

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ 

 $\epsilon$ 

LRN-01-254 LCR H-01-002

Attachment 8

## CALCULATION NO: H-1-ZZ-MDC-1880

Post-LOCA EAB, LPZ, and CR Doses - Alternate Source Term Analysis.

**NC.DE-AP.ZZ-0002(Q)** 



#### DESCRIPTION OF **CALCULATION** REVISION (IF APPL.):

N/A

#### **PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this calculation is to determine the EAB, LPZ, and control room doses for Hope Creek Generating Station (HCGS) due to the increased CR unfiltered inleakage from 10 cfm to 900 cfm, the deletion of MSIV Sealing System (MSIVSS), and the increased MSIV leakage from 46 scfh to 250 scfh. The analysis is performed using the Alternate Source Term (AST), the guidance in the Regulatory Guide 1.183, and the TEDE dose criteria. The V&V of RADTRAD3.02 computer code is performed using the HABIT1.0 code, which is currently used for the licensing basis analyses at the Hope Creek and Salem plants.

The IOCFR50.59 evaluation for DCP 4EC-3513, Package No. **I** applies to this documentation which is CD P606.

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

The results of analyses in Section 8 indicate that the main steam sealing system can be safely eliminated along with the increased MSIV leakage of 250 scfh and control room unfiltered inleakage of 900 cfm using the AST and guidance in the Regulatory guide 1.183. Adherence to guidance in the RG 1.183 and use of the specific values and limits contained in the technical specifications and as-built post-accident performance of safety grade ESF functions provide the assurance for sufficient safety margin, including a margin to account for analysis uncertainties in the proposed uses of an AST and the associated facility modifications and changes to procedures. The V&V of RADTRAD3.02 code demonstrates that RADTRAD produces the identical results within **±** 2% margin of error compared to the HABIT1.0 results.



**I** Nuclear Common Revision 7 **INuclear tommon Revision** 7 I



## REVISION HISTORY



 $\mathbb{A}$ 

2.



## **PAGE** REVISION **INDEX**



 $\mathbb{A}$ 



## TABLE OF **CONTENTS**



Diskettes with the following electronic files Calculation No: H-1-ZZ-MDC-1880

 $\Delta$ 



### **1.0 PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this calculation is to evaluate the Exclusion Area Boundary (EAB). Low Population Zone (LPZ), and Control Room (CR) Post-LOCA doses for Hope Creek Generating Station due to:

- An assumed increase of CR unfiltered inleakage from 10 cfm to 900 cfm.
- The deletion of Main Steam Isolation Valve (MSIV) Sealing System (MSIVSS), and<br>• An allowable increase of MSIV ladieses from  $46 3 + 258 3$
- An allowable increase of MSIV leakage from 46 scfh to 250 scfh.

The final results of the analyses are shown in Section 8.0 of this calculation. The doses are calculated<br>using the Alternate Source Term (AST), Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.183 requirements. NRC sponsored<br>RADTRAD3.02 computer

## 2.0 **SCOPE:**

The scope of this evaluation covers the anticipated dose consequences of a Post-LOCA scenario for the HCGS. This calculation is being performed in support of Design Change Package (DCP) 4EC-3513, MSIV Steam Sealing System

- 1. Containment Leakage.
- 2. Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) Leakage.
- 3. Main Steam Isolation Valve (MSIV) Bypass Leakage.

## 3.0 **ANALYTICAL** APPROACH

The elimination of the MSIV sealing system (MSIVSS) is proposed based on the implementation of AST and TEDE dose criteria. The characteristics of the AST (different in magnitude, timing, and chemical forms) and the revised

The RADTRAD3.02 computer code (Ref. 10.2) was developed for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office Of Nuclear Reactor Regulation for use in control room habitability assessments. The<br>Nuclear Common Revision 7



RADTRAD code estimates transport and removal of radionuclides and doses at selected receptors. In addition, the code can account for a reduction in the quantity of radioactive material due to containment sprays, natural deposition, filters, and other natural engineered safety features. The EAB, LPZ, and CR doses are calculated using the release paths such as containment leakage, ESF leakage, and MSIV<br>leakage using the as-built design inputs/assumptions and guidance in the Regulatory Guide 1.183 (Ref.<br>10.1). The structure, sy following a safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) are credited in the analysis.

## 4.0 ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions used in evaluating the offsite and control room doses resulting from a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) are based on the requirements in the Regulatory Guide 1.183 (Ref. 10.1).<br>These assumptions be analyses.

## 4.1 Source Term Assumptions

Acceptable assumptions regarding core inventory and the release of radionuclides from the fuel are provided in Regulatory Positions (RGP) 3.1 through 3.4 of Reference 10.1 as follows:

### 4.2 Core Inventory

The assumed inventory of fission products in the reactor core and available for release to the containment is based on the maximum power level of 3,458 MWt corresponding to current fuel enrichment and fuel burnup, which is 1.05 times the current licensed rated thermal power of 3,293 MWt for HCGS (Reference 10.6.9). The assumed core inventory is shown in Table 1 of Design Input 5.3.1.3.

## 4.3 Release Fractions and Timing

The core inventory release fractions, by radionuclide groups, for the gap release and early in-vessel damage for a Design Basis Accident (DBA) LOCA are listed in Table 3 of Design Input 5.3.1.5. These fractions are applied to the equilibrium core inventory described in Design Input 5.3.1.3 (Ref. 10.1, Tables 1 & 4).

### 4.4 Radionuclide Composition

The elements in each radionuclide group to be considered in design basis analyses are shown in Table 2 of Design Input 5.3.1.4 (Ref. **10.1,** RGP 3.4).

## 4.5 Chemical Form

A pH value of 7.0 or greater for the suppression pool water inventory is assumed. Consequently, the chemical forms of radioiodine released to the containment can be assumed to be 95% cesium iodide (CsI), 4.85 percent elemental iodine, and 0.15 percent organic iodide (Ref. 10.1, RGP 3.5 and A.2).<br>These are shown in Design Inputs 5.3.1.7. With the exception of elemental and organic iodine and noble gases, fission prod



## 4.6 Assumptions on Activity Transport in Primary Containment

- 4.6.1 The radioactivity released from the fuel is assumed to mix instantaneously and homogeneously throughout the free air volume of the primary containment.
- 4.6.2 Reduction in airborne radioactivity in the containment by natural deposition within the containment is credited using the RADTRAD3.02 Powers model for aerosol removal coefficient with a 10-percentile probability (Re
- 4.6.3 The primary containment is assumed to leak at the allowable Technical Specification peak<br>pressure leak rate for the first 24 hours (Ref. 10.1, RGP A.3.7). For HCGS, this leakage is<br>reduced to 50% of its TS value afte pressure (Ref. 10.15) as shown in design input 5.3.2.5.
- 4.6.4 The HCGS drywell and suppression chamber may be purged for up to 500 hrs per year (Ref. 10.6.18). Normally, the containment is purged at  $<$ 25% power level before or during a drywell entry in an outage. Per RG 1.1 However, HCGS has a safety grade hydrogen recombination system to control the post-accident combustible gas (Ref. 10.41  $\&$  10.42). The post-LOCA containment pressure is reduced to less than 31 psia within a few days (Re from containment purging is not analyzed.

## 4.7 Offsite Dose Consequences

The following assumptions are used in determining the TEDE for a maximum exposed individual at EAB and LPZ locations:

- 4.7.1 The offsite dose is determined in the TEDE, which is the sum of the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE) from inhalation and the deep dose equivalent (DDE) from external exposure from all radionuclides that are
- 4.7.2 The offsite dose analysis is performed using the RADTRAD3.02 code (Ref. 10.2), which uses the Committed Effective Dose (CED) Conversion Factors for inhalation. (Ref. 10 **.1,** RGP 4.1.2, Refs. 10.7 & 10.8).
- 4.7.3 Since RADTRAD3.02 calculates Deep Dose Equivalent (DDE) using whole body submergence in semi-infinite cloud with appropriate credit for attenuation by body tissue, the DDE can be<br>Nuclear Common<br>Revision 7



assumed nominally equivalent to the effective dose equivalent (EDE) from external exposure. Therefore, the code uses DDE in lieu of EDE in determining TEDE (Ref. 10. **1.** RGP 4.1.4. and Ref 10.8).

4.7.4 The maximum EAB TEDE for any two-hour period following the start of the radioactivity release is determined and used in determining compliance with the dose acceptance criteria in 10 CFR 50.67 (Ref. 10.1, RGP 4.1.5

EAB Dose Acceptance Criteria: 25 Rem TEDE (50.67(b)(2)(i))

4.7.5 TEDE is determined for the most limiting receptor at the outer boundary of the low population zone (LPZ) and is used in determining compliance with the dose criteria in 10 CFR 50.67 (Refs. 10.1, RGP 4.1.6 and RGP 4.

LPZ Dose Acceptance Criteria: 25 Rem TEDE (50.67(b)(2)(ii))

- 4.7.6 No correction is made for depletion of the effluent plume by deposition on the ground (Ref. 10.1, RGP 4.1.7).
- 4.7.7 The breathing rates used for persons at offsite locations is given in Reference 10. 1. RGPs *4.1.3* & 4.4. These rates are incorporated in design input 5.7.3.

## 4.8 Control Room Dose Consequences

The following guidance is used in determining the TEDE for maximum exposed individuals located in the control room:

- 4.8.1 The CR TEDE analysis considers the following sources of radiation that will cause exposure to control room personnel (Ref. 10.1, RGP 4.2.1). See applicable Design Inputs 5.6.1 through 5.6.13.
	- "\* Contamination of the control room atmosphere by the intake or infiltration of the radioactive material contained in the post-accident radioactive plume released from the facility (via CR air intake),
	- "\* Contamination of the control room atmosphere by the intake or infiltration of airborne radioactive material from areas and structures adjacent to the control room envelope (via CR
	- Radiation shine from the external radioactive plume released from the facility (external airborne cloud),
	- Radiation shine from radioactive material in the reactor containment (containment shine dose), and



- \* Radiation shine from radioactive material in systems and components inside or external to the control room envelope, e.g., radioactive material buildup in recirculation filters (CR filter shine dose).
- 4.8.2 The radioactivity releases and radiation levels used for the control room dose is determined using<br>the same source term, transport, and release assumptions used for determining the exclusion area<br>boundary (EAB) and t
- 4.8.3 The occupancy and breathing rate of the maximum exposed individual presents in the control room are incorporated in design inputs 5.6.12 & 5.6.13 (Ref. **10.1,** RGP 4.2.6).
- 4.8.4 10 CFR 50.67 (Ref. 10.4) establishes the following radiological criterion for the control room. This criterion is stated for evaluating reactor accidents of exceedingly low probability of occurrence and low risk of public exposure to radiation, e.g., a large-break LOCA (Ref. **10.1,** RGP 4.4).

CR Dose Acceptance Criteria: 5 Rem TEDE (50.67(b)(2)(iii))

- 4.8.5 Credit for engineered safety features that mitigate airborne activity within the control room is taken for control room isolation or pressurization, intake or recirculation filtration (Ref. 10.1, RGP 4.2.4). The cont some cases, the ESF signal is effective only for selected accidents, placing reliance on the RMs.<br>Several aspects of RMs can delay the isolation, including the delay for activity to build up to<br>concentrations equivalent to
- 4.8.6 The CR unfiltered in leakage is conservatively assumed to be 500 cfm (Design Input 5.6.7)<br>during the CFREF transition period of 30 minutes after a LOCA. A conservative model would<br>consider the normal ventilation mod 6,600  $\text{ft}^3$ ). The conservative assumption of 500 cfm unfiltered inleakage during the transition  $\frac{1}{2}$  min x 30 min = 15,000 ft<sup>3</sup>) unfiltered air, which is 2 times higher.
- 4.8.7 No credits for KI pills or respirators are taken (Ref. **10.1,** RGP 4.2.5).



DATE J. Duff,:,, 08/02/01

## *5.0* **DESIGN INPUTS:**

### **5.1** General Considerations

### **5.1.1** Applicability of Prior Licensing Basis

The implementation of an AST is a significant change to the design basis of the facility and assumptions<br>and design inputs used in the analyses. The characteristics of the AST and the revised TEDE dose<br>calculation methodol

## 5.1.2 Credit for Engineered Safety Features

Credit is taken only for those accident mitigation features that are classified as safety-related, are required to be operable by technical specifications, are powered by emergency power sources, and are either automatical 'A' or 'B' EDG failure concurrent with a loss of offsite power (LOP) resulting in the MSIV release at the ground level instead of released through the south plant vent (SPV). The consequences of an EDG failure is translate occurrence and timing of a LOP are selected for the CREF system with the objective of maximizing the postulated radiological consequences.

## **5.1.3** Assignment of Numeric Input Values

The numeric values that are chosen as inputs to analyses required by 10 CFR 50.67 are compatible to AST and TEDE dose criteria and selected with the objective of maximizing the postulated dose. As a conservative alternativ  $\chi$ /Qs demonstrate the inherent conservatisms in the plant design and post-accident response. Most of the design input parameter values used in the analysis are those specified in the Technical Specifications (Ref. 10.6)



### 5.1.4 Meteorology Considerations

Atmospheric dispersion factors  $(\chi/\text{Qs})$  for the onsite release points such as the FRVS vent for containment and ESF leakage release path and turbine building louvers for MSIV leakage release path are re-developed (Ref. provided in Draft NEI 99-03, Appendix D (Ref. 10.34). The EAB and LPZ  $\chi$ /Qs are reconstituted using the HCGS plant specific meteorology and appropriate regulatory guidance (Ref. 10.32). The site boundary y/Qs reconstituted in Reference 10.32 were accepted by the staff in the previous licensing proceedings.

## 5.2 Accident-Specific Design Inputs/Assumptions

The design inputs/assumptions utilized in the EAB, LPZ, and CR habitability analyses are listed in the following sections. The design inputs are compatible with the requirements of the AST and TEDE dose criteria and the as built design of the plant.





Figure 1: Containment Leakage RADTRAD Nodalization























Nozzle & Inboard Isolation Valves

HV F022A/B/C/D

Nuclear Common

**Revision 7** 











**Nuclear Common7**

**Revision 7** 

 $\mathbb{A}$ 





 $\overline{1}$ 





### Figure 5 - CR Filter Shine Dose (Elevation View)





X Indicates Dose Point Location

Figure 6 - CR Filter Shine Dose (Plan View)



### **6.0** METHODOLOGY

The design basis accidents postulated were analyzed using a conservative set of assumptions and as-built design inputs to demonstrate the performance of one or more aspects of the facility design to protect the control roo

## 6.1 Post-LOCA Containment Leakage:

#### 6.1.1 Source Term:

The post-LOCA containment leakage model is shown in Figure 1. The core inventory listed in the Table 1 above is released into the containment at the release timing and fractions shown in Tables 3 & 4 (Ref. 10.1, RGPs 3.2

## 6.1.2 Transport In Primary Containment:

The radioactivity released from the fuel is assumed to mix instantaneously and homogeneously<br>throughout the free air volume of the primary containment as it is released. The radioactivity release into<br>the containment is a

## 6.1.3 Reduction In Airborne Activity Inside Containment

The airborne iodine and aerosol are removed from the reactor building environment by the FRVS recirculation system. which re-circulates air at a design rate of 108,000 cfm or 1.62 vol/hr (108,000



ft<sup>3</sup>/min x 60 min/hr x (4.00E+06 ft<sup>3</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> = 1.62 vol/hr). Although, the FRVS recirc provides a good mixing of activity in the reactor building (RB), the airborne activity is conservatively assumed to mix with only 50% of the RB volume (Ref. 10.1, RGP A.4.4.). To simulate the 50% mixing in the RB, the exhaust rate of FRVS vent rates at 100% and 50% mixings. The airborne activity in the RB is removed **by** both the FRVS recirculation and FRVS vent filtration system before it released to environment. The charcoal and HEPA filtration efficiencies are shown in Section 5.3.2.16.

### 6.1.4 Dual Containment:

Leakage from the primary containment is assumed to be released directly to the environment prior to draw down time during which the RB does not maintain a negative pressure as defined in technical specifications (Ref 10.1,

### 6.1.5 Containment Purging:

The HCGS containment is not purged for combustible gas or pressure control measure within 30 days of the LOCA. Therefore, the release containment purging is not analyzed per RG 1.1 83, RGP A.7.

### **6.2** Post-LOCA **ESF** Leakage:

The post-LOCA ESF leakage release model is shown in Figure 2. The ESF systems that recirculate<br>suppression pool water outside of the primary containment are assumed to leak during their intended<br>operation. This release sou components are located in the RB.

### 6.2.1 Source Term:

With the exception of noble gases. all the fission products released from the fuel to the containment (as defined in Sections 5.3.1.3 & 5.3.1.5) are assumed to instantaneously and homogeneously mix in the suppression pool



### 6.2.3 Chemical Form

The radioiodine that is postulated to be available for release to the environment is assumed to be 97% elemental and 3% organic (Ref. 10.1, RGP A.5.6) based on the Regulatory Position A.5.6. The reduction in ESF leakage ac systems are credited.

The ESF leakage RADTRAD inputs and outputs files are listed in the Attachments D & F and the EAB. LPZ, and CR TEDE doses are shown in the Section 8.0.

## **6.3** Post-LOCA MSIV Leakage:

The main steam isolation valves (MSIVs) have design leakage that may result in a radioactivity release.<br>The radiological consequences from postulated MSIV leakage are analyzed and combined with<br>consequences postulated for consequences of MSIV leakage.

### 6.3.1 Source Term

For the purpose of this analysis, the activity available for release via MSIV leakage is assumed to be that activity released in the drywell for evaluating containment leakage.

A total of 250 scfh (the maximum proposed allowable leakage limit) is assumed to occur as follows:

- (1) 150 scfh through the steam line with the failed MSIV. The plate out of activity and holdup time are not credited in the steam line between the inboard and outboard valves. The plateout and holdup are credited in the s
- (2) 50 scfh through a first intact steam line. The plate out of activity and holdup time are credits in the entire steam line from the RPV nozzle to turbine stop valve.
- (3) 50 scfh through a second intact steam line. The plate out of activity and holdup time are credits in the entire steam line from the RPV nozzle to turbine stop valve.

The MSIV leakage is assumed to continue for entire duration of the accident. Per RG 1.183, RGP A.6.2 (Ref. 10.1), the MSIV leakage is to reduce to a value 50% of the maximum leak rate after the first 24 hours, based on the

Reduction of the amount of released aerosol radioactivity by gravitational deposition on the pipe surface<br>is calculated in Section 7.4 using the NRC staff Monte Carlo analysis to determine the distribution of<br>settling velo



lines from the RPV nozzle to turbine stop valve are seismically designed and supported for Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) (Ref 10.26 & 10.37). The analysis in Section 7.4.1 determines that all airborne aerosol (100%) in M

The reduction in elemental iodine activity in the MSIV leakage is calculated in Section 7.4.2 using the staff recommended guidance on acceptable method in Reference 10.23 (Reference A-9 of RG 1.183). Both, the temperature release through the turbine building louvers.

The holdup times for each MSIV leakage release path (MSIV failed and intact steam lines) are<br>calculated in Sections 7.2 and 7.3 based on the leakage rates and well-mixed steam piping volumes.<br>These parameters calculated i leakage are shown in Sections 5.5.1 through 5.5.8.

### 6.4 Control Room Model

The post-LOCA control room RADTRAD nodalization is shown in Figure 4 with the design input parameters. The post-LOCA radioactive releases that contribute the CR TEDE dose are as follows:

- \* Post-LOCA Containment Leakage
- Post-LOCA ESF Leakage
- Post-LOCA MSIV Leakage

The radioactivity from the above sources are assumed to be released into the atmosphere and transported to the CR air intake, where it may leak into the CR envelope or be filtered by the CR intake and recirculation filtrat sources, which contribute to the CR TEDE dose are:

- Post-LOCA airborne activity inside the CR
- Post-LOCA airborne cloud external to CR
- Post-LOCA containment shine to CR
- Post-LOCA CREF filter shine



### 6.4.1 Post-LOCA Airborne Activity Inside CR

The post-LOCA radioactive releases from various sources are discussed in Sections 6.1 through 6.3<br>above and shown in Figure 4. The activities releases from the various sources are diluted by the<br>atmospheric dispersions an Section 8.0.

## 6.4.2 Post-LOCA Airborne Cloud External to CR

The radioactive plumes released from various post-LOCA sources are carried over the CR building,<br>submerging the CR in the radioactive cloud. The CR operator is exposed to direct radiation from the<br>radioactive cloud extern negligible amount.

## 6-4.3 Post-LOCA Containment Shine to CR

The post-LOCA airborne activity in the containment is released to reactor building via containment<br>leakage through the penetrations and openings and gets uniformly distributed inside the RB. The<br>airborne activity confined

## 6.4.4 Post-LOCA CREF Filter Shine

The two trains of CREF charcoal and HEPA filters are located above the CR operating floor at elevation 155'-3" (Refs. 10.28, 10.29, & 10.39). The CR operating floor is located at elevation 137'-0" (Ref. 10.28c). The concr

#### Nuclear Common



the CR operating floor right below the center of charcoal filter. The iodine and aerosol activities are conservatively collected on the charcoal bed. The dimensions of charcoal filter housing are obtained from Reference 1 with dose point location.

### Post-LOCA Activity

The RADTRAD3.02 code does not provide the post-LOCA iodine and aerosol activities accumulated on charcoal and HEPA filters. Therefore the iodine and aerosol activities are conservatively calculated as follows:

- 1. The time dependent isotopic iodine and aerosol integrated activities in the CR due to the post-LOCA containment leakage are calculated without taking the credits for charcoal and HEPA filters (RADTRAD File HAST1000CL03 shown in Table 26.
- 2. The time dependent isotopic iodine and aerosol integrated activities in the CR due to the post-<br>LOCA containment leakage are calculated with the credit of charcoal and HEPA filters credit<br>(RADTRAD File HAST1000CL02.psf Table 25.
- **3.** The total isotopic iodine and aerosol activities on the CR filters due to the containment leakage are calculated in Table 27 (Case  $1 - Case\ 2$ ).
- 4. Similarly. the time dependent isotopic iodine and aerosol integrated activities in the CR due to the post-LOCA MSIV and ESF leakages are calculated in Tables 28 **-** 29 and Tables 30 <sup>31</sup> respectively.
- *5.* The total iodine and aerosol integrated activities on the CR filters are shown in Table 32.
- 6. The total isotopic activities are input into the MicroShield Computer code (Ref. 10.9) with the source geometry. dimension, and detector location to compute the direct dose rate from the CR filter. The direct dose from the CR filter shine is calculated in Section 7.6 using the CR occupancy factors.

## **6.5** CR **&** FRVS Charcoal/HEPA Filter Efficiencies

The CR, FRVS vent, and FRVS recirculation charcoal and HEPA filters are tested to comply with Generic Letter 99-02 requirements (Refs. 10.3 & 10.6). The in-place penetration testing acceptance requirements are given in Ho GL 99-02 (Ref. 10.3).

## **INuclear Common Revision 7**<br>Revision 7



### **7.0 CALCULATIONS**

## 7.1 HCGS Plant Specific Nuclide Inventory File (NIF) For RADTRAD3.02 Input

The RADTRAD nuclide inventory file Bwr\_def\_NIF establishes the power dependent radionuclide activity in  $Ci/MW_t$  for the reactor core source term. Since these core radionuclide activities are dependent on the core thermal p

## 7.2 Main Steam Line Volumes **&** Surface Area For Plateout of Activity

## **7.2.1** MSIV Line Between RPV Nozzle **&** Inboard Isolation Valve

Piping Class  $= DLA$  (Ref. 10.13b)

Pipe Diameter **=** 26" (Ref. 10.13b)

Minimum Wall Thickness = 1.117" (Ref. 10.14c)

Corrosion Allowance For Steam = 0.12" (Ref. 10.14c)

Total Minimum Thickness = 1.117" + 0.12" **=** 1.237"

<sup>2</sup> <sup>6</sup> " Pipe ID **=** OD **-** (2 x Min Wall Thickness) **=** <sup>2</sup> <sup>6</sup> "- 2 x 1.237" **=** *23.526"* = 1.961'

Shortest Length of Pipe Between RPV Nozzle & Inboard Isolation Valves = 91 ' (Ref. 10.13)

Length of Vertical Pipe =  $170-1-1/2$ " (Ref. 10.13i) –  $107$  -0" (Ref. 10.12e) =  $63.125$ "

Length of Horizontal Pipe =  $91.0 - 63.125 = 27.875$ 

Volume of Pipe Between RPV Nozzle & Inboard Isolation Valves

 $= \pi r^2 L = \pi (1.961/2)^2 \times 91 = 274.84 \text{ ft}^3 = 7.79 \text{ m}^3$ 

Projected Pipe Surface Area = D L =  $1.961 \times 27.875 = \sqrt{54.66 \text{ ft}^2 = 5.08 \text{ m}^2}$ 

## 7.2.2 MSIV Line Between Inboard **&** Outboard Isolation Valves

Piping Class =  $DLA$  (Ref. 10.13b)

Pipe Diameter =  $26$ " (Ref. 10.13b)

Minimum Wall Thickness =  $1.117$ " (Ref. 10.14c)

Corrosion Allowance For Steam **=** 0.12" (Ref. 10.14c)

## Nuclear Common Revision 7



Minimum Wall Thickness **=** 0.934" (Ref. 10.14b)

## Nuclear Common

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 



Total Projected Pipe Surface Area of MSIV Leakage Path For MSIV Failed Steam Line  $= 54.66 \text{ ft}^2 + 43.06 \text{ ft}^2 + 588.27 \text{ ft}^2$ 

- $=$  685.99 ft<sup>2</sup> = 63.76 m<sup>2</sup>
- 7.2.6 Surface Area & Volume of Intact Steam Lines

Total Volume of MSIV Leakage Path For Intact Steam Lines

$$
= 274.84 \text{ ft}^3 + 77.53 \text{ ft}^3 + 126.74 \text{ ft}^3 + 997.05 \text{ ft}^3
$$

$$
= \boxed{1,476.16 \text{ ft}^3 = 41.83 \text{ m}^3}
$$

Total Projected Pipe Surface Area of MSIV Leakage Path For Intact Steam Lines

$$
= 54.66 \text{ ft}^2 + 50.34 \text{ ft}^2 + 43.06 \text{ ft}^2 + 588.27 \text{ ft}^2
$$

$$
= \left[ 736.33 \text{ ft}^2 = 68.44 \text{ m}^2 \right]
$$

Nuclear Common Revision 7



## **7.3** Holdup Times

MSIV Leak Rate of 250 scfh Holdup Time for MSIV Leakage of 150 scfh for each of two MSIV Failed Line

 $= 1,398.63 \text{ ft}^3 / 150 \text{ ft}^3/\text{hr} = 9.32 \text{ hrs}$ 

Holdup Time for MSIV Leakage of 50 scfh/MSIV For MSIV Intact Lines

 $= 1,476.16 \text{ ft}^3 / 50 \text{ ft}^3/\text{hr} = 29.52 \text{ hrs}$ 

MSIV Leak Rate For MSIV Failed Line =  $150 \text{ ft}^3/\text{hr} \times 1/60 \text{ hr/min} = 2.50 \text{ cfm}$ 

MSIV Leak Rate For MSIV Intact Lines =  $50 \text{ ft}^3/\text{hr} \times 1/60 \text{ hr/min} = 0.8334 \text{ cfm}$ 

MSIV leakage rate is halved after 24 hours

 $\sqrt{2}$ 



## 7.4 Plateout of Activity in Main Steam Lines

#### 7.4.1 Aerosol Deposition

Reference 10.37 indicates that the HCGS main steam piping from the reactor pressure vessel (RPV) nozzle to the turbine stop valve is seismically analyzed to assure the piping wall integrity during and after a seismic event

The Brockmann model for aerosol deposition (Ref. 10.2. Section 2.2.6.1) provides the following equation for deposition efficiency:

 $r_{1g} = 1 - exp(-U_g A_s / \pi Q)$ 

Where  $\eta_g$  = aerosol deposition efficiency<br>  $U_g$  = gravitational deposition velocity (m/s) =  $U_s$  = gravitational settling velocity (m/s)<br>  $A$  = settling area = projected pipe surface area (D x L) = m<sup>2</sup>

 $D =$  diameter of pipe  $(m)$ 

 $L =$  length of horizontal pipe

 $Q =$  pipe gas flow  $(m^3/s)$ 

And  $\mathbf{U}_s = \rho \cdot \mathbf{d}_e^2 \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{C}_s$  (Ref. 10.22, Equation 5) 18. u. k

Where  $\rho$  = aerosol density

de **,** aerosol diameter

 $g =$  gravitational acceleration

 $C_s$  = Cunningham slip factor

 $\mu$  = viscosity

k **=** shape factor

The values of aerosol density, diameter, and shape factor during a design basis LOCA have some uncertainty. Therefore. the staff performed a Monte Carlo analysis to determine the distribution of

Nuclear Common



settling velocities in the main steam line during the in-vessel release phase. The results of Monte Carlo analysis for settling velocity in the main steam line is as follows:



The 40 percentile settling velocity is selected to calculate the aerosol removal rate and efficiency using the Hope Creek plant specific piping parameters.

Settling velocity  $v_s = 0.00081$  m/sec =  $U_g$ <br>Settling area  $A = 63.76$  m<sup>2</sup> (Section 7.5.2) Settling area  $\overline{A} = 63.76 \text{ m}^2$  (Section 7.5.2)<br>Q for failed MSIV line = 150 scfh = 150 ft<sup>3</sup>/hr x (3600 sec/hr)<sup>-1</sup> x (3.28 ft/m)<sup>-3</sup> = 0.00118 m<sup>3</sup>/sec

 $n_g = 1$  **- exp (- 0.00081 m/s x 63.76 m<sup>2</sup> /**  $\pi$  **x 0.00118 m<sup>3</sup>/s)**  $= 1 - \exp(-13.93) = 1 - (8.92E - 07) = 0.999999 \approx 1$  or  $100\%$ 

It means that all aerosols in the MSIV leakage will deposit on the large surface area of the main steam<br>line. The aerosol being heavier in comparison to elemental iodine, it will get fixed on the surface. The<br>settling velo

### 7-4.2 Elemental Iodine

Gaseous iodine tends to deposit on the piping surface by chemical adsorption. The elemental iodine<br>being the most reactive has the highest deposition rate. The iodine deposited on the surface undergoes<br>both physical and ch elemental iodine as follows:

 $d_i$  = elemental iodine vapor deposition velocity  $(cm/s) = e^{(2809/T - 12.80 \text{ (} \pm 0.33))} = e^{(2809/T - 12.5)}$  (Ref. 10.23, pages 4 & 12).



Where T = gas temperature  $(^{0}K)$ 

This equation is same as equation 30 in Bixler Model in the RADTRAD3.02 code (Ref. 10.2. page 212).

The elemental iodine deposition velocities are calculated in Table 17 based the post-LOCA drywell temperature shown in Design Input 5.3.1.8.

The elemental iodine deposition rate  $\lambda_{\text{ed}}$  (hr<sup>-1</sup>) =  $\underline{\mathbf{d}}_i * S * 3600$  (Ref. 10.23, page 4)

Where  $d_i$  = deposition velocity (m/sec) S = surface area of deposition  $(m^2)$  $V =$  volume  $(m^3)$ 

The deposition velocity in cm/sec, which is converted into m/sec and elemental iodine deposition rates at various drywell temperatures are calculated in Tables 18  $\&$  19 for the MSIV failed and intact steam lines respectively.

The portion of elemental iodine deposited on the pipe surface will be resuspended as an airborne gas (organic iodine). Since the CR filtration efficiencies are same for all iodine spices, the resuspension of elemental iodi

Resuspension rate of elemental iodine  $(\sec^{-1})$ 

 $= 2.32$  (±2.00) x 10<sup>-5</sup> e<sup>-600/T</sup> = 4.32 x 10<sup>-5</sup> e<sup>-600/T</sup>

Resuspension rate of elemental iodine  $\lambda_{er}$  (hr<sup>-1</sup>)

 $= 4.32 \times 3600 \times 10^{-5} e^{-600/T}$ 

The resuspension rates of elemental iodine at various drywell temperatures are calculated in Table 20.

The net deposition of elemental iodine on the pipe surface is the difference of deposition rate and resuspension rate. The net elemental iodine deposition rates at various drywell temperatures are calculated in Tables 21 and 22.

Net Deposition Rate of Elemental Iodine  $\lambda_e = \lambda_{ed} - \lambda_{er}$ 

 $1/DF = 1 - \eta = exp^{(-\lambda e^*t)}$  (Ref 10.2, Equations 4 & 5, page 196)

Where DF = decontamination factor

**-q** = filter efficiency for elemental iodine



 $\lambda e$  = elemental iodine removal rate (hr<sup>-1</sup>)  $t =$  time (hr)

Therefore, Elemental Iodine Filter Efficiency =  $1 - e^{-(\lambda e^* t)}$ 

The values net elemental iodine deposition rates ( $\lambda$ e) are obtained from Table 20 and the corresponding filter efficiencies at various drywell temperatures are calculated in Tables 23 & 24 for the MSIV failed and intact

The elemental iodine removal efficiencies at various drywell temperatures are used along with aerosol removal efficiency (Section 7.4.1) in the RADTRAD3.02 MSIV release model.

## 7.5 ESF Leak Rates

The design basis ESF leakage is 10 gpm. which is doubled and converted into cfm as follows:

10 gallon/min x 2 x 1/7.481  $ft^3$ /gallon = 2.673 cfm

**10%** of ESF leakage becomes airborne **=** 0.10 x 2.673 **=** 0.2673 cfm

## 7.6 CR Direct Dose From Filter Shine

CR Filter Shine Dose Rate = 7.754E-03 mRem/hr

CR Operator Exposure Time =  $1 \times (24 \text{ hr}) + 0.60 (96 \text{ hr} - 24 \text{ hr}) + 0.40 (720 \text{ hr} - 96 \text{ hr})$ 

= 24 hr **+** 0.60 (72 hr) **+** 0.40 (624 hr) **=** 316.8 hr

Total CR Dose From Filter Shine

= 7.754E-03 mRem/hr x 1/1000 Rem/mRem x 316.8 hr **=** 2.4565E-03 Rem

## 7.7 FRVS Vent & Recirc and CR Charcoal/HEPA Filters Efficiencies

### HEPA Filter:

In-place penetration testing acceptance criteria for the safety related HEPA filters are as follows:

FRVS Vent HEPA Filter - in-laboratory testing penetration  $< 0.05\%$  (Ref. 10.6.1)

## Nuclear Common Revision 7



FRVS Recirc HEPA Filter- in-laboratory testing penetration < 0.05% (Ref. 10.6.10)

CREF HEPA Filter - in-laboratory testing penetration <  $0.05\%$  (Ref. 10.6.13)

GL 99-02 (Ref 10.3) requires a safety factor of at least 2 should be used to determine the filter

efficiencies to be credited in the design basis accident.

Testing penetration (%) =  $(100\% - \eta)/\text{safety factor} = (100\% - \eta)/2$ 

Where  $\eta$  = HEPA filter efficiency to be credited in the analysis

 $0.05\% = (100\% - \eta)/2$ 

 $0.1\% = (100\% - \eta)$ 

 $\eta = 100\% - 0.1\% = 99.9\%$ 

Conservatively, the HEPA filter efficiency of 99% is credited in the analysis



### Charcoal Filter

In-place penetration testing acceptance criteria for the safety related Charcoal filters are as follows:

FRVS Vent Charcoal Filter - in-laboratory testing methyl iodide penetration **< 2.5%** (Ref. 10.6.2)

FRVS Recirc Charcoal Filter - in- laboratory testing methyl iodide penetration **<** 10% (Ref. 10.6.11)

CREF Recirc Charcoal Filter - in- laboratory testing methyl iodide penetration **< 0.5%** (Ref. 10.6.14)

Testing methyl iodide penetration (%) = (100% -  $\eta$ )/safety factor = (100% -  $\eta$ )/2

Where  $\eta$  = charcoal filter efficiency to be credited in the analysis

FRVS Recirc Charcoal Filter

 $10\% = (100\% - \text{n})/2$ 

 $20\% = (100\% - n)$ 

 $\eta = 100\% - 20\% = 80\%$ 

FRVS Vent Charcoal Filter

 $2.5\% = (100\% - \eta)/2$ 

 $5\% = (100\% - \eta)$ 

 $r_1 = 100\% - 5\% = 95\%$ 

Since the FRVS recirc and FRVS vent are in series (Ref. 41). the combined charcoal filter efficiency would be:

Ti **=** [1 - (1 -0.80) (1- 0.95)] x 100% = [1 -(0.2 x 0.05)] x **100%=** [1 **-** 0.01] x 100% = 99%

CR Charcoal Filter

 $0.5\% = (100\% - \eta)/2$ 

 $1\% = (100\% - \eta)$ 

 $\eta = 100\% - 1\% = 99\%$ 





### Table **16 HCGS** RADTRAD Nuclide Inventory File



\* CO-58 & CO-60 activities are obtained from RADTRAD User's Manual, Table 1.4.3.2-3 (Ref. 10.2)



 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

#### Table 17

## Elemental Iodine Deposition Velocity - MSIV Leakage



\* From Design Input **5-3.1-8,** Table 6



 $\cdots$ 

----

### Table **18**

## Elemental Iodine Deposition Rate - MSIV Failed Line



A From Table 17 B & C From Section 7.2.5



### Table 19

## Elemental Iodine Deposition Rate - MSIV Intact Lines



A From Table 17 B & C From Section **7.2-6** 



#### Table 20

## Elemental Iodine Resuspension Rate - MSIV Leakage



Resuspension Rate (sec)<sup>-1</sup> = 2.32 (2.00) x 10<sup>-5</sup> e<sup>-600/T</sup> = 4.32 x 10<sup>-5</sup> e<sup>-600/T</sup>

Resuspension Rate  $(hr)^{-1} = 4.32 \times 3600 \times 10^{-5} e^{-600/T}$ 



--

#### Table 21

## Net Elemental Iodine Removal Rate - MSIV Failed Line



A From Table 18 B From Table 20



#### Table 22

### Net Elemental Iodine Removal Rate - Intact Lines



A From Table 19 B From Table 20

 $-$ 



 $-$ 

#### Table 23

Elemental Iodine Removal Efficiency - MSIV Failed Line



**X1 f** From Table 21 B **= I**



 $. . . . . . . . .$ 

---

#### Table 24

## Elemental Iodine Removal Efficiency - Intact Lines



A From Table 22  $B = 1-e^{-\lambda}$ ;  $B = 1-e^{-\lambda}$ 





#### Table 75

 $\hat{\varphi}$  .





## From RADTRAD Computer Run HAST1000CLO2

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\mathbb{Z}^{(2)}$ 





j.

#### Table 26

 $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{A}|}$ 





#### Table **26** (Cont'd)

From RADTRAD Computer Run HAST1000CL03

L

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\cdot$ 





 $\bar{\omega}$ 

# **Nuclear Common** Revision 7

 $\frac{1}{2}$  .





From RA DTRAD Computer Run **HA** ST1000M **S02**

i,



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



From RADTRAD Computer Run **HASTIOOOMS03**

 $\varphi(\cdot)$ 





 $1$  From RADTRAD Run HAST1000ESF02



l.  $\sim$ 

From RADTRAD Run HAST1000ESF03

 $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ 





 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$  .

 $\mathsf I$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 





 $\gamma_{\rm c}$  ,  $\gamma_{\rm c}$  and

ЦÝ.

 $\overline{a}$ 



### 8.0 RESULTS SUMMARY

The results of AST analyses are summarized in the following sections:

## 8.1 Licensing Basis Analysis

The results of analyses, which establish licensing basis for the deletion of MSIVSS, increased MSIV, and CR unfiltered inleakage, are summarized in the following table:



\* CR filter shine dose due to the CR unfiltered inleakage of 1000 cfm with the RADTRAD default nuclide inventory file (NIF) will bound that due to the CR unfiltered inleakage of 900 cfm with the plant-specific NIF. ∠2



## 8.2 V&V of RADTRAD V3.02 Code

The comparison of results of RADTRAD3.02 and HABIT1.0 codes are shown in the following table:



 $\mathbf{r}$ 



## **9.0 CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **9.1 CONCLUSIONS:**

The results of analyses in Section 8 above indicate that the main steam isolation valve sealing system (MSIVSS) can be safely eliminated along with the increased MSIV leakage of 250 scfh and control room unfiltered inleaka Adherence to guidance in the RG 1.183 and use of the specific values and limits contained in the<br>technical specifications and as-built post-accident performance of safety grade ESF functions provide<br>the assurance of suffic

The verification & validation of RADTRAD3.02 computer code (Section 8.2) demonstrates that the<br>RADTRAD3.02 code produces the identical results within  $\pm 2\%$  margin of error compared to HABIT1.0<br>code for the same source t



## **10.0** REFERENCES

- 10.1 U.S. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.183, Alternative Radiological Source Terms for Evaluating Design Basis Accidents at Nuclear Power Reactors, July 2000.
- 10.2 S.L. Humphreys et al., "RADTRAD V3.02: A Simplified Model for Radionuclide Transport and Removal and Dose Estimation," NUREG/CR-6604, USNRC, April 1998.
- 10.3 USNRC, "Laboratory Testing of Nuclear-Grade Activated Charcoal," NRC Generic Letter 99-02, June 3, 1999.
- 10.4 10 CFR 50.67, "Alternate Source Term."
- 10.5 Calculation No. H-1-ZZ-MDC-1879, Rev 0IR2, Control Room  $\chi$ /Qs For FRVS Vent, RB Truck Bay, TB Louvers, and SPV Using ARCON96 Code.
- 10.6 HCGS Technical Specifications:
	- 10.6.1 Specification 4.6.5.3.1 .c. **1,** FRVS Vent HEPA Filter Testing Criterion
	- 10.6.2 Specification 4.6.5.3.1 .c.2, FRVS Vent Charcoal Filter Testing Criterion
	- 10.6.3 Specification 4.6.5.3.1 .c.3, FRVS Vent HEPA/Charcoal Filter Flow Rate Testing Criterion
	- 10.6.4 Specification 6.8.4.1, Primary Containment Leak Rate Testing Program
	- 10.6.5 Bases 3/4.6.2, Depressurization Systems
	- 10.6.6 Specification 5.2.1, Containment Configuration
	- 10.6.7 Specification 5.2.3, Secondary Containment
	- 10.6.8 Specification 4.6.5.1, Secondary Containment Integrity
	- 10.6.9 Specification 1.35, Rated Thermal Power.
	- 10.6.10 Specification 4.6.5.3.2.c.1, FRVS Recirc HEPA Filter Testing Criterion
	- 10.6.11 Specification 4.6.5.3.2.c.2, FRVS Recirc Charcoal Filter Testing Criterion
	- 10.6.12 Specification 4.6.5.3.2.c.3, FRVS Recirc HEPA/Charcoal Filter Flow Rate Testing **Criterion**
	- 10.6.13 Specification 4 .7.2.c. **1,** Control Room Emergency Filtration System Surveillance Requirements
	- 10.6.14 Specification 4.7.2.c.2, Control Room Emergency Filtration System Surveillance Requirements
	- 10.6.15 Specification 4.7.2.c.3, Control Room Emergency Filtration System Surveillance Requirements

Nuclear Common

⁄ହ∖



- **10.14.a** Sheet 16, Rev 9, Class DBB
- 10.14.b Sheet 17. Rev 7, Class DBC
- **10.14.c** Sheet 24, Rev **7.** Class DLA

## Nuclear Common

 $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\tau})$ 





10.30.b C-1315-0, SH 2, Rev 3, Floor Plan EL 137'-0" Area 26

- 10.31 Auxiliary Bldg Diesel Generator Area Drawings:
	- 10.31.a C-1413-0, Rev 20, Floor Plan EL 146'-0", EL 150'-0", EL *155'-3"* Area 27
	- 10.31.b C-1415-0, Rev 22, Floor Plan EL 146'-0", EL 150'-0", EL 155'-3'" Area 28
- 10.32 Calculation No. H-1-ZZ-MDC-1820, Rev 0, Offsite Atmospheric Dispersion Factors.
- 10.33 Calculation No. H-I-ZZ-MDC-1882, Rev 0, Control Room Envelope Volume.
- 10.34 Draft NEI 99-03, Control Room Habitability Guidance, February 2001.
- 10.35 Drawing No. C-0738-0, Rev 6, Reactor Building Dome Reinforcement Plan Section & Details.
- 10.36 NRC Safety Evaluation for Amendment No. 30.
- 10.37 Specification No 10855-P-0501, Rev 34, Line Index For The Hope Creek Generating Station.
- 10.38 American Air Filter Drawing No. M786(Q)-5(1), Rev 10, Housing Assy Filter (Control Room Emergency Filter).
- 10.39 HVAC Area Drawings:
	- 10.39.a P-9266-1, Rev 25, Aux Bldg Area 26, Plan At EL 155'-3"& 163'-6"
	- 10.39.b P-9256-1, Rev 24, Aux Bldg Area 25, Plan At EL 155'-3"& 175'-0"
	- 10.39.c P-9267-1, Sheet **I** of 4, Rev 17, Aux Building Area 25 & 26 Sections
- 10.40 U.S. NRC Standard Review Plan 6.4, Control Room Habitability System.
- 10.41 P&ID M-57-1, Rev 36, Containment Atmosphere Control.
- 10.42 P&ID M-78-1, Rev 9, Containment Hydrogen Recombination System.
- 10.43 Calculation No. H- **I** -ZZ-MDC-1 886, Hope Creek Post-Accident pH.
- 10.44 Order No. 80028003, Confirmatory Inputs For H-1-ZZ-MDC-1880.
- 10.45 HCGS Core Inventory by Westinghouse, Hope Creek Calculation No. (Later)



### **11.0** Tracking **of** Items Required Confirmation

Some of critical design inputs are used in this analysis with bounding value assumptions, which required to be confirmed as soon as design input information is available. The following Orders will track the completion of required actions:

### 11.1 Order No.80028003

The isotopic core inventory used in this analysis is obtained from the RADTRAD nuclide inventory file and shown in the Design Input 5.3.1.3, Table 1 and Table 16 of this calculation. This isotopic core inventory should be compared with the plant-specific isotopic core inventory to determine the validity of Design Input 5.3.1.3.

The core inventories in Table 1 and Table 16 are confirmed to be HCGS plant-specific inventory per Reference 10.45

### 11.2 Order No. 80028003

A pH value of 7.0 or greater is assumed for the suppression pool water inventory to take a credit of the chemical forms of radioiodine released to the containment to be 95% cesium iodide (CsI), 4.85 percent elemental iodine, and 0.15 percent organic iodide (Assumption 4.5). The pH of suppression pool water should be compared with the plant-specific pool water pH to determine the validity of pH assumption.

The assumption of suppression pool water pH greater than 7 is confirmed per Calculation No. H-1-ZZ-MDC-1866, Rev 0, Hope Creek Post-Accident pH, page 11.



### 12.0 **ATTACHMENTS**

### 2 Diskettes with the following electronic files:

Calculation No: H-l-ZZ-MDC-1880, Rev **OIR1.**  Comment Resolution Form 2 - John F. Duffy ATTACHMENT A: HCGSMHA DEF.txt For Cont., ESF, & MSIV Leakages ATTACHMENT B: Cont. Leakage RADTRAD Input File HAST900CLO1.PSF ATTACHMENT C: Cont. Leakage RADTRAD Output File HAST900CL01.o0 ATTACHMENT D: ESF Leakage RADTRAD Input File HAST900ESF01.PSF ATTACHMENT **E:** ESF Leakage RADTRAD Output File HAST900ESF01 .o0 ATTACHMENT F: MSIV Leakage RADTRAD Input File HAST900MSO1 .PSF ATTACHMENT G: MSIV Leakage RADTRAD Output File HAST900MSO1 .oO ATTACHMENT H: Cont. LKG Without CR Filter Input/Output File HASTI **OOOCLO2**  ATTACHMENT I: Cont. LKG Without CR Filter Input/Output File HAST1000CLO3 ATTACHMENT J: ESF LKG Without CR Filter Input/Output File HAST1000ESF02 ATTACHMENT K: ESF LKG Without CR Filter Input/Output File HASTI **OOOESF03**  ATTACHMENT L: MSIV LKG Without CR Filter Input/Output File HASTI **OOOMS02**  ATTACHMENT M: MSIV LKG Without CR Filter Input/Output File HASTI **OOOMS03**  ATTACHMENT N: CR Filter Shine Dose MicroShield Input/Output File ATTACHMENT **0:** RADTRAD/HABIT1.0 V&V Files



99%xxxt5a.TAB HCCLI30MS00.O0

### Attachment 12.1 H-1-ZZ-MDC-1880, Rev. 0 1 of **I**

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  and

**.**  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\mathbb{Z}^2$ 

2 Diskettes With Various Electronic Files

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$