

Dockets Nos. 50-269/270/287

AUG 24 1976

DISTRIBUTION
 Dockets (3)
 NRC PDR(3)
 Local PDR
 ORB#1 Reading
 KRGoller/TJCarter
~~KXXXXXXX~~
 SMSheppard
 GZech
 OELD
 OI&E(5)
 BJones(12)
 BScharf(15)
 JMcGough
 OPA(CMiles)
 DRoss
 T Babernathy
 JRBuchanan
 VStello

Duke Power Company
 ATTN: Mr. William O. Parker, Jr.
 Vice President - Steam Production
 422 South Church Street
 P. O. Box 2178
 Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Gentlemen:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 31, 31, and 28 for Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2, and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated July 30, 1976.

The amendments establish provisions for implementing alternate measures to assure that liquid waste effluent release limits are not exceeded whenever liquid waste monitors cannot be set to properly alarm and control liquid releases.

Copies of the Safety Evaluation and the Federal Register Notice are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

A. Schwencer, Chief
 Operating Reactors Branch #1
 Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 31 to DPR-38
2. Amendment No. 31 to DPR-47
3. Amendment No. 28 to DPR-55
4. Safety Evaluation
5. Federal Register Notice

cc w/enclosures:
 See next page

OFFICE >	ORB#1	OELD	ORB#1	RES	
SURNAME >	GZech:tsb	W.D. Paton	ASchwencer	Gr. m 25	Gr. m 25
DATE >	8/17/76	8/20/76	8/24/76	8/19/76	8/19/76

cc: Mr. William L. Porter
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Mr. Troy B. Conner
Conner & Knotts
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Oconee Public Library
201 South Spring Street
Walhalla, South Carolina 29691



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-269

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 31
License No. DPR-38

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated July 30, 1976, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 24, 1976

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 28 TO DPR-55

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Revise Appendix A as follows:

Remove page 3.9-2 and insert revised pages 3.9-2 and 3.9-2a.

- 3.9.3 The rate of release of radioactive materials in liquid waste from the station shall be controlled such that the instantaneous concentrations of radioactivity in liquid waste upon release from the Restricted Area, does not exceed the values listed in 10CFR20, Appendix B, Table II, Column 2.
- 3.9.4 The equipment installed in the liquid radioactive waste system shall be maintained and operated for the purposes of keeping releases within the objectives of these specifications and shall process all liquids prior to their discharge in order to limit the activity, excluding tritium and dissolved noble gases, released during any calendar quarter to 1.25 curies or less per unit.
- 3.9.5 As far as practicable, the releases of liquid waste shall be coordinated with the operation of the Keowee Hydro unit.
- 3.9.6 Liquid waste discharged from the liquid waste disposal system shall be continuously monitored during release. The liquid effluent monitor reading shall be compared with the expected reading of each discharge batch. The monitor shall be tested daily or prior to releases and calibrated at refueling intervals. The calibration procedure shall consist of exposing the detector to a referenced calibration source in a controlled, reproducible geometry. The sources and geometry shall be referenced to the original monitor calibration which provides the applicable calibration curves.
- 3.9.7 The effluent control monitor shall be set to alarm and automatically close the waste discharge valve such that the appropriate requirements of the specification are met.

In the event that the effluent control monitor is inoperable or cannot be calibrated to perform this function, the following will be performed to assure that prescribed release limits are not exceeded: A redundant valve lineup check of the effluent pathway and redundant sample analyses will be performed prior to each liquid effluent release.

These additional actions will be applicable until December 1, 1976.

- 3.9.8 In addition to the continuous monitoring requirements, liquid radioactive waste sampling and activity analysis shall be performed in accordance with Table 4.1.3. Records shall be maintained and reports of the sampling and analysis shall be submitted in accordance with Section 6.6 of these Technical Specifications.

Bases

It is expected that the releases of radioactive materials and liquid wastes will be kept within the design objective levels and will not exceed the concentration limits specified in 10CFR20. These levels provide the reasonable assurance that the resulting annual exposure to the whole body or any individual body organ will not exceed 5 millirem per year. At the same time, the licensee is permitted the flexibility of operation compatible with

considerations of health and safety to assure that the public is provided a dependable source of power under unusual operating conditions which may temporarily result in releases higher than design objective levels but still within the concentration limits specified in 10CFR20. It is expected that when using this operational flexibility under unusual operating conditions, the licensee shall exert every effort to keep the levels of radioactive materials and liquid wastes as low as practicable and that annual releases will not exceed a small fraction of the annual average concentration limits specified in 10CFR20.

Amendments Nos. 31, 31 & 28



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-270

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 31
License No. DPR-47

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated July 30, 1976, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public;
and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "A. Schwencer".

A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 24, 1976



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-287

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 28
License No. DPR-55

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated July 30, 1976, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 24, 1976



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO FACILITY LICENSE NO. DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 31 TO FACILITY LICENSE NO. DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 28 TO FACILITY LICENSE NO. DPR-55

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2 AND 3

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Introduction

By letter dated July 30, 1976, Duke Power Company (the licensee) proposed a change to the Technical Specifications appended to Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3. The proposed change would establish provisions for implementing alternate measures to assure that liquid waste effluent release limits are not exceeded whenever liquid waste monitors cannot be set to properly alarm and control liquid releases.

Discussion

The Oconee Technical Specifications require that the liquid effluent control monitors be set to alarm and automatically close the waste discharge valve such that the appropriate specifications governing liquid waste discharge are met. The licensee has experienced difficulty with high background readings in the liquid effluent monitors such that the settings of these monitors cannot be made to function as required by the Technical Specifications. Efforts are in progress to correct the high background condition that exists, however, until a proper resolution can be made. The licensee is proposing alternate measures to be followed whenever the effluent control monitor is inoperable or cannot be calibrated to perform its specified function. These measures would consist of a redundant valve lineup check of the effluent pathway and redundant sample analyses prior to each liquid effluent release to ensure that the appropriate discharge limits are not exceeded.

Evaluation

The licensee has established a task group within the company which includes the services of a vendor consultant to study three possible solutions to the high background level problem at the liquid effluent monitors. By letter dated August 10, 1976, the licensee committed to correcting this problem by December 1, 1976 such that continuous monitoring during liquid effluent releases would again be in effect. Although continuous monitoring during such releases is desirable, the measures proposed by the licensee to be followed in the interim period provide significant control over the procedures followed while conducting a liquid release. A release is performed on a batch basis such that the quantity or volume released is known beforehand. The redundant valve lineup proposed by the licensee would provide added assurance that no other quantities of liquid wastes are involved. The redundant sample analyses proposed by the licensee would provide an accurate determination of the activities present in the batch release. In addition, the licensee has indicated that even though no accurate alarm setpoint can be determined, the liquid effluent monitors would be utilized to the extent possible to detect liquid effluent release rates.

In view of the above we conclude that the interim measures proposed by the licensee provide adequate assurance that the liquid effluent release limits will not be exceeded and are therefore acceptable.

We have determined that the amendments do not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendments involve an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) that an environmental statement, negative declaration, or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendments do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and do not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: August 24, 1976

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSES

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 31, 31, and 28 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55, respectively, issued to Duke Power Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3, located in Oconee County, South Carolina. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendments establish provisions for implementing alternate measures to assure that liquid waste effluent release limits are not exceeded whenever liquid waste monitors cannot be set to properly alarm and control liquid releases.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR Section 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, negative

declaration, or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated July 30, 1976, (2) Amendments Nos. 31, 31, and 28 to Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55, respectively, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the Oconee County Library, 201 South Spring Street, Walhalla, South Carolina 29691. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 24th day of August 1976.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



A. Schwencer, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #1
Division of Operating Reactors