NRC FORM 658		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
(9-1999) TRANSMITTAL OF MEETING HANDOUT MATERIALS FOR IMMEDIATE PLACEMENT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN					
This form is to person who iss materials, will t circumstances Do not includ	be filled out (typed or hand-printe sued the meeting notice). The co be sent to the Document Control I will this be done later than the wo e proprietary materials.	d) by the person who announced the meeting (i.e., the mpleted form, and the attached copy of meeting handout Desk on the same day of the meeting; under no orking day after the meeting.			
DATE OF MEETING 7/17/01	The attached document(s), which was/were handed out in this meeting, is/are to be place in the public domain as soon as possible. The minutes of the meeting will be issued in the near future. Following are administrative details regarding this meeting:				
	Docket Number(s)	50-413 & 50-414			
	Plant/Facility Name	Catawba Units 182, Duke Power			
	TAC Number(s) (if available)				
	Reference Meeting Notice	July 6, 2001			
	Purpose of Meeting (copy from meeting notice)	To discuse control Room in-leakage			
		testing to be performed at Catawba			
		Nuclear Statin Units I ad 2			
Ch	and Patel	Project menage			
OFFICE	URR				
	ilpm				
BRANCH	D # 21				
Distribution of this Docket File/Centr PUBLIC	<u>form and attachments:</u> al File				

anda	Control Ro	om Ventila	ation		
Agen		Te	sting		
		1:00	07/17/01 9 PM to 3:55 PM Washington, DC		
Meeting requested by:	Duke Energy				
Type of meeting:	Working				
Attendees:					
	Agenda Topics				
1:00-1:10 PM	Meeting Overview	Jim Kammer			
Discussion:			<u>-</u>		
Catawba LARS (Current)					
Annulus Ventilation	- Expected 9/2001 submittal				
Auxilairy Building V	Ventilation – Expected 9/2001 submittal				
UFSAR Dose Analysis – reconstitution effort underway – Expected 9/2001 submittal					
NEI 99-03 – just released					
NRC Initiatives - Regulatory Guides to be issued later this year (?)					
Catawba LARS (Future)					
Outage Improvement Initiatives					
			<u> </u>		
-					
Conclusions:					
Action items:		Person responsible:	Deadline:		

-

•

1:20-1:35 PM

Discussion:

Control Room Unfiltered Inleakage

NRC information needs to ensure timely review of current LARS

Currently an assumed value of 30 cfm

10 cfm Door Opening (SRP)

10 cfm Instrument Air

10 cfm VC System Leakage

No leakage assumed through doors, walls, etc due to control room pressure

NEI 99-03 versus NRC Issues

Tracer Gas versus Component Testing

Catawba Plans (Current)

Perform Component Test

Perform Tracer Gas Test

Validate Component Test via Tracer Gas Test

Perform only Component Testing in future

Establish dialogue on current Catawba Plans

Identify any additonal information desired by NRC to succesfully accomplish above.

Preliminarily identify NRC concerns on testing approach and future testing.

Conclusions:

Action items:

Person responsible:

1:35-1:50 PM

Control Room Envelope and Control

Discussion:

594 Auxiliary Building – Single elevation, very simple physical boundary, one room inside boundary doors typically open Adjacent Areas

Service Building - OAC Room, Security Computer Room, Hallways, Office Area (VJ, balanced)

594 Electrical Penetration Rooms (VC, balanced)

Auxiliary Building – general areas (VA, slight vacuum)

Cable Spreading Rooms - (VC, balanced)

VC/YC Equipment Rooms – (VC, balanced)

Outside - very short section of wall, no penetrations, roof (NA, ambient)

Major VC System Components located outside of Control Room

Non-Control Room Ductwork traverses Control Room in overhead, pressurized and negative pressure

Intrument Air

Control Room Testing (Current)

Technical Specification Filter and Flow Testing

Technical Specification Pressure verified to adjacent areas

Pressure trended to identify need for corrective maintenance

Periodic system inspections

Conclusions:

Action items:

Person responsible:

1:50-2:15 PM

Control Room Ventilation System

Discussion:

Basic Components

PFT - Pre-filter/Moisture removal, HEPA, Heater, Carbon Bed, HEPA, loop seals with non-essential makeup

PFT Fan - 6,000 cfm nominal, essential power, Vaneaxial Fan (no shaft seals)

CR-AHU - 26,000 cfm nominal, essential power, internal fan (no shaft seals), loop seals - condensation makeup

CRA-AHU - 75,000 cfm nominal, essential power, not in Control Room Envelope

Ductwork is welded seam design with bolted flange connections

Normal Operation

Continuously pressurized

Continuously filtered

One complete VC Train inservice

Maintenance Alignment

Design Basis Accident Operation

Outside Air Intakes - Recent Chlorine Amendment

Maintenance Testing Requirements

Control Room Pressure verified any time maintenance alignment is performed

Smoke Test VC Components any time VC Pressure Boundary is opened.

Conclusions:

Action items:

2:25-2:45 PM

Component Testing

Jim Kammer

Discussion:

Test Method ANSI N-510

Engineering position

Test adequate to quantify unfiltered inleakage

Use Tracer Gas results to validate Component Test

Perform Component Test at some frequency in future depending on margins.

Three test sections

"A" Train

"B" Train

CRA Ductwork

Test Method

Re-balance recriculation line flows for single recirculation line

Isolate Test Boundary

Install blanks in ductwork

Draw constant negative (CRA duct will be positive) pressure on ductwork

Identify leaks and repair

Measure flow

Remove Blanks

Unisolate Test Boundary

Re-balance recirculation line to "As-Found"

Estimated Accuracy - \pm 5% Reading

Calculation/walkdown has determined Instrument Air Leakage in Control Room

Measurement not considered to be necessary based on low dose impact of this inleakge path due to flow path.

Air Compressor - Washout

Air Dryers

Long tubing runs

Tight clearances in final devices located in control room

Conclusions:

Action items:

Person responsible:

2:45-3:05 PM

Discussion:

Nucon to be awarded test contract

Recommended test method: Continuous Injection

Estimated test accuracy (Best Case) \pm 90 cfm.

Treatment of error - Best estimate or estimate plus error?

Engineering issues with Tracer Gas Test

Cost

Limited Access to Control Room to ensure best possible test results

Poor test accuracy

Dose Analysis Impacts

Operability Impacts

Conclusions:

Action items:

Person responsible:

3:15-3:25 PM

Testing Schedule

Discussion:

Divided potential inleakage portions into three sections.

8/6/01 "A" Train

8/13/01 "B" Train

8/27/01 Tracer Gas Test (Nukon)

12/3/01 CRA Ductwork in Control Room

Conclusions:

Action items:

Person responsible:

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION UNFILTERED INLEAKAGE TESTING PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FIGURE 1 CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION SYSTEM

۰.



CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION UNFILTERED INLEAKAGE TESTING PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FIGURE 2 POTENTIAL NEGATIVE PRESSURE DUCTWORK



CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION UNFILTERED INLEAKAGE TESTING PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FIGURE 3 "A" TRAIN TEST BOUNDARY



CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION UNFILTERED INLEAKAGE TESTING PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FIGURE 4 CRA DUCTWORK TEST BOUNDARY

.

