December 17, 1986

DIROLL

Dockets Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287 Distribution
Docket File
NRC & LPDRs
CMcCracken
PBD-6
FMiraglia
OGC-MNBB 9604
OPA

LHarmon

ACRS-10

GEdison

WJones FOB, PWR-B LFMB RIngram HPastis Grav File+4

Mr. Hal B. Tucker Vice President - Nuclear Production Duke Power Company P. O. Box 33189 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242 a Gray File+4
9604 EJordan
TBarnhart-12
JPartlow
BGrimes
SWest

Dear Mr. Tucker:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendments Nos. 152, 152, and 149 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These amendments consist of changes to the Station's common Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your request dated August 27, as supplemented on September 29, 1986.

These amendments revise the TSs to add operability requirements of monitors and surveillance items required by the addition of the radwaste facility at Oconee Nuclear Station. The amendments also delete certain outdated footnotes with the gaseous process and effluent monitoring instrumentation.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance of the enclosed amendments will be included in the Commission's biweekly $\underline{\sf Federal}$ Register notice.

Sincerely,

/S/

Helen N. Pastis, Project Manager PWR Project Directorate #6 Division of PWR Licensing-B

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 152 to DPR-38
- 2. Amendment No. 152 to DPR-47
- 3. Amendment No. 149 to DPR-55
- 4. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

PBD-6 パ RIngram リンザ/86 PBD-641 HPastis: SWest 1/24/86 PBD-6 PBD#6 PBD-6 CMcCracken GEdison JStolz // 125/86 11/124/86

Chgs. man ri Chgs. 12/11/86 ri 2/86

8612290116 861217 PDR ADDCK 05000269 P PDR Mr. H. B. Tucker Duke Power Company Oconee Nuclear Station Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3

cc: Mr. William L. Porter Duke Power Company P. O. Box 33189 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Mr. Paul F. Guill
Duke Power Company
Post Office Box 33189
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell & Reynolds 1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Robert B. Borsum
Babcock & Wilcox
Nuclear Power Generation Division
Suite 220, 7910 Woodmont Avenue
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Manager, LIS NUS Corporation 2536 Countryside Boulevard Clearwater, Florida 33515

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 2, Box 610 Seneca, South Carolina 29678

Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief Bureau of Radiological Health South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Office of Intergovernmental Relations 116 West Jones Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Honorable James M. Phinney County Supervisor of Oconee County Walhalla, South Carolina 29621



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-269

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 152 License No. DPR-38

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated August 27, 1986, as supplemented on September 29, 1986, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 152, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director PWR Project Directorate #6 Division of PWR Licensing-B

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: December 17, 1986



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-270

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 152 License No. DPR-47

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated August 27, 1986, as supplemented on September 29, 1986, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations:
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-47 is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 152, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director PWR Project Directorate #6 Division of PWR Licensing-B

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: December 17, 1986



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON. D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-287

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 149 License No. DPR-55

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated August 27, 1986, as supplemented on September 29, 1986, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-55 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 149, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director
PWR Project Directorate #6
Division of PWR Licensing-B

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: December 17, 1986

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENTS

AMENDMENT NO. 152 TO DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 152 TO DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 149 TO DPR-55

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment numbers and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove Pages	<u>Insert Pages</u>				
3.5-41	3.5-41				
3.5-42	3.5-42				
4.1-11	4.1-11				
	4.1-12a				
4.1-17	4.1-17				

Table 3.5.5-2 GASEOUS PROCESS AND EFFLUENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION OPERATING CONDITIONS

	INSTRUMENT	A MINIMUM OPERABLE CHANNELS (PER RELEASE PATH)	APPLICABILITY	B OPERATOR ACTION IF MINIMUM NUMBER OF OPERABLE CHANNELS IS NOT MET
1.	Waste Gas Holdup Tanks			
	 a. Noble Gas Activity Monitor - Providing Alarm and Automatic Termination Of Release (RIA-37, - 38) b. Effluent Flow Rate Monitor (Waste Gas Discharge Flow) 	1	**	(a) (b)
2.	Unit Vent Monitoring System			
	a. Noble Gas Activity Monitor Providing Alarm and Automatic Termination of Con- tainment Purge Re-			
	lease (RIA - 45)	1	*	(a)
	b. Iodine Sampler	1	*	(d)
	c. Particulate Sampler	1	*	(d)
	d. Effluent Flow Rate Monitor (Unit Vent Flow)	1	*	(b)
	e. Sampler Flow Rate		*	(e)
	Monitor f. Effluent Flow Rate Monitor (Containment	1		
	Purge)	1	**	(b)
3.	Interim Radwaste Building Ventilation Monitoring System			
	a. Noble Gas ActivityMonitor (RIA - 53)	1	*	(c)
	b. Iodine Sampler	1	* *	(d) (d)
	c. Particulate Sampler	1	⊼	(4)

Table 3.5.5-2 (Cont'd) GASEOUS PROCESS AND EFFLUENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION OPERATING CONDITIONS

	INSTRUMENT	A MINIMUM OPERABLE CHANNELS (PER RELEASE PATH)	APPLICABILITY	B OPERATOR ACTION IF MINIMUM NUMBER OF OPERABLE CHANNELS IS NOT MET
	d. Effluent Flow Rate Monitor (Interim Radwaste Exhaust)	1	*	(b)
	e. Sampler Flow Rate Monitor	1	*	(e)
4.	Hot Machine Shop Ventilation Monitoring System			•
	a. Iodine Sampler	1	*	(d)
	b. Particulate Sampler	1	*	(d)
	c. Effluent Flow Rate Monitor (Hot Machine Shop Exhaust)	1	*	(b)
	d. Sampler Flow Rate Monitor	1	*	(e)
5.	Radwaste Facility Ventilation Monitoring System			
	a. Noble Gas Activity	_	*	(c)
	Monitor (4 RIA-45)#	1 1	*	· (d)
	<pre>b. Iodine Sampler# c. Particulate Sampler#</pre>	1	*	(d)
	d. Effluent Flow Rate Monitor (Radwaste Facility Exhaust)#	1	*	(b)
	e. Sampler Flow Rate Monitor#	1	*	(e)

During waste gas holdup tank releases and/or containment purge operation. **

Effective upon initial employment of Radwaste Facility for radwaste # processing.

Item

Condensate Test Tank, Condensate Monitoring

Monitor Tanks

Ejectors)

Tank, Laundry-Hot Shower Tank, Waste and Recycle

Unit Vent Sampling
(Includes Waste Gas Decay
Tanks, Reactor Building
Purges, Auxiliary Building
Ventilation, Spent Fuel
Pool Ventilation, Air

TABLE 4.1-3 Continued

Minimum	Sampling	Frequency	And	Analysis	Program

	Check		Frequency		of Lab Analysis for Waste
а.	Principal Gamma Emitters (6) including Dissolved Noble Gases	а.	Composite Grab Sample prior to release of each batch (11)	а.	Ce-144 and Mo-99 <5x10 ⁻⁶ µCi/ml Other Gamma Nuclides <5x10 ⁻⁷ µCi/ml Dissolved Gases <10 ⁻⁵ µCi/ml 1-131 <10 ⁻⁶ µCi/ml
b.	Radiochemical Analysis Sr 89, 90, Fe-55	ь.	Quarterly from all (9) composited batches	ь.	<5x10 ⁻⁸ µCi/ml for Sr's <10 ⁻⁶ µCi/ml for Fe-55
c.	Tritium	с.	Monthly Composite	c.	<10 ⁻⁵ µCi/ml
d.	Gross Alpha Activity	d.	Monthly Composite	d.	<10 ⁻⁷ µCi/ml
а.	Iodine Spectrum ⁽⁴⁾	а.	Continuous monitor, weekly sample (8)	a.	<10 ⁻¹⁰ μCi/cc (1-133) <10 ⁻¹² μCi/cc (1-131)
ъ.	Particulates (4)	ъ.		b.	
	(1) Ce-144 and Mo-99		(1) Weekly Composite ⁽⁸⁾		(1) <5x10 ⁻⁹ μCi/cc
	(2) Other Principal Gamma Emitters (7)		(2) Weekly Composite (8)		(2) <10 ⁻¹⁰ μCi/cc
	(3) Gross Alpha Activity		(3) Monthly, using composite samples of one week		(3) <10 ⁻¹¹ μCi/cc
	(4) Radiochemical Analysis Sr 89, 90		(4) Quarterly Composite		(4) <10 ⁻¹¹ μCi/cc
c.	Cases by Principal Gamma ⁽ 7) Emitters	с.	Weekly Grab Sample	c.	<10 ⁻⁴ μCi/cc
ď.	Tritium	d.	Weekly Grab Sample	d.	<10 ⁻⁶ µCi/cc

Item

TABLE 4.1-3 Continued

Minimum Sampling Frequency And Analysis Program

	Minimum Sampling Freque	ncy An	d Analysis Program Frequency		Lower Limit of Detection (sof Lab Analysis for Waste
a. 1	Check (odine Spectrum (4)	а.	Continuous monitor, weekly sample (8)	a. b.	<10 ⁻⁹ µCi/cc (1-133) <10 ⁻¹¹ µCi/cc (1-131)
ь. 1	Particulates (4)	ъ.	(g)	٠.	(1) <5x10 ⁻⁹ μCi/cc
1	(1) Ce-144 and Mo-99		(1) Weekly Composite (8)		(2) <10 ⁻¹⁰ µCi/cc
	(2) Other Principal Gamma Emitters (7)		(2) Weekly Composite ⁽⁸⁾		
	(3) Gross Alpha Activity		(3) Monthly, using composite samples of one week		(3) <10 ⁻¹¹ μCi/cc
	(4) Radiochemical Analysis Sr 89, 90		(4) Quarterly Composite		(4) <10 ⁻¹¹ μCi/cc
с.	Cases by Principal Gamma (7)	c.	Weekly Grab Sample	c.	<10 ⁻⁴ μCi/cc
d.	Emitters Tritium	d.	Weekly Grab Sample	d.	<10 ⁻⁶ µCi/cc

TABLE 4.1-4 (Continued)

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

INSTRUMENT		CHANNEL RESPONSE CHECK (4)	SOURCE CHECK	CHANNEL CALIBRATION	CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST
b. Iodine Sa	onitoring Activity Monitor (RIA-53) mpler te Sampler Flow Rate Monitor (Interim Exhaust)	DA DA DA DA	MO NA NA NA	AN(3) NA NA AN AN	QU(2) NA NA NA
c. Effluent Shop Exh	mpler ite Sampler Flow Rate Monitor (Hot Machine	DA DA DA DA	NA NA NA	na na ÅN ÁN	NA NA NA NA
b. Iodine S c. Particul d. Effluent Facility	Monitoring s Activity Monitor (4RIA-45)#	DA DA DA DA	MO NA NA NA	AN(3) NA NA AN AN	QU(2) NA NA NA

*During each release via this pathway.
#Effective upon initial employment of Radwaste Facility for radwaste processing.

Frequency Notation

MO - Monthly DA - Daily QU - Quarterly AN - Annually PR - Completed prior to each release NA - Not Applicable



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 152 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-38

AMENDMENT NO. 152 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-47

AMENDMENT NO. 149 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-55

DUKE POWER COMPANY

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS NOS. 1, 2 AND 3

DOCKETS NOS. 50-269, 50-270 AND 50-287

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 27, 1986, as supplemented on September 29, 1986, Duke Power Company (the licensee) proposed changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47 and DPR-55 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units Nos. 1, 2 and 3. These amendments would consist of changes to the Station's common TSs and would add operability requirements of monitors and surveillance items required by the addition of the radwaste facility at the Oconee Nuclear Station. The amendments would also delete certain outdated footnotes with the gaseous process and effluent monitoring instrumentation.

2.0 BACKGROUND

In a letter dated June 10, 1985, the licensee requested approval, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 20, Sections 20.302 and 20.305, to operate a low-level radioactive waste incinerator at the Oconee Nuclear Station. The incinerator is one component in the radwaste facility. In this letter, the licensee stated that the safety evaluation of the modifications of the design, construction and operation of other plant systems and components related to the use of this facility were handled by the licensee under the auspices of 10 CFR 50.59.

The NRC staff reviewed this information and concluded that the operation of the incinerator will not present an undue hazard to either the safe operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station or the public health and safety. However, the NRC staff requested TS changes incorporating limiting conditions for operation and surveillance requirements for the radiation monitors covering the releases of radioactive materials in airborne effluents from the incinerator to ensure adequate control of releases from the system before the system may be actually operated. The staff published in the FEDERAL REGISTER its "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for the low-level radioactive waste incinerator (51 FR 39719). In a letter dated October 30, 1986, the staff sent a letter and its Safety Evaluation to the licensee approving the design of the incinerator.

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE MONITORS

The exhaust gas radiation monitor (4 RIA-45) for the radwaste facility measures effluent exhaust from all potentially contaminated areas of the facility, i.e., from the radwaste facility ventilation system, tank vents and the volume reduction subsystem off-gas exhaust (incinerator).

Air is drawn from the exhaust duct near the release point by the isokinetic flow sampling device into the sample inlet line of the monitor. Air enters first a particulate/iodine filter. Particulates are retained on filter media and iodine molecules are collected in the charcoal cartridge. After passing through the particulate and iodine collector, the air flow goes to the noble gas monitor. The activity of the gases are monitored by a beta scintillation detector and a GM detector. The air then passes through the flow control device, the pump, and exits the sample line via the sample outlet into the exhaust duct.

4.0 EVALUATION

The proposed amendments to the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications limiting conditions for operation and surveillances add requirements for gaseous effluent monitoring instrumentation for the radwaste facility ventilation monitoring system and a minimum sampling frequency and analysis program for effluents from this pathway. These added requirements for this new effluent release pathway are similar to existing requirements for the other effluent release pathways at the Oconee Nuclear Station. All of the effluent monitoring instrumentation and sampling and analysis requirements conform to the provisions of NUREG-0472, "Standard Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications for Pressurized Water Reactors". As stated in NUREG-0472 and in the Bases for the Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specifications for Radioactive Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation, the operability and use of this instrumentation satisfy the requirements of General Design Criteria (GDC) 60, "Control of Releases of Radioactive Materials to the Environment", GDC 63, "Monitoring Fuel and Waste Storage", and GDC 64, "Monitoring Radioactivity Releases", of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50.

Additional changes were also proposed to Tables 3.5.5-2, 4.1-3, and 4.1-4 for clarification and minor administrative purposes. These are not technical in nature and are, therefore, acceptable.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and in surveillance requirements. We have determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that these amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such

finding. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

6.0 CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that:
(1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: December 17, 1986

Principal Contributor: C. Nichols