

January 4, 1990

Docket Nos.: 50-269, 50-270,
and 50-287

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NOS. 181, 181, AND 178 TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSES DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 - OCONEE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 (TACS 73001, 73002, 73003)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 181, 181, and 178 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Station's common Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated February 17, 1989. Amplifying information was provided in your letter dated August 18, 1989.

The amendments revise the TSs to include requirements for a flow path from the low pressure injection pump discharge to the high pressure injection pump suction to assure availability of long-term core cooling following a small break loss of coolant accident.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance of the enclosed amendments will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

/s/
Leonard A. Wiens, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No.181 to DPR-38
2. Amendment No.181 to DPR-47
3. Amendment No.178 to DPR-55
4. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFC	:LA:PDII-3	:PM:PDII-3	:OGC	:D:PDII-3	:	:	:
NAME	:RIngram	:LWiens:cb	(as marked)	:DMatthews	:	:	:
DATE	: 11/30/89	: 11/30/89	: 12/19/89	: 12/22/89	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
Document Name: OCONEE 1,2,3 AMDT. 11/20

QFol 1/11 CP-1



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 4, 1990

Docket Nos.: 50-269, 50-270,
and 50-287

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NOS. 181, 181, AND 178 TO FACILITY
OPERATING LICENSES DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 - OCONEE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 (TACS 73001, 73002, 73003)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 181, 181, and 178 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Station's common Technical Specifications (TSS) in response to your application dated February 17, 1989. Amplifying information was provided in your letter dated August 18, 1989.

The amendments revise the TSS to include requirements for a flow path from the low pressure injection pump discharge to the high pressure injection pump suction to assure availability of long-term core cooling following a small break loss of coolant accident.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance of the enclosed amendments will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "A. Wiens".

Leonard A. Wiens, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No.181 to DPR-38
2. Amendment No.181 to DPR-47
3. Amendment No.178 to DPR-55
4. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

Oconee Nuclear Station
Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3

cc:

Mr. A. V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 33189
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Mr. Paul Guill
Duke Power Company
Post Office Box 33189
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Mr. Alan R. Herdt, Chief
Project Branch #3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Division
Suite 525
1700 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
N. C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Manager, LIS
NUS Corporation
2536 Countryside Boulevard
Clearwater, Florida 34623-1693

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 2, Box 610
Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Office of Intergovernmental Relations
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

County Supervisor of Oconee County
Walhalla, South Carolina 29621

DATED: January 4, 1990

AMENDMENT NO. 181 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-38 - Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1
AMENDMENT NO. 181 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-47 - Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 2
AMENDMENT NO. 178 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-55 - Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PDII-3 R/F

Oconee R/F

S. Varga 14-E-4

G. Lainas 14-H-3

D. Matthews 14-H-25

R. Ingram 14-H-25

L. Wiens 14-H-25

OGC-WF 15-B-18

E. Jordan MNBB-3302

W. Jones P-130A

G. Hill (12) P1-137

ACRS (10) P-135

GPA/PA 17-F-2

ARM/LFMB AR-2015

E. Butcher 11-F-23

D. Hagan MNBB-3302



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-269

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 181
License No. DPR-38

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. DPR-38 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 17, 1989, as supplemented August 18, 1989, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations, and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 3.B. of Facility Operating License No. DPR-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 181, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: January 4, 1990



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-270

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 181
License No. DPR-47

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. DPR-47 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 17, 1989, as supplemented August 18, 1989, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter 1;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations, and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 3.B. of Facility Operating License No. DPR-47 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No.181, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: January 4, 1990



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-287

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 178
License No. DPR-55

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Oconee Nuclear Station, Unit 3 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. DPR-55 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 17, 1989, as supplemented August 18, 1989, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations, and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 3.B. of Facility Operating License No. DPR-55 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 178, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: January 4, 1990

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 181

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-38

DOCKET NO. 50-269

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 181

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-47

DOCKET NO. 50-270

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 178

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-55

DOCKET NO. 50-287

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove Pages

3.3-1
3.3-2
3.3-3
3.3-4
3.3-5
3.3-6
3.3-7
4.5-2

Insert Pages

3.3-1
3.3-2
3.3-3
3.3-4
3.3-5
3.3-6
3.3-7
4.5-2

3.3 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING, REACTOR BUILDING COOLING, REACTOR BUILDING SPRAY, AND LOW PRESSURE SERVICE WATER SYSTEMS

Applicability

Applies to the emergency core cooling, reactor building cooling, reactor building spray, and low pressure service water systems.

Objective

To define the conditions necessary to assure immediate availability of the emergency core cooling, reactor building cooling, reactor building spray and low pressure service water systems.

Specification

3.3.1 High Pressure Injection (HPI) System

- a. When the reactor coolant system (RCS), with fuel in the core, is in a condition with temperature above 350°F and reactor power less than 60% FP:
- (1) Two independent trains, each comprised of an HPI pump and a flow path capable of taking suction from the borated water storage tank and discharging into the reactor coolant system automatically upon Engineered Safeguards Protective System (ESPS) actuation (HPI segment) shall be operable.
 - (2) Test or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of the HPI system provided one train of the HPI system is operable. If the HPI system is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.1.a(1) above within 24 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.1.a(1) are not met within 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS temperature below 350°F within an additional 24 hours.
- b. When the RCS, with fuel in the core is in a condition with temperature above 350°F:
- (1) Two independent flowpaths allowing the HPI system to take suction from the discharge of the LPI system by manual-local operator action shall be operable.
 - (2) Test or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of either LPI-HPI flowpath provided the redundant flowpath is operable. If the LPI-HPI flowpaths are not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.1.b(1) above

within 72 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.1.b.(1) are not met within 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS temperature below 350° F within an additional 24 hours.

c. For all Units, when reactor power is greater than 60% FP:

- (1) In addition to the requirements of Specification 3.3.1.a(1) and 3.3.1.b(1) above, the remaining HPI pump and valves HP-409 and HP-410 shall be operable and valves HP-99 and HP-100 shall be open.
- (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of the HPI system, provided two trains of HPI system are operable. If the inoperable component is not restored to operable status within 72 hours, reactor power shall be reduced below 60% FP within an additional 12 hours.

3.3.2 Low Pressure Injection (LPI) System

a. When the RCS, with fuel in the core, is in a condition with pressure equal to or greater than 350 psig or temperature equal to or greater than 250°F:

- (1) Two independent LPI trains, each comprised of an LPI pump and a flowpath capable of taking suction from the borated water storage tank and discharging into the RCS automatically upon ESPS actuation (LPI segment), together with two LPI coolers and two reactor building emergency sump isolation valves (manual or remote-manual) shall be operable.
- (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of the LPI system provided the redundant train of the LPI system is operable. If the LPI system is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.2.a(1) above within 24 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.2.a(1) are not met within 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250°F within an additional 24 hours.

3.3.3 Core Flood Tank (CFT) System

When the RCS is in a condition with pressure above 800 psig both CFT's shall be operable with the electrically operated discharge valves open and breakers locked open and tagged; a minimum level of $13 \pm .44$ feet (1040 ± 30 ft.³) and one level instrument channel per CFT; a minimum concentration of borated water in each CFT of 1835 ppm boron; and pressure at 600 ± 25 psig with one pressure instrument channel per CFT.

3.3.4 Borated Water Storage Tank (BWST)

When the RCS, with fuel in the core, is in a condition with pressure equal to or greater than 350 psig or temperature equal to or greater than 250°F:

- a. The BWST shall have operable two level instrument channels.
 - (1) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on one channel of BWST level instrumentation provided the other channel is operable.
 - (2) If the BWST level instrumentation is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.4.a above within 24 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.4.a are not met within 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250°F within an additional 24 hours.
- b. The BWST shall contain a minimum level of 46 feet of water having a minimum concentration of 1950 ppm boron at a minimum temperature of 50°F. The manual valve, LP-28, on the discharge line shall be locked open. If these requirements are not met, the BWST shall be considered unavailable and action initiated in accordance with Specification 3.2.

3.3.5 Reactor Building Cooling (RBC) System

- a. When the RCS, with fuel in the core, is in a condition with pressure equal to or greater than 350 psig or temperature equal to or greater than 250°F and subcritical:
 - (1) Two independent RBC trains, each comprised of an RBC fan, associated cooling unit, and associated ESF valves shall be operable.
 - (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of the RBC system provided one train of the RBC and one train of the RBS are operable. If the RBC system is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.5a(1) above within 24 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250°F within an additional 24 hours.

b. When the reactor is critical:

- (1) In addition to the requirements of Specifications 3.3.5.a(1) above, the remaining RBC fan, associated cooling unit, and associated ESF valves shall be operable.
- (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on one RBC train under either of the following conditions:
 - (a) One RBC train may be out of service for 24 hours.
 - (b) One RBC train may be out of service for 7 days provided both RBS trains are operable.
 - (c) If the inoperable RBC train is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.5.b(1) within the time permitted by Specification 3.3.5.b(2) (a) or (b), the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.5.b(1) are not met within an additional 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250°F within an additional 24 hours.

3.3.6 Reactor Building Spray (RBS) System

- a. When the RCS, with fuel in the core, is in a condition with pressure equal to or greater than 350 psig or temperature equal to or greater than 250°F and subcritical:
- (1) One RBS train comprised of an RBS pump and a flowpath capable of taking suction from the LPI system and discharging through the spray nozzle header automatically upon ESPS actuation (RBS segment) shall be operable.
 - (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of the RBS system under the following conditions:
 - (a) One RBS train may be out of service for 24 hours provided two RBC trains are operable.
 - (b) If the inoperable RBS train is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.6.a(1) within 24 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with the RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250°F within an additional 24 hours.

b. When the reactor is critical:

- (1) In addition to the requirements of Specifications 3.3.6.a(1) above, the other RBS train comprised of an RBS pump and a flowpath capable of taking suction of the LPI system and discharging through the spray nozzle header automatically upon ESPS actuation (RBS segment) shall be operable.
- (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on one RBS train under either of the following conditions:
 - (a) One RBS train may be out of service for 24 hours.
 - (b) One RBS train may be out of service for 7 days provided all three RBC trains are operable.
 - (c) If the inoperable RBS train is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.6.b(1) above within the time permitted by Specification 3.3.6.b(2) (a) or (b), the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.6.b(1) are not met within an additional 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in a condition with RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250°F within an additional 24 hours.

3.3.7 Low Pressure Service Water (LPSW)

- a. When the RCS, with fuel in the core, is in a condition with pressure equal to or greater than 350 psig or temperature equal to or greater than 250°F:
- (1) Two LPSW pumps for the shared Unit 1, 2 LPSW system and two LPSW pumps for the Unit 3 LPSW system shall be operable with valves LPSW-108, 2LPSW-108, and 3LPSW-108 locked open.
 - (2) Tests or maintenance shall be allowed on any component of the LPSW system provided the redundant train of the LPSW system is operable. If the LPSW system is not restored to meet the requirements of Specification 3.3.7.a(1) above within 24 hours, the reactor shall be placed in a hot shutdown condition within 12 hours. If the requirements of Specification 3.3.7.a(1) are not met within 24 hours following hot shutdown, the reactor shall be placed in condition with RCS pressure below 350 psig and RCS temperature below 250° within an additional 24 hours.

Bases

Specification 3.3 assures that, for whatever condition the reactor coolant system is in, adequate engineered safety feature equipment is operable.

For operation up to 60% FP, two high pressure injection pumps are specified. Also, two low pressure injection pumps and both core flood tanks are required. In the event that the need for emergency core cooling should occur, functioning of one high pressure injection pump, one low pressure injection pump, and both core flood tanks will protect the core, and in the event of a main coolant loop severance, limit the peak clad temperature to less than 2,200°F and the metal-water reaction to that representing less than 1 percent of the clad. (1) Both core flooding tanks are required as a single core flood tank has insufficient inventory to reflood the core.

The requirement to have three HPI pumps and two HPI flowpaths operable during power operation above 60% FP is based on considerations of potential small breaks at the reactor coolant pump discharge piping for which two HPI trains (two pumps and two flow paths) are required to assure adequate core cooling.(2) The analysis of these breaks indicates that for operation at or below 60% FP only a single train of the HPI system is needed to provide the necessary core cooling.

The requirement for a flowpath from LPI discharge to HPI pump suction is provided to assure availability of long term core cooling following a small break LOCA in which the BWST is depleted and RCS pressure remains above the shutoff head of the LPI pumps.

The borated water storage tanks are used for two purposes:

- (a) As a supply of borated water for accident conditions.
- (b) As a supply of borated water for flooding the fuel transfer canal during refueling operation.(3)

Three-hundred and fifty thousand (350,000) gallons of borated water (a level of 46 feet in the BWST) are required to supply emergency core cooling and reactor building spray in the event of a loss-of-core cooling accident. This amount fulfills requirements for emergency core cooling. The borated water storage tank capacity of 388,000 gallons is based on refueling volume requirements. Heaters maintain the borated water supply at a temperature above 50°F to lessen the potential for thermal shock of the reactor vessel during high pressure injection system operation. The boron concentration is set at the amount of boron required to maintain the core 1 percent subcritical at 70°F without any control rods in the core. The minimum value specified in the tanks is 1950 ppm boron.

It has been shown for the worst design basis loss-of-coolant accident (a 14.1 ft² hot leg break) that the Reactor Building design pressure will not be exceeded with one spray and two coolers operable. (4) Therefore, a maintenance period of seven days is acceptable for one Reactor Building cooling fan and its associated cooling unit provided two Reactor Building spray systems are operable for seven days or one Reactor Building spray system provided all three Reactor Building cooling units are operable.

Three low pressure service water pumps serve Oconee Units 1 and 2 and two low pressure service water pumps serve Oconee Unit 3. There is a manual cross-connection on the supply headers for Unit 1, 2, and 3. One low pressure service water pump per unit is required for normal operation. The normal operating requirements are greater than the emergency requirements following a loss-of-coolant accident.

The operability of redundant equipment(s) is determined based on the results of inservice inspection and testing as required by Technical Specification 4.5 and ASME Section XI.

REFERENCES

- (1) ECCS Analysis of B&W's 177-FA Lowered-Loop NSS, BAW-10103, Babcock & Wilcox, Lynchburg, Virginia, June 1975.
- (2) Duke Power Company to NRC letter, July 14, 1978, "Proposed Modifications of High Pressure Injection System".
- (3) FSAR, Section 9.3.3.2
- (4) FSAR, Section 15.14.5

Reactor Coolant System, verification shall be made that the check and isolation valves in the core flooding tank discharge lines operate properly.

- b. The test will be considered satisfactory if control board indication of core flood tank level verifies that all valves have opened.

4.5.1.2 Component Tests

4.5.1.2.1 Pumps

The high pressure and low pressure injection pumps shall be started and operated to verify proper operation in accordance with the requirements of Specification 4.0.4. Acceptable performance will be indicated if the pump starts, operates for 15 minutes, and the discharge pressure and flow are within ± 10 percent of a point on the pump head curve. (Figures 4.5.1-1 and 4.5.1-2).

4.5.1.2.2 Valves - Power Operated

- a. Valves LP-17, -18, shall only be tested every cold shutdown unless previously tested during the current quarter.
- b. During each refueling outage the following LPI system valves shall be cycled manually to verify the manual operability of these power operated valves:
 - (1) LPI pump discharge (ES) LP-17,-18
 - (2) LPI discharge throttling LP-12,-14
 - (3) LPI discharge header crossover LP-9,-10
 - (4) LPI discharge to HPI/RBS LP-15,-16

4.5.1.2.3 Check Valves

Periodic individual leakage testing (a) of valves CF-12, CF-14, LP-47 and LP-48 shall be accomplished prior to power operation after every time the plant is placed in the cold shutdown condition for refueling, after each time the plant is placed in a cold shutdown condition for 72 hours if testing has not been accomplished in the preceding 9 months, and prior to returning the valve to service after maintenance, repair or replacement work is performed. Whenever integrity of these valves cannot be demonstrated, the integrity of the remaining valve in each high pressure line having a leaking valve shall be determined and recorded daily. In addition, the position of the other closed valve located in the high pressure piping shall be recorded daily. For the allowable leakage rates and limiting conditions for operation, see Technical Specification 3.1.6.10.

Bases

The Emergency Core Cooling Systems are the principle reactor safety features in the event of loss of coolant accident. The removal of heat from the core provided by these systems is designed to limit core damage.

(a)

To satisfy ALARA requirements, leakage may be measured indirectly (as from the performance of pressure indicators) if accomplished in accordance with approved procedures and supported by computations showing that the method is capable of demonstrating valve compliance with the leakage criteria.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 181 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-38
AMENDMENT NO. 181 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-47
AMENDMENT NO. 178 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-55

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3

DOCKET NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated February 17, 1989, Duke Power Company (the licensee) requested changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3. These TS changes clarify the operability and surveillance requirements for the high pressure injection (HPI) and low pressure injection (LPI) systems. Oconee TS 3.3 and TS 4.5 have been clarified to include the requirements for a flow path from LPI discharge to HPI pump suction to assure availability of long term core cooling following a very small break loss of coolant accident. In this case, if the borated water storage tank (BWST) becomes depleted, the LPI system would use the emergency sump as its suction water supply. If the reactor coolant system (RCS) pressure remains above the shutoff head of the LPI pumps, the HPI would deliver water to the RCS using the LPI discharge piping as its suction source. This operational mode is referred to as the HPI piggyback mode of operation. Duke Power Company performed a technical review of all nuclear safety-related mechanical calculations based on the observations of the 1986 NRC Safety System Functional Inspection (SSFI), including the adequacy of HPI pump net positive suction head (NPSH) while in the piggyback mode. The proposed TS changes are a result of deficiencies identified in this technical review.

2.0 EVALUATION

Duke Power Company performed detailed calculations of the safety systems and identified the deficiencies in the current system design and operating procedures for the HPI piggyback mode of operation. The licensee proposed appropriate TS changes, revised the operating procedures and provided adequate guidance to operators to achieve long-term core cooling to meet the 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, requirements for the emergency core cooling system (ECCS).

The HPI piggyback mode of operation had the following deficiencies:

- (1) Lack of procedural guidance for ensuring adequate NPSH to the HPI pumps.
- (2) A failure to recognize the requirement that manual-local operability of valves LP-15 and LP-16 must be verified.

- (3) A misunderstanding regarding the need to utilize the HPI piggyback mode to mitigate certain small break LOCA scenarios.

The licensee made the following changes to upgrade the plant operations in the HPI piggyback mode of operation:

- (1) A new TS 3.3.1(c) has been proposed which requires operability of two independent flow paths to take suction from the discharge of the LPI system.
- (2) TS 4.5.1.2.2(b) has been proposed to verify manual-local operability of LPI system valves during each refueling outage.
- (3) Normally, valves LP 9/10 and LP 15/16 would be operated from the control room. However, in the case of loss of offsite power, these valves can be operated manual-local by an operator. An operator would have adequate time to open these valves manually.
- (4) BWST switchover level was raised from 6 feet to 10 feet which will provide additional time to the operator for manual-local valve operation.
- (5) Operating procedures were revised to maintain adequate NPSH to the HPI pumps for operation in the piggyback mode.

The proposed TS changes provide enhancements to plant operations during HPI piggyback mode of operation. These changes will assure availability of the HPI piggyback flow paths for small break LOCA mitigation and do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of previously evaluated accidents. The NRC staff finds that the licensee's operating procedural guidance and TS changes are adequate to improve plant operations and safety.

Based on a review of the licensee's submittal, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed TS changes are acceptable and will enhance plant operations and safety by ensuring an adequate HPI piggyback mode of operation in the case of a small break LOCA. These TS changes also satisfy the 10 CFR 50, Appendix K, requirements for ECCS and thus do not pose an undue risk to the public health and safety.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve a change to a requirement with respect to the installation or use of facility components located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and a change in surveillance requirements. We have determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (54 FR 37045) on September 6, 1989, and consulted with the State of South Carolina. No public comments were received, and the State of South Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: K. Desai, SRXB

Dated: January 4, 1990