

From: Wayne Lanning
To: James Wiggins
Date: Thu, Jun 1, 2000 12:30 PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: IP2 SG Inspection

Thanks...I can always depend on you for good comments.

>>> James Wiggins 06/01 11:11 AM >>>
Some quick comments:

The plan can be read to mean that the EPRI Guidelines are NRC requirements - they're not. Rather, we should say that we want to assure that the licensee met both TS requirements and its commitments that relate to SG inspection. The EPRI Guidelines would be a reference for the inspection, along with things like the RG on SG inspection, any docketed submittal describing the inspection program, etc.

I'd not use the word "prudent" in any NRC inspection plan. Doing so extends an open invitation to the State PSC to subpoena us to testify at a "prudency" hearing.

Are we inviting the State to accompany us thru our existing MOU???

>>> Wayne Lanning 06/01 7:48 AM >>>
FYI
Any comments appreciated.

CC: David Lew

Also