July 30, 2001

Mr. Ronald DeGregorio
Vice President Oyster Creek
AmerGen Energy Company, LLC
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

SUBJECT: OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON CONTROL ROOM HABITABILTY (TAC NO. MB0906)

Dear Mr. DeGregorio:

In reviewing your December 19, 2000, submittal, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has determined that it will need additional information to continue its review. The staff clarified these questions with your staff during a conference call on June 28, 2001. So that the NRC may complete its review on schedule, we request that you respond to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days of the date of this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact me at (301) 415-1261.

Sincerely,

/RA by T. Colburn for/

Helen N. Pastis, Senior Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

July 30, 2001

Mr. Ronald DeGregorio
Vice President Oyster Creek
AmerGen Energy Company, LLC
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

SUBJECT: OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON CONTROL ROOM HABITABILTY (TAC NO. MB0906)

Dear Mr. DeGregorio:

In reviewing your December 19, 2000, submittal, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has determined that it will need additional information to continue its review. The staff clarified these questions with your staff during a conference call on June 28, 2001. So that the NRC may complete its review on schedule, we request that you respond to the enclosed request for additional information within 30 days of the date of this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact me at (301) 415-1261.

Sincerely,

/RA by T. Colburn for/

Helen N. Pastis, Senior Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-219

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	PDI-1 R/F	DMatthews	RCorreia
SLittle	HPastis	LBerry	OGC
ACRS	BPlatchek, RI		

Accession Number: ML012050481

OFC	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	PDI-1/ASC
NAME	TColburn for HPastis	SLittle	RCorreia
DATE	7/29/01	7/26/01	7/26/01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

AmerGen Energy Company, LLC
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

cc:

Kevin P. Gallen, Esquire
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1800 M Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20036-5869

Mr. Jeffrey A. Benjamin
Licensing - Vice President
Exelon Corporation
1400 Opus Place, Suite 900
Downers Grove, IL 60521

Manager Nuclear Safety & Licensing
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Mail Stop OCAB2
P. O. Box 388
Forked River, NJ 08731

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mayor
Lacey Township
818 West Lacey Road
Forked River, NJ 08731

Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 445
Forked River, NJ 08731

Kent Tosch, Chief
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection
Bureau of Nuclear Engineering
CN 415
Trenton, NJ 08625

PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters
Correspondence Control
P.O. Box 160
Kennett Square, PA 19348

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

CONTROL ROOM HABITABILITY

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16

DOCKET NO. 50-219

Regarding the December 19, 2000, submittal concerning a control room habitability amendment request, what is the justification for assuming that the Main Steamline Isolation Valve (MSIV) leakage is a diffuse release from the turbine building? Where are turbine building penetrations or other potential release locations to the environment? Provide or reference plant drawings in the Safety Analysis Report or elsewhere that show the relationship between potential release points from systems within the turbine building to the environment and from the environment to the control room intake with respect to distances, structural dimensions, and directions from true north. What assurance is there that the effluent will leak out over the surface of the turbine building wall or an equivalent area rather than from some other location, such as the turbine building vent closest to the control room intake? Is flow from turbine building vents forced and, if so, are the fan systems safety grade?

Enclosure