April 5, 1990

Docket No. 50-395

,

Mr. O. S. Bradham Vice President, Nuclear Operations South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station P. O. Box 88 Jenkinsville, South Carolina 29065

Dear Mr. Bradham:

SUBJECT: CORRECTION PAGES TO AMENDMENT NO. 88 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-12 - VIRGIL C. SUMMER NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1, REGARDING CYCLE SPECIFIC PARAMETERS (TAC NO. 75360)

Enclosed are the corrected pages to those which were issued as part of Amendment 88 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-12 for the Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1. These corrections address several typographical errors in the original amendment issuance. It should be noted that Amendment 75 deleted page B 3/4 2-6. Therefore, no additional page is required in association with Amendment 88 since no overleaf pages are involved.

Sincerely,

Orignal signed by: John J. Hayes, Jr., Project Manager Project Directorate II-1 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Λ

Enc	losures:	
As	stated	

cc w/enclosures See next page

DISTRIBUTION See attached page

See attached page					60		
		9004120138 900 3DR ADOCK 050	405 00395 PDC			UP 1	
OFC .	:LA:PDII-1	:PM:PDII-1	:D:PDII-1	:	:		+
NAME	U:PAnderson	: JHayes : sw	:EAdensam	:		:	
DATE	·Z /ZV90	12/90	A 14 190		;;		
U	OFFICIAL RE Document Na		75360		cle	X	

Mr. O. S. Bradham South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station

cc:

· ·

Mr. R. V. Tanner Executive Vice President S.C. Public Service Authority P. O. Box 398) Moncks Corner, South Carolina 29461-0398

J. B. Knotts, Jr., Esq. Bishop, Cook, Purcell and Reynolds 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20005-3502

Resident Inspector/Summer NPS c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 1, Box 64 Jenkinsville, South Carolina 29065

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Chairman, Fairfield County Council P. O. Box 293 Winnsboro, South Carolina 29180

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief Bureau of Radiological Health South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Mr. A. R. Koon, Jr., Manager Nuclear Licensing Virgil C. Summer Nuclear Station P. O. Box 88 Jenkinsville, South Carolina 29065

# DISTRIBUTION

.

.

Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR S. Varga G. Lainas E. Adensam P. Anderson	14-E-4 14-H-3 14-B-20 14-B-20	
J. Hayes OGC	14-B-20 15-B-18	
D. Hagan E. Jordan	MNBB-3302 MNBB-3302	
G. Hill (4 cys Wanda Jones J. Calvo ACRS (10) GPA/PA ARM/LFMB Summer File	for each Docket No.) P-130A 11-F-23 P-315 17-F-2 AR-2015	P1-137

## CORRECTION TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 88

## TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-12

## DOCKET NO. 50-395

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove Pages	Insert Pages
3/4 2-6	3/4 2-6
3/4 2-6b	3/4 2-6b
3/4 2-6c	3/4 2-6c
3/4 2-8	3/4 2-8
3/4 2-9	3/4 2-9
B 3/4 2-5	B 3/4 2-5
6-18a	6-18a

9004120140 900405 PDR ADOCK 05000395 PDC PDC

-

.•

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

e. With the maximum value of

$$F_Q^M(z)$$
  
K(z)

over the core height (z) increasing since the previous determination of  $F_0^M(z)$  either of the following actions shall be taken:

- (1)  $F_Q^M(z)$  shall be increased by 2% over that specified in
  - Specification 4.2.2.2c. or
- (2)  $F_Q^M(z)$  shall be measured at least once per 7 Effective Full Power Days until two successive maps indicate that the maximum value of

$$\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)}$$

over the core height (z) is not increasing.

- f. With the relationships specified in Specification 4.2.2.2c. above not being satisfied:
  - (1) Calculate the maximum percent over the core height (z) that  $F_0(z)$  exceeds its limit by the following expression:

$$\begin{cases} \left[ \frac{F_{Q}^{M}(z) \times W(z)}{RTP} - 1 \right] \times 100 \text{ for } P \ge 0.5 \\ \left[ \frac{F_{Q}}{P} - x K(z) \right] - 1 \\ \left[ \frac{F_{Q}^{M}(z) \times W(z)}{RTP} - 1 \right] \times 100 \text{ for } P < 0.5 \\ \left[ \frac{F_{Q}}{P} - x K(z) \right] - 1 \\ \left[ \frac{F_{Q}}{P} - x K(z) - 1 \right] \times 100 \text{ for } P < 0.5 \end{cases}$$

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

b. During Base Load operation, if the THERMAL POWER is decreased below APL<sup>ND</sup> then the conditions of 4.2.2.3.a shall be satisfied before re-entering Base Load operation.

4.2.2.4 During Base Load Operation  $F_Q(z)$  shall be evaluated to determine if  $F_Q(z)$  is within its limit by:

- a. Using the movable incore detectors to obtain a power distribution map at any THERMAL POWER above APL<sup>ND</sup>.
- b. Increasing the measured  $F_Q(z)$  component of the power distribution map by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and further increasing the value by 5% to account for measurement uncertainties. Verify the requirements of Specification 3.2.2 are satisfied.
- c. Satisfying the following relationship:

$$F_Q^M(z) \leq \frac{F_Q^{RTP}}{P \times W(z)_{Bl}}$$
 for P > APL<sup>ND</sup>

where:  $F_Q^M(z)$  is the measured  $F_Q(z)$ . The  $F_Q$  limit is  $F_Q$ .

P is the relative THERMAL POWER.  $W(z)_{BL}$  is the cycle dependent function that accounts for limited power distribution transients encountered during normal operation.  $F_Q^{RTP}K(z)$  and  $W(z)_{BL}$  are specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT as per Specification 6.9.1.11.

- d. Measuring  $F_Q^M(z)$  in conjunction with target flux difference determination according to the following schedule:
  - Prior to entering BASE LOAD operation after satisfying Section 4.2.2.3 unless a full core flux map has been taken in the previous 31 EFPD with the relative thermal power having been maintained above APL<sup>ND</sup> for the 24 hours prior to mapping, and
  - 2. At least once per 31 Effective Full Power Days.
- e. With the maximum value of

$$\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)}$$

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

over the core height (z) increasing since the previous determination of  $F_0(z)$  either of the following actions shall be taken:

- 1.  $F_Q^{M}(z)$  shall be increased by 2 percent over that specified in 4.2.2.4.c, or
- 2.  $F_0^M(z)$  shall be measured at least once per 7 Effective Full

Power Days until 2 successive maps indicate that the maximum value of  $% \left( {{{\left[ {{{L_{\rm{s}}}} \right]}_{\rm{s}}}} \right)$ 

$$\frac{F_Q^M(z)}{K(z)}$$

over the core height (z) is not increasing.

- f. With the relationship specified in 4.2.2.4.c above not being satisfied, either of the following actions shall be taken:
  - 1. Place core in an equilibrium condition where the limit in 4.2.2.2.c is satisfied, and remeasure  $F_0^M(z)$ , or
  - 2. Comply with the requirements of Specification 3.2.2 for  $F_Q(z)$  exceeding its limit by the maximum percent calculated over the core height (z) with the following expression:

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} F^{M}(z) \times W(z)_{BL} \\ \frac{Q}{F_{Q}} & \text{ND} \\ \frac{RTP}{F_{Q}} \times K(z) \\ \frac{F_{Q}}{P} & \text{V} \end{array} \right) = 1 \right\} \times 100 \text{ for } P \ge APL$$

- g. The limits specified in 4.2.2.4.c, 4.2.2.4.e, and 4.2.2.4.f above are not applicable in the following core plane regions:
  - 1. Lower core region 0 to 15 percent, inclusive.
  - 2. Upper core region 85 to 100 percent, inclusive.

4.2.2.5 When  $F_Q(z)$  is measured for reasons other than meeting the requirements of Specification 4.2.2.2 an overall measured  $F_Q(z)$  shall be obtained from a power distribution map and increased by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and further increased by 5% to account for measurement uncertainty.

## 3/4.2.3 RCS FLOW RATE AND NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.2.3 The combination of indicated Reactor Coolant System (RCS) total flow rate and R shall be maintained within the region of allowable operation as specified in the CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) figure entitled RCS Total Flow Rate Versus R For Three Loop Operation.

Where:  
a. 
$$R = \frac{F\Delta H}{F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}[1.0 + PF_{\Delta H}(1.0 - P)]}$$
  
b.  $P = \frac{THERMAL POWER}{RATED THERMAL POWER}$ ,

- c.  $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$  = Measured values of  $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$  obtained by using the movable incore detectors to obtain a power distribution map. The measured values of  $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$  shall be used to calculate R since the RCS Total Flow Rate Versus R figure in the COLR includes measurement uncertainties of 2.1% for flow and 4% for incore measurement of  $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$ , and
- d.  $F_{\Delta H}^{RTP}$  = The  $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$  limit at RATED THERMAL POWER specified in the COLR.

e.  $PF_{AH}$  = The Power Factor Multiplier specified in the COLR.

APPLICABILITY: MODE 1.

#### ACTION:

With the combination of RCS total flow rate and R outside the region of acceptable operation specified in the COLR:

- a. Within 2 hours either:
  - 1. Restore the combination of RCS total flow rate and R to within the above limits, or
  - Reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER and reduce the Power Range Neutron Flux - High trip setpoint to less than or equal to 55% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 4 hours.
- b. Within 24 hours of initially being outside the above limits, verify through incore flux mapping and RCS total flow rate comparison that the combination of R and RCS total flow rate are restored to within the above limits, or reduce THERMAL POWER to less than 5% of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 2 hours.

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

#### ACTION. (Continued)

- c. Identify and correct the cause of the out-of-limit condition prior to increasing THERMAL POWER above the reduced THERMAL POWER limit required by ACTION items a.2. and/or b. above; subsequent POWER OPERATION may proceed provided that the combination of R and indicated RCS total flow rate are demonstrated, through incore flux mapping and RCS total flow rate comparison, to be within the region of acceptable operation specified in the COLR prior to exceeding the following THERMAL POWER levels:
  - 1. A nominal 50% of RATED THERMAL POWER,
  - 2. A nominal 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER, and
  - 3. Within 24 hours of attaining greater than or equal to 95% of RATED THERMAL POWER.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.2.3.1 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable.

4.2.3.2 The combination of indicated RCS total flow rate and R shall be determined to be within the region of acceptable operation specified in the COLR.

- a. Prior to operation above 75% of RATED THERMAL POWER after each fuel loading, and
- b. At least once per 31 Effective Full Power Days.

4.2.3.3 The indicated RCS total flow rate shall be verified to be within the region of acceptable operation specified in the COLR at least once per 12 hours when the most recently obtained value of R obtained per Specification 4.2.3.2, is assumed to exist.

4.2.3.4 The RCS total flow rate indicators shall be subjected to a CHANNEL CALIBRATION at least once per 18 months.

4.2.3.5 The RCS total flow rate shall be determined by measurement at least once per 18 months.

### BASES

### HEAT FLUX HOT CHANNEL FACTOR and RCS FLOWRATE and NUCLEAR ENTHALPY RISE HOT CHANNEL FACTOR (Continued)

#### 3/4.2.5 DNB PARAMETERS

The limits on the DNB related parameters assure that each of the parameters are maintained within the normal steady state envelope of operation assumed in the transient and accident analyses. The limits are consistent with the initial FSAR assumptions and have been analytically demonstrated adequate to maintain a minimum DNBR in the core at or above the design limit throughout each analyzed transient.

The 12-hour periodic surveillance of these parameters through instrument readout is sufficient to ensure that the parameters are restored within their limits following load changes and other expected transient operation.

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

#### CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

(Methodology for Specification 3.1.1.3 - Moderator Temperature Coefficient, 3.1.3.5 - Shutdown Bank Insertion Limit, 3.1.3.6 -Control Bank Insertion Limit, 3.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference, 3.2.2 -Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, and 3.2.3 - Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor).

b. WCAP-10216-P-A, "RELAXATION OF CONSTANT AXIAL OFFSET CONTROL FQ SURVEILLANCE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION", June 1983 (W Proprietary).

(Methodology for Specifications 3.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference (Relaxed Axial Offset Control) and 3.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor (FQ Methodology for W(Z) surveillance requirements).)

c. WCAP-10266-P-A, Rev. 2, "THE 1981 VERSION OF WESTINGHOUSE EVALUATION MODEL USING BASH CODE", March 1987 (W Proprietary).

(Methodology for Specification 3.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor).

The core operating limits shall be determined so that all applicable limits (e.g., fuel thermal-mechanical limits, core thermal-hydraulic limits, nuclear limits such as shutdown margin, and transient and accident analysis limits) of the safety analysis are met.

The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT, including any mid-cycle revisions or supplements there to shall be provided upon issuance, for each reload cycle, to the NRC Document Control Desk with copies to the Regional Administrator and Resident Inspector.