# LICENSE AUTHORITY FILE COP

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 11, 1985

**DO NOT REMOVE** 

<u>Posted</u> amat. 135 40 DPR-47

Dockets Nos. 50-269, <u>60-270</u> and 50-287

Mr. H. B. Tucker Vice President - Nuclear Production Duke Power Company P. O. Box 33189 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

CLEAR REGU,

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos.135,135, and 132 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Station's common Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your request dated August 8, 1984.

These amendments revise TS 4.4.1.5.2(a) to change the air lock testing frequency from quarterly to semiannually in conformance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled Power Reactors".

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance of the enclosed amendments will be included in the Commission's monthly notice.

Sincerely, un (Vicolaras

Helen Nicolaras, Project Manager Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 135 to DPR-38
- 2. Amendment No.135 to DPR-47
- 3. Amendment No.132 to DPR-55
- 4. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page Duke Power Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. William L. Porter Duke Power Company P. O. Box 33189 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Office of Intergovernmental Relations 116 West Jones Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Honorable James M. Phinney County Supervisor of Oconee County Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II 101 Marietta Street, NN, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Regional Radiation Representative EPA Region IV 345 Courtland Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Mr. J. C. Bryant Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 2, Box 610 Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Mr. Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Generation Division Suite 220, 7910 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Manager, LIS NUS Corporation 2536 Countryside Boulevard Clearwater, Florida 33515

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds 1200 17th Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Heyward G. Shealy, Chief Bureau of Radiological Health South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control 2500 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

#### DUKE POWER COMPANY

# DOCKET NO. 50-269

### OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 135 License No. DPR-38

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated August 8, 1984, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - 3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 135 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications. FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: February 11, 1985



UCLEAR REGUZ

## DUKE POWER COMPANY

## DOCKET NO. 50-270

## OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

#### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 135 License No. DPR-47

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated August 8, 1984, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-47 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - 3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No.135 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications. FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Chief J Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: February 11, 1985



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

#### DUKE POWER COMPANY

### DOCKET NO. 50-287

## OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 3

## AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 132 License No. DPR-55

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated August 8, 1984, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.8 of Facility Operating License No. DPR-55 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - **3.B** Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No.132 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications. 3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4 Division of Licensing

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: February 11, 1985

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENTS AMENDMENT NO.135 TO DPR-38 AMENDMENT NO.135 TO DPR-47 AMENDMENT NO.132 TO DPR-55 DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Replace the following page of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached page. The revised page is identified by amendment numbers and contains a vertical line indicating the area of change.

Remove Page	Insert Page
4.4-3	4.4-3
4,4-4	4.4-4*

\*Overleaf page provided for document completeness.

### 4.4.1.5 Containment Air Lock Testing

## 4.4.1.5.1 Scope of Testing

The Personnel Air Lock and Emergency Air Lock shall be tested as required by the following:

4.4.1.5.2 Frequency of Test

- (a) The Personnel Air Lock and Emergency Air Lock shall be tested semiannually at an internal pressure of not less than 59 psig.
- (b) Air locks opened during periods when containment integrity is not required shall be tested at the end of such periods by a full hatch leak test at not less than 59 psig. If the full hatch test has been performed within the previous 3 days, the leak test can be performed between the double seal of the outer door at not less than 59 psig.
- (c) When containment integrity is required, either a full hatch leak test or a leak test of the outer door double seal will be performed within 3 days of initial opening, and during periods of frequent use, at least once every 3 days. Each leak test will be performed at not less than 59 psig.

# 4.4.1.5.3 Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria for the air lock leakage test is as stated in Specification 4.4.1.2.3.

#### Bases

The Reactor Building is designed for an internal pressure of 59 psig and a steam-air mixture temperature of  $286^{\circ}$ F. This corresponds to a post-accident containment atmosphere mass of  $5.1277 \times 10^5$  lbm. Prior to initial operation, the containment was strength tested at 115 percent of design pressure and leak rate tested at the design pressure. The containment was also leak tested prior to initial operation at approximately 50 percent of the design pressure. These tests verified that the leak rate from Reactor Building pressurization satisfies the relationships given in the specification.

The performance of a periodic integrated leak rate test during unit life provides a current assessment of potential leakage from the containment, in case of an accident. In order to provide a realistic appraisal of the integrity of the containment under accident conditions, this periodic test is to be performed without preliminary leak detection surveys or leak repairs, and containment isolation valves are to be closed in the normal manner. The test pressure of 29.5 psig for the periodic integrated leak rate test is sufficiently high to provide an accurate measurement of the leak rate and it duplicates the preoperational leak rate test at 29.5 psig. The frequency of the periodic integrated leak rate test is normally keyed to the refueling schedule for the reactor, because these tests can best be performed during refueling shutdowns.

The specified frequency of periodic integrated leak rate tests is based on three major considerations. First is the low probability of leaks in the liner, because of conformance of the complete containment to a 0.25 percent leakage rate at 59 psig during preoperational testing and the absence of any significant stresses in the liner during reactor operation. Second is the more frequent testing, at design pressure, of those portions of the containment envelope that are most likely to develop leaks during reactor operation (penetrations and isolation valves) and the low value (0.125 percent) of leakage that is specified as acceptable from penetrations and isolation valves. Third is the tendon stress surveillance program which provides assurance that an important part of the structural integrity of the containment is maintained.

Leakage to the penetration room, which is permitted to be up to 50 percent of the total allowable containment leakage, is discharged through high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) and charcoal filters to the unit vent. The filters are conservatively said to be 90 percent efficient for iodine removal.

More frequent testing of various penetrations is specified as these locations are more susceptible to leakage than the Reactor Building liner due to the mechanical closure involved. Testing of these penetrations is performed with air or nitrogen. The basis for specifying a maximum leak rate of 0.125 percent from penetrations and isolation valves is that one-half of the actual integrated leak rate is expected from those sources. Valve operability tests are specified to assure proper closure or opening of the Reactor Building isolation valves to provide for isolation of functioning of Engineered Safety Features systems.

Amendments Nos. 104, 104, & 101

4.4-4



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 135 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 135 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 132 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-55

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2, AND 3

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

# 1.0 Introduction

By letter dated August 8, 1984, Duke Power Company (the licensee) proposed changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These amendments would consist of changes to the Station's common TSs.

The proposed amendments would revise TS 4.4.1.5.2(a) to change the air lock testing frequency from quarterly to semiannually in conformance with Appendix J, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled Power Reactors" to 10 CFR Part 50.

## 2.0 Evaluation

The containment air locks (i.e. Personnel Air Lock and Emergency Air Lock) are utilized during periods of time when containment integrity is required and when the reactor is shutdown. The air locks are tested periodically to verify the integrity of the door seals and thereby, the integrity of the containment.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, and the Surveillance Requirements (4.6.1.3(b)) of NUREG-0103, Revision 4, "Standard Technical Specifications for Babcock and Wilcox Pressurized Water Reactors" address the requirements for testing the air locks. Based on these requirements, the air locks shall be tested prior to initial fuel loading and at six-month intervals thereafter at an internal pressure not less than Pa. The frequency of air lock tests as required by the present Oconee TS 4.4.1.5.2(a) is based on three-month intervals.

A change of the air lock testing frequency from quarterly to semiannually conforms to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, regulations. Therefore, we find acceptable the proposed changes to TS 4.4.1.5.2(a).

#### 3.0 Environmental Consideration

These amendments involve a change in an inspection or surveillance requirement. We have determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

## 4.0 Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: February 11, 1985

Principal Contributors: H. Nicolaras