

RETROFIT DOCUMENT

DOCUMENT'S SCAN ID # X 113671

TOTAL PAGES IN DOCUMENT: 20

DOCUMENT CONTAINS:

(CIRCLE YES OR NO)

DOUBLE SIDED PAGES? YES

OVERSIZE PAGES? YES

NO

NO

IF YES, RECORD TOTAL # OF OVERSIZE PAGES
INCLUDED IN DOCUMENT : _____

ACCESSION # _____

(Filled Out by Image QC)

9/19

None

May 3, 1978

Dockets Nos. 50-269
50-270 ✓
and 50-237

Duke Power Company
ATTN: Mr. William O. Parker, Jr.
Vice President - Steam Production
422 South Church Street
P. O. Box 2178
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket (3)

NRC PDR (3)
L PDR (3)
ORB#4 Reading
VStello
BGrimes
RIngram
MFairtile
OELD
OI&E(7)
BJones(12)
BScharf(15)
JMcGough
BHarless
DEisenhut
ACRS(16)
OPA, Clare Miles

DRoss
TAbernathy
JBuchanan
Gray file
XTRA 4

Gentlemen:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 61, 61, and 58 for Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Station's common Technical Specifications and are in response to your request dated February 16, 1978.

These amendments revise the Technical Specifications by eliminating reactor trip on loss of a single reactor coolant pump for Oconee Units Nos. 2 and 3.

Copies of the Safety Evaluation and the Notice of Issuance are also enclosed.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 61 to DPR-38
2. Amendment No. 61 to DPR-47
3. Amendment No. 58 to DPR-55
4. Safety Evaluation
5. Notice of Issuance

cc w/enclosures: See next page

OFFICE	ORB#4:DOR	ORB#4:DOR	OELD	C-ORB#4:DOR	
SURNAME	RIngram	MFairtile:dn	RWReid	RWReid	
DATE	4/13/78	4/13/78	4/29/78	4/13/78	

Duke Power Company

cc: Mr. William L. Porter
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 2178
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire
DeBevoise & Liberman
700 Shoreham Building
806-15th Street, NW.,
Washington, D.C. 20005

Oconee Public Library
201 South Spring Street
Walhalla, South Carolina 29691

Honorable James M. Phinney
County Supervisor of Oconee County
Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

Chief, Energy Systems
Analyses Branch (AW-459)
Office of Radiation Programs
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Room 645, East Tower
401 M Street, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20460

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IV Office
ATTN: EIS COORDINATOR
345 Courtland Street, N. E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308

cc w/enclosures & incoming dtd:
2/16/78
Office of Intergovernmental Relations
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-269

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 61
License No. DPR-38

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 16, 1978, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 61 are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 3, 1978



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-270

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 61
License No. DPR-47

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 16, 1978, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-47 is hereby amended to read as follows:

3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 61, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 3, 1978



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-287

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 58
License No. DPR-55

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 16, 1978, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.


2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-55 is hereby amended to read as follows:

3.B Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 58, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 3, 1978

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT NO. 61 TO DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 61 TO DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 58 TO DPR-55

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Revise Appendix A as follows:

Remove the following pages and insert revised identically numbered pages:

2.3-1 - 2.3-4

2.3-11 - 2.3-13

Changes on the revised pages are indicated by marginal lines.

2.3 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTINGS, PROTECTIVE INSTRUMENTATION

Applicability

Applies to instruments monitoring reactor power, reactor power imbalance, reactor coolant system pressure, reactor coolant outlet temperature, flow, number of pumps in operation, and high reactor building pressure.

Objective

To provide automatic protective action to prevent any combination of process variables from exceeding a safety limit.

Specification

The reactor protective system trip setting limits and the permissible bypasses for the instrument channels shall be as stated in Table 2.3.1A-Unit 1
and 2.3-1B-Unit 2
2.3-1C-Unit 3

Figure 2.3-2A-Unit 1
2.3-2B-Unit 2
2.3-2C-Unit 3

The pump monitors shall produce a reactor trip for the following conditions:

- a. Loss of one pump during four-pump operation of Unit 1 (only) if power level is greater than 80% of rated power.
- b. Loss of two pumps and reactor power level is greater than 55% of rated power.
- c. Loss of two pumps in one reactor coolant loop and reactor power level is greater than 0.0% of rated power.
- d. Loss of one or two pumps during two-pump operation.

Bases

The reactor protective system consists of four instrument channels to monitor each of several selected plant conditions which will cause a reactor trip if any one of these conditions deviates from a pre-selected operating range to the degree that a safety limit may be reached.

The trip setting limits for protective system instrumentation are listed in Table 2.3-1A-Unit 1. The safety analysis has been based upon these protective

2.3-1B-Unit 2
2.3-1C-Unit 3

system instrumentation trip setpoints plus calibration and instrumentation errors.

Nuclear Overpower

A reactor trip at high power level (neutron flux) is provided to prevent damage to the fuel cladding from reactivity excursions too rapid to be detected by pressure and temperature measurements.

During normal plant operation with all reactor coolant pumps operating, reactor trip is initiated when the reactor power level reaches 105.5% of rated power. Adding to this the possible variation in trip setpoints due to calibration and instrument errors, the maximum actual power at which a trip would be actuated could be 112%, which is more conservative than the value used in the safety analysis. (4)

Overpower Trip Based on Flow and Imbalance

The power level trip set point produced by the reactor coolant system flow is based on a power-to-flow ratio which has been established to accommodate the most severe thermal transient considered in the design, the loss-of-coolant flow accident from high power. Analysis has demonstrated that the specified power-to-flow ratio is adequate to prevent a DNBR of less than 1.3 should a low flow condition exist due to any electrical malfunction.

The power level trip set point produced by the power-to-flow ratio provides both high power level and low flow protection in the event the reactor power level increases or the reactor coolant flow rate decreases. The power level trip set point produced by the power-to-flow ratio provides overpower DNB protection for all modes of pump operation. For every flow rate there is a maximum permissible power level, and for every power level there is a minimum permissible low flow rate. Typical power level and low flow rate combinations for the pump situations of Table 2.3-1A are as follows:

1. Trip would occur when four reactor coolant pumps are operating if power is 105.5% and reactor flow rate is 100% or flow rate is 94.8% and power level is 100%.
2. Trip would occur when three reactor coolant pumps are operating if power is 78.8% and reactor flow rate is 74.7% or flow rate is 71.1% and power level is 75%.
3. Trip would occur when one reactor coolant pump is operating in each loop (total of two pumps operating) if the power is 51.7% and reactor flow rate is 49.0% or flow rate is 46.4% and the power level is 49%.

The flux-to-flow ratios account for the maximum calibration and instrument errors and the maximum variation from the average value of the RC flow signal in such a manner that the reactor protective system receives a conservative indication of the RC flow.

For safety calculations the maximum calibration and instrumentation errors for the power level trip were used.

The power-imbalance boundaries are established in order to prevent reactor thermal limits from being exceeded. These thermal limits are either power peaking kw/ft limits or DNBR limits. The reactor power imbalance (power in the top half of core minus power in the bottom half of core) reduces the power level trip produced by the power-to-flow ratio such that the boundaries of Figure 2.3-2A - Unit 1 are produced. The power-to-flow ratio reduces the power

- 2.3-2B - Unit 2
- 2.3-2C - Unit 3

level trip and associated reactor power/reactor power-imbalance boundaries by 1.055% for 1% flow reduction.

Pump Monitors

The pump monitors prevent the minimum core DNBR from decreasing below 1.3 by tripping the reactor due to the loss of reactor coolant pump(s). The circuitry monitoring pump operational status provides redundant trip protection for DNB by tripping the reactor on a signal diverse from that of the power-to-flow ratio. The pump monitors also restrict the power level for the number of pumps in operation. The reactor trip upon loss of one pump during 4-pump operation above 80% FP is specified for Unit 1 in order to provide a minimum of 11.2% DNBR margin in the flux/flow trip setpoint to accommodate the possible reduction in thermal margin due to rod bowing. For units 2 and 3, loss of one pump trip is not required because of thermal credits from excess RC flow, i.e., by maintaining a minimum RC flow of 109.5% for Unit No. 2 and 108.5% for Unit No. 3, respectively.

Reactor Coolant System Pressure

During a startup accident from low power or a slow rod withdrawal from high power, the system high pressure set point is reached before the nuclear over-power trip set point. The trip setting limit shown in Figure 2.3-1A - Unit 1
2.3-1B - Unit 2
2.3-1C - Unit 3

for high reactor coolant system pressure (2355 psig) has been established to maintain the system pressure below the safety limit (2750 psig) for any design transient. (1)

The low pressure (1800) psig and variable low pressure (11.14 T_{out} -4706) trip
(1800) psig (11.14 T_{out} -4706)
(1800) psig (11.14 T_{out} -4706)

setpoints shown in Figure 2.3-1A have been established to maintain the DNB
2.3-1B
2.3-1C

ratio greater than or equal to 1.3 for those design accidents that result in a pressure reduction. (2,3)

Due to the calibration and instrumentation errors the safety analysis used a variable low reactor coolant system pressure trip value of (11.14 T_{out} -4746)
(11.14 T_{out} -4746)
(11.14 T_{out} -4746)

Coolant Outlet Temperature

The high reactor coolant outlet temperature trip setting limit (619°F) shown in Figure 2.3-1A has been established to prevent excessive core coolant
2.3-1B
2.3-1C

temperatures in the operating range. Due to calibration and instrumentation errors, the safety analysis used a trip set point of 620°F.

Reactor Building Pressure

The high reactor building pressure trip setting limit (4 psig) provides positive assurance that a reactor trip will occur in the unlikely event of a loss-of-coolant accident, even in the absence of a low reactor coolant system pressure trip.

Shutdown Bypass

In order to provide for control rod drive tests, zero power physics testing, and startup procedures, there is provision for bypassing certain segments of the reactor protection system. The reactor protection system segments which can be bypassed are shown in Table 2.3-1A. Two conditions are imposed when

2.3-1B
2.3-1C

the bypass is used:

1. By administrative control the nuclear overpower trip set point must be reduced to a value $\leq 5.0\%$ of rated power during reactor shutdown.
2. A high reactor coolant system pressure trip setpoint of 1720 psig is automatically imposed.

The purpose of the 1720 psig high pressure trip set point is to prevent normal operation with part of the reactor protection system bypassed. This high pressure trip set point is lower than the normal low pressure trip set point so that the reactor must be tripped before the bypass is initiated. The overpower trip set point of $\leq 5.0\%$ prevents any significant reactor power from being produced when performing the physics tests. Sufficient natural circulation (5) would be available to remove 5.0% of rated power if none of the reactor coolant pumps were operating.

Single Loop Operation

Single loop operation is permitted only after the reactor has been tripped and is subject to the limitations set forth in Specification 3.18. The RPS trip setting limits and permissible instrument channels bypasses will be confirmed prior to single loop operation.

REFERENCES

- (1) FSAR, Section 14.1.2.2
- (2) FSAR, Section 14.1.2.7
- (3) FSAR, Section 14.1.2.8
- (4) FSAR, Section 14.1.2.3
- (5) FSAR, Section 14.1.2.6

Reactor Protective System Trip Setting Limits

Table 2.3-1A
Unit 1

Reactor Protective System Segment	Operating Coolant Pumps	Operating In Each Loop	Operating Power (Operating Power -49% Rated)	One Reactor	Three Reactor	Four Reactor
1. RPS Segment	Four Reactor Coolant Pumps	Operating	(Operating Power 100% Rated)	105.5	105.5	105.5
2. Nuclear Power Max. Based on Flow (2) and Imbalance. (2 Rated)				1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance
3. Nuclear Power Max. Based on Pump Monitors. (2, Rated)				80%	80%	NA
4. High Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig, Max.				2355	2355	2355
5. Low Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig, Min.				1800	1800	1800
6. Variable Low Reactor Coolant System Pressure psig, Min.				(11.14T ^{out} - 4706) (1)	(11.14T ^{out} - 4706) (1)	(11.14T ^{out} - 4706) (1)
7. Reactor Coolant Temp. F., Max.				619	619	619
8. High Reactor Building Pressure, psig, Max.				4	4	4
(1) T ^{out} is in degrees Fahrenheit (°F).						
(2) Reactor Coolant System Flow, Z.						
(3) Administratively controlled reduction set only during reactor shutdown.						
(4) Automatically set when other segments of the RPS are bypassed.						

Shutdown Bypass
5.0 (3)

1720 (4)

Bypassed

619

4

Reactor Protective System Trip Setting Limits

Table 2.3-18
Unit 2

RPS Segment	Four Reactor Coolant Pumps Operating	Three Reactor Coolant Pumps Operating	One Reactor Coolant Pump Operating in Each Loop	Shutdown Bypass
1. Nuclear Power Max. (2 Rated)	105.5	105.5	105.5	5.0 (1)
2. Nuclear Power Max. Based on flow (2) and imbalance, minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	Bypassed
3. Nuclear Power Max. Based on Pump Monitors, (2 Rated)	NA	NA	55%	Bypassed
4. High Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig, Max.	2355	2355	2355	1720 (4)
5. Low Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig, Min.	1800	1800	1800	Bypassed
6. Variable Low Reactor Coolant System Pressure psig, Min.	(11.14 T _{out} - 4706) (1)	(11.14 T _{out} - 4706) (1)	(11.14 T _{out} - 4706) (1)	Bypassed
7. Reactor Coolant Temp. F., Max.	619	619	619	619
8. High Reactor Building Pressure, psig, Max.	4	4	4	4

(1) T_{out} is in degrees Fahrenheit (°F).

(2) Reactor Coolant System Flow, %.

(3) Administratively controlled reduction set only during reactor shutdown.

(4) Automatically set when other segments of the RPS are bypassed.

Table 2.3-1C
Unit 3

Reactor Protective System Trip Setting Limits

Setting	One Reactor Coolant Pump Operating in Each Loop (Operating -49% Rated)	Three Reactor Coolant Pumps Operating (Operating -75% Rated)	Four Reactor Coolant Pumps Operating (Operating -100% Rated)
1. Nuclear Power Max. (Z Rated)	105.5	105.5	105.5
2. Nuclear Power Max. Based on Flow (Z) and Imbalance. (Z Rated)	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance	1.055 times flow minus reduction due to imbalance
3. Nuclear Power Max. Based on Pump Monitors. (Z Rated)	55%	NA	NA
4. High Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig. Max.	2355	2355	2355
5. Low Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig. Min.	1800	1800	1800
6. Variable Low Reactor Coolant System Pressure, psig. Min.	Bypassed	(11.14 T _{out} - 4706) (1)	(11.14 T _{out} - 4706) (1)
7. Reactor Coolant Temp. F., Max.	619	619	619
8. High Reactor Building Pressure, psig. Max.	4	4	4

(1) T_{out} is in degrees Fahrenheit (°F).

(2) Reactor Coolant System Flow, Z.

(3) Administratively controlled reduction set only during reactor shutdown.

(4) Administratively set when other segments of the RPS are bypassed.

Shutdown
Bypass
5.0 (3)

1720 (4)



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 61 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 61 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 58 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-55

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NOS. 1, 2 AND 3

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Introduction

By letter dated February 16, 1978, Duke Power Company (DPC) requested changes to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Technical Specifications which would eliminate reactor trip on loss of a single reactor coolant pump for Oconee Units Nos. 2 and 3 and to make other minor corrections.

Discussion/Evaluation

The major change proposed by DPC is to eliminate the pump monitor trip on loss of one pump for Oconee Units Nos. 2 and 3. This pump monitor trip was originally proposed by DPC in the license amendment request of September 14, 1977 for the Oconee 1 Cycle 4 reload in order to include in the flux-flow trip setpoint a Departure from Nucleate Boiling Ratio (DNBR) margin for rod bow. For Units Nos. 2 and 3 this margin can be obtained from excess reactor coolant flow rate. Previous NRC Safety Evaluations dated July 27, 1977 and November 21, 1977, concluded that there was sufficient margin in excess coolant flow so that a reactor trip on loss of a single reactor coolant pump was not needed for Units 2 and 3. The single pump trip limit had been inadvertently applied to Units 2 and 3. The pump monitor trip is only required for Oconee 1 as indicated in our Safety Evaluation of October 4, 1977. The proposed changes delete the loss of one pump trip setpoint for Oconee 2 and 3. The licensee has measured and verified the excess reactor coolant flow.

The proposed changes also include corrections to Technical Specifications which were pertinent only to Oconee 1 Cycle 2 which are no longer relevant as Unit 1 is currently in Cycle 4 operation; and deletion of setpoints associated with single loop operation which are no longer applicable as 1S 2.3.c does not permit single loop operation at power. These

changes have been reviewed and they do establish a more appropriate set of Technical Specifications for current operations at the Oconee Units.

On these bases we find the proposed change to the Oconee Technical Specifications to be acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that the amendments do not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendments involve an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4), that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendments do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and do not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: May 3, 1978

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287DUKE POWER COMPANYNOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSES

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 61, 61 and 58 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55, respectively, issued to Duke Power Company for operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3, located in Oconee County, South Carolina. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments revise the Technical Specifications by eliminating reactor trip on loss of a single reactor coolant pump for Oconee Units Nos. 2 and 3.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.


- 2 -

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated February 16, 1978, (2) Amendments Nos. 61, 61, and 58 to Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55, respectively, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. and at the Oconee County Library, 201 South Spring Street, Walhalla, South Carolina. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 3rd day of May 1978.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Robert W. Reid, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Operating Reactors