation
1102 Healy Building
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Tim Johnson
Executive Director
Educational Campaign for
a Prosperous Georgia
175 Trinity Avenue, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303

Attorney General
Law Department
132 Judicial Building
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Ray DeLaigle
Chairman, Burke County Commission
Route 1
Midville Road
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION

MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA

CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

DOCKET NO. 50-424

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

Amendment No. 5
Construction Permit No. CPPR-108


1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1, Construction Permit No. CPPR-108 filed by the Georgia Power Company acting for itself, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia, dated January 11, 1985, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - C. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations, and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, Construction Permit No. CPPR-108 is changed as follows:
 - A. Change paragraph 3 to read:
 3. This permit shall be deemed to contain and be subject to the conditions specified in Sections 50.54 and 50.55, of said regulations; is subject to all applicable provisions of the Act, and rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect, as modified by duly authorized exemptions; and is subject to the conditions specified or incorporated below:
 - B. Change paragraph 3.C. to read:
 - C. This construction permit authorizes the applicant to construct the facility described in the application (as modified by duly authorized

8503190522 850306
PDR ADDCK 05000424
A PDR

exemptions) and in the hearing record, in accordance with the principal architectural and engineering criteria and environmental protection commitments set forth therein.

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



JAY
Hugh L. Thompson, Jr., Director
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Date of Issuance: March 6, 1985



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION

MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA

CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

DOCKET NO. 50-425

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

Amendment No. 5
Construction Permit No. CPPR-109

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 2, Construction Permit No. CPPR-109 filed by the Georgia Power Company acting for itself, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia, dated January 11, 1985, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - C. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations, and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, Construction Permit No. CPPR-109 is changed as follows:
 - A. Change paragraph 3 to read:
 3. This permit shall be deemed to contain and be subject to the conditions specified in Sections 50.54 and 50.55, of said regulations; is subject to all applicable provisions of the Act, and rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect, as modified by duly authorized exemptions; and is subject to the conditions specified or incorporated below:
 - B. Change paragraph 3.C. to read:
 - C. This construction permit authorizes the applicant to construct the facility described in the application (as modified by duly authorized

exemptions) and in the hearing record, in accordance with the principal architectural and engineering criteria and environmental protection commitments set forth therein.

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

for Frank J. Meraglia
Hugh L. Thompson, Jr., Director
Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Date of Issuance: March 6, 1985



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT
VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2
RELATED TO AMENDMENTS NO. 5
TO CONSTRUCTION PERMITS CPPR-108 AND CPPR-109

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated January 11, 1985, Georgia Power Company (GPCo or the licensee), the lead construction agent of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2, requested amendments to Construction Permits CPPR-108 and CPPR-109, to incorporate the partial Exemption previously requested by the applicant by letter dated April 2, 1984, pertaining to General Design Criterion (GDC) 4 of 10 CFR 50, Appendix A. The partial exemption granted by the Commission will not require the licensee to install jet impingement shields or pipe whip restraints in eight locations per loop in Vogtle, Units 1 and 2 primary coolant piping system, as specified in Enclosure D of the licensee's letter to the Commission dated October 25, 1984. The partial exemption will also not require the licensee to consider dynamic effects associated with the previously postulated breaks. The licensee submitted a value-impact analysis, which, together with the technical information contained in Westinghouse Reports MT-SME-3082 and WCAP-10551, provided a comprehensive justification in support of requesting a partial exemption from the requirements of GDC 4.

EVALUATION

By letter dated February 5, 1985, the applicant was informed that the Commission had granted the Exemption requested, and a copy of the Exemption was enclosed. The exemption became effective upon its date of issuance. The licensee was advised that NRC was processing the requested Construction Permit amendment separately (licensee's January 11, 1985, letter).

The staff's detailed evaluation and basis for granting the partial exemption to the requirements of GDC 4 are delineated in the Exemption enclosed with the staff's February 5, 1985, letter. A summary of the staff's evaluation findings and conclusions immediately follow.

SUMMARY OF EVALUATION FINDINGS

From its evaluation of the analysis contained in Westinghouse Reports MT-SME-3082 and WCAP-10551 for Vogtle, Units 1 and 2, the staff found that the licensee presented an acceptable technical justification, which adequately addressed the staff's evaluation criteria, to: (1) eliminate the need to postulate circumferential and longitudinal pipe breaks in the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) primary loop (hot leg, cold leg and cross-over leg piping); (2) eliminate the need to

8503190525 850306
PDR ADOCK 05000424
A PDR

install pipe whip restraints and jet impingement shields associated with previously postulated pressure loads, blowdown loads in the RCS and attached piping, and subcompartment pressure loads used to determine pipe support loadings. This finding does not in any way affect the design bases for the containment, the emergency core cooling system, or the environmental qualification for Vogtle. This finding is predicated on the fact that each of the parameters evaluated for Vogtle is enveloped by the generic analysis performed by Westinghouse, contained in Westinghouse Report WCAP-9558, Revision 2, and accepted by the staff in Enclosure (1) to NRC Generic Letter 84-04 (February 1, 1984). Specifically, the NRC determined that:

- (1) The loads associated with the highest stressed location in the main loop primary system piping are 1,962 kips (axial), 28,810 in-kips (bending moment) and result in maximum stresses of about 75% of the bounding stress used in Westinghouse Report WCAP-9558, Revision 2. Further, these loads are approximately 70% of those established by the staff as limits (e.g. a moment of 42,000 in-kips in Enclosure (1) to NRC Generic Letter 84-04).
- (2) For Westinghouse plants, there is no history of cracking failure in reactor primary coolant system loop piping. The Westinghouse reactor coolant system primary loop has an operating history which demonstrates its inherent stability. This includes a low susceptibility to cracking failure from the effects of corrosion (e.g. intergranular stress corrosion cracking), water hammer, or fatigue (low and high cycle). This operating history totals over 400 reactor-years, including five (5) plants each having 15 years of operation and 15 other plants with over 10 years of operation.
- (3) The results of the leak rate calculations performed for Vogtle, using an initial through-wall crack of 7.5 inches, are identical to those of Enclosure 1 to Generic Letter 84-04. The Vogtle plant has an RCS pressure boundary leak detection system which is consistent with the guidelines of Regulatory Guide 1.45, and it can detect leakage of one (1) gpm in one hour. The calculated leak rate through the postulated flaw results in a factor of at least 10 relative to the sensitivity of the Vogtle plant leak detection system.
- (4) The margin in terms of load based on fracture mechanics analyses for the leakage-size crack under normal plus SSE loads is within the bounds calculated by the staff in Section 4.2.3 of Enclosure 1 to Generic Letter 84-04. Based on a limit-load analysis, the load margin is about 2.9 and based on the Δ limit discussed in (6) below, the margin is at least 1.5.
- (5) The margin between the leakage-size crack and the critical-size crack was calculated by a limit load analysis. Again, the results demonstrated that a margin of at least 3 on crack size exists and is within the bounds of Section 4.2.3 of Enclosure 1 to Generic Letter 84-04.

- (6) As an integral part of its review, the staff's evaluation of the material properties data in Westinghouse Report WCAP-10456 is enclosed as Appendix 1 to the Exemption granted by the Commission. In WCAP-10456, data for ten (10) plants, including the Vogtle units, are presented, and lower bound or "worst case" materials properties were identified and used in the analysis performed in WCAP-10551 by Westinghouse. The applied J for Vogtle in WCAP-10551 was substantially less than 3000 in-lb/in². Hence, the staff's upper bound of 3000 in-lb/in² on the applied J (refer to Appendix 1 of the Exemption, page 6) was not exceeded.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

In advance of issuing the Exemption, the Commission published in the Federal Register on January 31, 1985 (50 FR 4605) an "environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact." It was stated in that assessment that the planned Exemption action would not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. The Exemption granted involves design features located entirely within the plant restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20; does not affect plant radioactive and non-radioactive effluents; has no other environmental impact; and does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement (construction permit) for Vogtle, Units 1 and 2.

The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has determined that these amendments involve no significant hazards considerations. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

CONCLUSION

In granting the Exemption, the staff found that the advanced fracture mechanics techniques used by the licensee provided an assurance that flaws in primary system piping will be detected before they reach a size that could lead to unstable crack growth. For this reason, further protection provided by jet impingement shields against the dynamic effects resulting from the discharge from a double-ended guillotine break in the primary piping is unnecessary. Additionally, consideration of such dynamic effects associated with previously postulated pipe breaks is unnecessary. With full protection against dynamic effects provided by advanced analysis techniques, and based on the considerations discussed above, we conclude that: (1) the proposed amendments to Construction Permits CPPR-108 and CPPR-109 permitting the use of the Exemption in construction of Units 1 and 2 do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered, do not create

the possibility of an accident of a type different from any evaluated previously, do not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, and thus do not involve a significant hazards consideration; (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; and (3) such activities will be in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security, or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal contributor: M. Miller, Licensing Branch No. 4, DL

Date of Issuance: March 6, 1985

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDOCKET NOS. 50-424 AND 50-425GEORGIA POWER COMPANYOGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATIONMUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIACITY OF DALTON, GEORGIANOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 5 to Construction Permit No. CPPR-108 and Amendment No. 5 to Construction Permit No. CPPR-109. The amendments modify construction permits to reflect issuance, by the Commission, of an Exemption dated February 5, 1985. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

For further details with respect to the action, see (1) the application for amendments dated January 11, 1985, (2) Amendments No. 5 to Construction Permit Nos. CPPR-108 and CPPR-109, (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation, (4) the Exemption dated February 5, 1985, and (5) the Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact dated January 25, 1985. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20555, and at the Burke

8503190543 850306
PDR ADOCK 05000424
A PDR

County Public Library, Fourth Street, Waynesboro, Georgia 30830. In addition, a copy of items (2), (3), (4), and (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 6th day of March 1985.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Elinor G. Adensam, Chief
Licensing Branch No. 4
Division of Licensing

Mar 6, 1985

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

AMENDMENT NO. 5 TO CONSTRUCTION PERMIT NO. CPPR-108
AMENDMENT NO. 5 TO CONSTRUCTION PERMIT NO. CPPR-109

DISTRIBUTION:

✓ Docket Nos. 50-424/425

NRC PDR

Local PDR

NSIC

LB #4 r/f

E. Adensam

M. Miller

M. Duncan

Attorney, OELD

R. Diggs, ADM

T. Barnhart (8)

E. L. Jordan, DEQA:I&E

J. M. Taylor, DRP:I&E

L. J. Harmon, I&E File

Certified by

[Handwritten Signature]