July 25, 2001

Mr. Gregory M. Rueger
Senior Vice President, Generation and Chief Nuclear Officer
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
P. O. Box 3
Avila Beach, CA 94177

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION APPLICATION FOR DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT (DCPP), UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. MB1203 AND MB1204)

Dear Mr. Rueger:

By letter dated February 16, 2001 (DCL-01-015), you submitted a request for relief from Section XI examination requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code for inservice inspection (ISI) of Class 1 and 2 piping welds. The proposed alternative of a risk-informed ISI program is to provide an acceptable level of quality and safety in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i).

The enclosed information is needed for the staff to complete its review of your application. To expedite the staff's review to meet the agreed upon schedule, the request for additional information was provided to your staff by e-mail on or about July 11, 2001. Any difference between the enclosed questions and the e-mail is editorial. In a call on the questions with your staff, they agreed to submit the responses to the questions by August 17, 2001. If the responses are submitted by that date, the staff expects to issue its evaluation on schedule. If you have any questions, contact me, lead project manager, at 301-415-1307, or at ind@nrc.gov through the internet.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Jack N. Donohew, Senior Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Diablo Canyon Power Plant, Units 1 and 2

CC:

NRC Resident Inspector Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 369 Avila Beach, CA 93424

Dr. Richard Ferguson, Energy Chair Sierra Club California 1100 11th Street, Suite 311 Sacramento, CA 95814

Ms. Nancy Culver San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace P.O. Box 164 Pismo Beach, CA 93448

Chairman San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors Room 370 County Government Center San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Mr. Truman Burns Mr. Robert Kinosian California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness, Room 4102 San Francisco, CA 94102

Mr. Steve Hsu Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services P.O. Box 942732 Sacramento, CA 94327-7320

Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee ATTN: Robert R. Wellington, Esq. Legal Counsel 857 Cass Street, Suite D Monterey, CA 93940 Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Harris Tower & Pavilion 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Christopher J. Warner, Esq. Pacific Gas & Electric Company Post Office Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

Mr. David H. Oatley, Vice President Diablo Canyon Operations and Plant Manager Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 3 Avila Beach, CA 93424

Telegram-Tribune ATTN: Managing Editor 1321 Johnson Avenue P.O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, CA 93406

Mr. Ed Bailey, Radiation Program Director Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services P.O. Box 942732 (MS 178) Sacramento, CA 94327-7320

Mr. Robert A. Laurie, Commissioner California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street (MS 31) Sacramento, CA 95814

July 25, 2001

Mr. Gregory M. Rueger Senior Vice President, Generation and Chief Nuclear Officer Pacific Gas and Electric Company Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant P. O. Box 3 Avila Beach, CA 94177

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION APPLICATION FOR DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT (DCPP), UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. MB1203 AND MB1204)

Dear Mr. Rueger:

By letter dated February 16, 2001 (DCL-01-015), you submitted a request for relief from Section XI examination requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code for inservice inspection (ISI) of Class 1 and 2 piping welds. The proposed alternative of a risk-informed ISI program is to provide an acceptable level of quality and safety in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i).

The enclosed information is needed for the staff to complete its review of your application. To expedite the staff's review to meet the agreed upon schedule, the request for additional information was provided to your staff by e-mail on or about July 11, 2001. Any difference between the enclosed questions and the e-mail is editorial. In a call on the questions with your staff, they agreed to submit the responses to the questions by August 17, 2001. If the responses are submitted by that date, the staff expects to issue its evaluation on schedule. If you have any questions, contact me, lead project manager, at 301-415-1307, or at ind@nrc.gov through the internet.

Sincerely, /**RA**/ Jack N. Donohew, Senior Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate IV Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-275 and 50-323

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: PUBLIC AAli PDIV-2 Reading SMalik RidsOgcRp RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsNrrDIpmLpdiv (SRichards) RidsNrrPMGShukla RidsNrrPMJDonohew RidsNrrLAEPeyton RidsRgn4MailCenter (KBrockman)

ACCESSION NO.: ML01			NRR-088	*Email dated July 11, 2001	
OFFICE PDI	V-2/LPM	PDIV-2/LA	EMCB	PDIV-2/SC	
NAME JDo	onohew:sp	EPeyton	SAli*	SDembek	
DATE 7/25	5/2001	7/24/01	07/11/01	7/25/01	

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\PDF Output\RAI-letter-RI-ISI.dcpp.wpd OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT

RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION

DOCKET NOS. 50-275 AND 50-323

The following are questions on the risk-informed inservice inspection (RI-ISI) submittal dated February 16, 2001 (DCL-01-015), for Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP):

- Page 4 of the submittal states that portions of the containment spray, chemical and volume control, safety injection, and residual heat removal systems contain Class 2 piping that is less than 0.375 inches thick. It also states that in response to an NRC request for additional information, the licensee for DCPP committed to performing volumetric examinations on a percentage of the welds in this "thin wall" piping during each ten year interval. It also states that this piping was included in the scope of the RI-ISI application and that this augmented inspection program is subsumed by the RI-ISI program. Please describe the mechanism/procedure by which this commitment to NRC will be changed.
- 2. Page 5 of the submittal states that for DCPP, a deviation to EPRI RI-ISI methodology has been implemented in the failure potential assessment for thermal stratification, cycling and striping (TASCS). Please state if the revised methodology for assessing TASCS potential is in conformance with the updated criteria described in the EPRI letter to NRC dated March 28, 2001. Also, please confirm that as stated in the subject letter, once the final material reliability program guidance has been developed, the RI-ISI program will be updated for the evaluation of susceptibility to TASCS, as appropriate.
- 3. Page 4 of the submittal states that for DCPP Unit 1, 33.6 percent of the ASME XI examinations have been completed during the first period of the second interval and, therefore, 66.4 percent of the RI-ISI examinations will be performed during the third period so that 100 percent of the selected examinations are performed during the course of the interval. Specify which 66.4 percent of the RI-ISI examinations will be performed and what will be the basis of the selection. The same question applies to Unit 2, for which 32.7 percent of the ASME XI examinations have been completed and the remaining 67.3 percent examinations will be per RI-ISI.