

66 FR 34293

4/27/01

4

**From:** Ernest Goitein <fego@pacbell.net>  
**To:** <nrcprep@nrc.gov>, <hnb@nrc.gov>, <ajm@nrc.gov>, <mld1@nrc.gov>, <awm@nrc.gov>, <scd@nrc.gov>, <jas5@nrc.gov>, <tcj@nrc.gov>, <pgb@nrc.gov>, <jrg1@nrc.gov>, <npk@nrc.gov>, <rsw1@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Jul 9, 2001 3:17 PM  
**Subject:** Preliminary Impact Assessments

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by Ernest Goitein (fego@pacbell.net) on Monday, July 9, 2001 at 15:15:13

RECEIVED  
 2001 JUL 16 PM 2:01  
 Rules and Directives Branch USNRC

StreetNumber: 167

StreetName: Almendral Avenue

City: Atherton

State: CA

ZIP: 94027-4003

Country: USA

Affiliation: BWC

Comments: 1) Concern over the economic and political clout vis a vis the political and regulatory agencies that oversee the nuclear power plants and their safety procedures.

2) The older plants that have paid off their huge initial investment are ripe for take over. In the meantime the embrittlement of the reactor vessel (no redundancy) due to neutron flux, as recently shown in Japanese studies, raises concerns.

3) Foreign ownership of US plants is troubling. The amount of control by NRC over these corporate entities may not be as robust as is necessary. In addition the track record and economic viability of some companies (BNFL for example) leaves a great deal to be desired.

4) The large economic concentration in the hands of only a few corporations is not a healthy situation in a democratic society. The pressure on NSSS suppliers, other vendors, public agencies will result in less than optimal safety requirements.

5) Please explain how the ratepayer contributions that have accumulated for the decommissioning costs will be protected.

6) Please explain how liability will be assessed in the case of an accident at one plant. Can the subsidiary, which controls that plant, shield the parent company? Will the parent company remain liable. How can this be enforced with foreign ownership?

7) I am interested in attending and participating in hearings on this issue. I hope you can schedule meetings in the SF Bay area.

Cordially,

Ernest Goitein

Submit2: Submit comments

*Template = ADM-013*

*E-RIDS = ADM-03  
 Add = H. Berkow (HNB)*

66 FR 34293  
6/27/01 (5)

**From:** Tom Gurdziel <tgurdzie@dreamscape.com>  
**To:** <nrcprep@nrc.gov>, <hnb@nrc.gov>, <ajm@nrc.gov>, <mld1@nrc.gov>, <awm@nrc.gov>, <scd@nrc.gov>, <jas5@nrc.gov>, <tcj@nrc.gov>, <pgb@nrc.gov>, <jrg1@nrc.gov>, <npk@nrc.gov>, <rsw1@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Wed, Jul 11, 2001 9:40 PM  
**Subject:** Preliminary Impact Assessments

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by Tom Gurdziel (tgurdzie@dreamscape.com) on Wednesday, July 11, 2001 at 21:39:28

RECEIVED  
2001 JUL 16 PM 2:02  
Rules and Directives  
Branch  
USNRC

StreetNumber: 9

StreetName: Twin Orchard Drive

City: Oswego

State: NY

ZIP: 13126

Country: USA

Affiliation: none

Comments: I recently read an article on the Power Online website which reported that the price of generated electricity reached \$2000 per megawatt-hour in California. On the recent July 4th holiday, generated electricity at a local nuclear plant was priced below \$30 per megawatt-hour on the Day Ahead Market Generator LBMP (posted on the NYISO website.)

Fining a U.S. commercial nuclear plant operator a set amount of money can be seen to vary greatly in effect. For the future, wouldn't it be fairer to penalize with a power reduction for a specified period of time? For example, from Aug 1 to Aug 15, Unit "X" of "X" nuclear plant will not be run at higher than 90% of maximum licensed power.

Of course, if the infraction was at a higher level, the penalty would be applied to all units on the site, or controlled by that area office. I believe this would give a measured response.

Thank you,  
Tom Gurdziel

Submit2: Submit comments

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03  
Add = H. Berkow  
(HNB)