



DO NOT REMOVE

LICENSE AUTHORITY FILE COPY  
UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 30, 1984

Dockets Nos. 50-269, (50-270)  
and 50-287

Posted  
Amndt. 126  
to DPR-47

Mr. Hal B. Tucker  
Vice President - Steam Production  
Duke Power Company  
P. O. Box 33189  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242


Dear Mr. Tucker:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 126, 126, and 123 to Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Station's common Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your request dated September 14, 1983.

These amendments revise the TSs to correct the values for the Axial Power Shaping Rod (APSR) Position Limits after 385 EFPD for the Unit 3 Cycle 7 of operation.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's Monthly Notice.

Sincerely,

  
John F. Stolz, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 4  
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 126 to DPR-38
2. Amendment No. 126 to DPR-47
3. Amendment No. 123 to DPR-55
4. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

Duke Power Company

cc w/enclosure(s):

Mr. William L. Porter  
Duke Power Company  
P. O. Box 33189  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Office of Intergovernmental Relations  
116 West Jones Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Honorable James M. Phinney  
County Supervisor of Oconee County  
Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II  
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Heyward G. Shealy, Chief  
Bureau of Radiological Health  
South Carolina Department of Health  
and Environmental Control  
2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Regional Radiation Representative  
EPA Region IV  
345 Courtland Street, N.E.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

Mr. J. C. Bryant  
Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Route 2, Box 610  
Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Mr. Robert B. Borsum  
Babcock & Wilcox  
Nuclear Power Generation Division  
Suite 220, 7910 Woodmont Avenue  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Manager, LIS  
NUS Corporation  
2536 Countryside Boulevard  
Clearwater, Florida 33515

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.  
DeBevoise & Liberman  
1200 17th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-269

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 126  
License No. DPR-38


1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated September 14, 1983, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 126 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

  
John F. Stolz, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #4  
Division of Licensing

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 30, 1984



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-270

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 126  
License No. DPR-47


1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated September 14, 1983, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-47 is hereby amended to read as follows:

3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 126 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

  
John F. Stolz, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #4  
Division of Licensing

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 30, 1984



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50- 287

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 123  
License No. DPR- 55


1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated September 14, 1983, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR- 55 is hereby amended to read as follows:

3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 123 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

  
John F. Stolz, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #4  
Division of Licensing

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 30, 1984



ATTACHMENTS TO LICENSE AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT NO. 126 TO DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 126 TO DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 123 TO DPR-55

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment numbers and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove Pages


3.5-26a

3.5-26b

Insert Pages

3.5-26a

3.5-26b



APSR POSITION LIMITS  
FOR OPERATION

200  $\pm$  10 EFPD TO


385  $\pm$  0  
-10 EFPD

UNIT 3



OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION

Figure 3.5.2-4C2



APSR POSITION LIMITS  
 AFTER 385 <sup>+0</sup><sub>-10</sub> EFPD  
 UNIT 3  
 OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION  
 Figure 3.5.2-4C3





UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 126 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 126 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 123 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-55

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2 AND 3

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated September 29, 1982, the Commission issued Amendments Nos. 113, 113 and 110 to the licenses for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3, which revised the Station's common Technical Specifications (TSs) to allow full power operation of Oconee Unit 3 during fuel Cycle 7. Duke Power Company's (DPC or the licensee) proposed Unit 3 Axial Power Shaping Rod (APSR) Position Limits were reviewed and approved as part of these amendments.

In a submittal dated September 14, 1983, DPC disclosed that while calculating the Oconee Unit 2 Cycle 7 TSs, they became aware that a correction should be made to the APSR position limits of Unit 3 after 385 Effective Full Power Days (EFPD). Review and evaluation of the Oconee Unit 3 TS change was requested along with the Oconee Unit 2 Cycle 7 reload review and evaluation. The Unit 2 Cycle 7 reload TSs, including the APSR position limits for Unit 2 Cycle 7 and the corrected APSR position limits for Unit 3 Cycle 7, were subsequently reviewed and found acceptable. By letter dated November 23, 1983, the Commission issued Amendments Nos. 124, 124 and 121 revising the TSs to allow full power operation of Oconee Unit 2 during fuel Cycle 7, but for administrative reasons, deferred the issuance of the Unit 3 Cycle 7 corrected APSR position limits to a later date as a separate licensing action. This evaluation addresses the deferred Unit 3 Cycle 7 corrected APSR position limits.

EVALUATION

The difference in the APSR position limit was caused by poor convergence of the calculated power distribution for Oconee Unit 3 Cycle 7. The discrepancy was discovered at the time of the preparation of the Oconee Unit 2 Cycle 7 reload but before Oconee Unit 3 reached 385 EFPD. Therefore, no period of potentially unsafe operation occurred. The appropriate report was filed.

Inasmuch as the calculation was carried out with an approved method and the recommended number of iterations was used, we find the requested TS change acceptable for Oconee Unit 3.

### SUMMARY

We conclude that the corrections to Oconee 3 Cycle 7 will not adversely affect the capability to operate the plant safely. We also conclude that the proposed changes to the TSs discussed above for Oconee 3 Cycle 7 are acceptable.

### ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

We have determined that the amendments do not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendments involve an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4), that an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

### CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: January 30, 1984

The following NRC staff personnel have contributed to this Safety Evaluation:  
L. Lois and J. Suermann.