

June 2, 1999

Mr. W. R. McCollum, Jr.  
Vice President, Oconee Site  
Duke Energy Corporation  
P. O. Box 1439  
Seneca, SC 29679

SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 RE: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES CHANGE

Dear Mr. McCollum:

By letter dated May 19, 1999, you informed the staff of a change to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 Improved Technical Specifications (ITS) Bases Section 3.4.13. The purpose of the change is to incorporate a statement to clarify that a loss of reactor coolant through reactor coolant pump seals and system valves to connecting systems that vent to the gas vent header, from which coolant can be returned to the reactor coolant system, is not considered to be "Reactor Coolant System Leakage." The provision was contained in the Oconee Technical Specifications prior to conversion to the ITS.

The purpose of this letter is to distribute the attached revised TS pages to the appropriate TS manual holders.

Sincerely,  
Original signed by:

David E. LaBarge, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

Enclosure: Revised Bases Page

cc w/encl: See next page

Distribution:

- Docket File
- PUBLIC
- PDII-1 RF
- JZwolinski/SBlack
- CHawes
- DLaBarge
- GHill (6)
- OGC
- ACRS
- PSkinner, RII

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

600012

Document Name: G:\PDII-1\OCONEEBAS CHG13.WPD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box:

"C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PDII/S1	LA:PDII/S1	SC:PDII/SI		
NAME	DLaBarge	CHawes <i>CMH</i>	REmch <i>RE</i>		
DATE	6/2/99	6/2/99	6/2/99	1/99	1/99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9906070126 990602  
PDR ADDCK 05000269  
PDR

*DFB*



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 2, 1999

Mr. W. R. McCollum, Jr.  
Vice President, Oconee Site  
Duke Energy Corporation  
P. O. Box 1439  
Seneca, SC 29679

SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 RE: TECHNICAL  
SPECIFICATION BASES CHANGE

Dear Mr. McCollum:

By letter dated May 19, 1999, you informed the staff of a change to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 Improved Technical Specifications (ITS) Bases Section 3.4.13. The purpose of the change is to incorporate a statement to clarify that a loss of reactor coolant through reactor coolant pump seals and system valves to connecting systems that vent to the gas vent header, from which coolant can be returned to the reactor coolant system, is not considered to be "Reactor Coolant System Leakage." The provision was contained in the Oconee Technical Specifications prior to conversion to the ITS.

The purpose of this letter is to distribute the attached revised TS pages to the appropriate TS manual holders.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. LaBarge", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David E. LaBarge, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287

Enclosure: Revised Bases Page

Oconee Nuclear Station

cc:

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn  
Legal Department (PBO5E)  
Duke Energy Corporation  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Anne Cottingham, Esquire  
Winston and Strawn  
1400 L Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20005

Mr. Rick N. Edwards  
Framatome Technologies  
Suite 525  
1700 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852-1631

Manager, LIS  
NUS Corporation  
2650 McCormick Drive, 3rd Floor  
Clearwater, Florida 34619-1035

Senior Resident Inspector  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
7812B Rochester Highway  
Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Virgil R. Autry, Director  
Division of Radioactive Waste Management  
Bureau of Land and Waste Management  
Department of Health and Environmental  
Control  
2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

County Supervisor of Oconee County  
Waihalla, South Carolina 29621

Mr. J. E. Burchfield  
Compliance Manager  
Duke Energy Corporation  
Oconee Nuclear Site  
P. O. Box 1439  
Seneca, South Carolina 29679

Ms. Karen E. Long  
Assistant Attorney General  
North Carolina Department of  
Justice  
P. O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

L. A. Keller  
Manager - Nuclear Regulatory  
Licensing  
Duke Energy Corporation  
526 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director  
Division of Radiation Protection  
North Carolina Department of  
Environment, Health, and  
Natural Resources  
3825 Barrett Drive  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Mr. Steven P. Shaver  
Senior Sales Engineer  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
5929 Carnegie Blvd.  
Suite 500  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28209

BASES

---

APPLICABLE  
SAFETY ANALYSES  
(continued)

Primary to secondary LEAKAGE is a factor in the dose releases outside containment resulting from a SLB accident. To a lesser extent, other accidents or transients involve secondary steam release to the atmosphere, such as a steam generator tube rupture (SGTR). The leakage contaminates the secondary fluid and can be released to the environment.

The safety analysis assumptions for the SLB accident bounds 300 gallon per day primary to secondary LEAKAGE in one generator as an initial condition. The dose consequences resulting from the SLB accident are within the limits defined in 10 CFR 100.

RCS operational LEAKAGE satisfies Criterion 2 of 10 CFR 50.36 (Ref.3).

---

| LCO

RCS LEAKAGE includes leakage from connected systems up to and including the second normally closed valve for systems which do not penetrate containment and the outermost isolation valve for systems which penetrate containment. Loss of reactor coolant through reactor coolant pump seals and system valves to connecting systems which vent to the gas vent header and from which coolant can be returned to the RCS shall not be considered as RCS LEAKAGE.

RCS operational LEAKAGE shall be limited to:

a. Pressure Boundary LEAKAGE

No pressure boundary LEAKAGE is allowed, being indicative of material deterioration. LEAKAGE of this type is unacceptable as the leak itself could cause further deterioration, resulting in higher LEAKAGE. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB. LEAKAGE past seals, gaskets, and steam generator tubes is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE.

(continued)

---