

From: Pete Eselgroth
To: David Lew, Leanne Harrison, Scott Barber, Wayne Schmidt
Date: Mon, Jun 26, 2000 2:52 PM
Subject: Fwd: IP2 SGs

Note item 2 in the attached email. It was during a 5/17 conf call that NRR agreed to provide an input to the SG insp team on the '97 performance issue.

Peter

A/262

②

From: Pete Eselgroth
To: A. Randolph Blough, Scott Barber
Date: Wed, May 17, 2000 11:36 AM
Subject: IP2 SGS

This morning there was a conf call involving Strosnider, Bateman, Sullivan, Murphy, Dode, Gamberoni, Harold, Lanning, Holian, Lew and me. Two areas were discussed:

1) NRR is not satisfied with Con Ed's analysis of eddy current data in the sludge pile area and a call (to be setup by Harold) will be made to Baumstark today to communicate this to Con Ed sen mgmt, although NRR has previously told J. McCann., Licensing mgr. Also, I believe Strosnider may be calling Groth later today.

this is based on one analyst finding eight indications and the other analyst finding only five of them, plus one of the tubes with an indication found only by one analyst failed insitu testing. NRR is looking for retraining of analysts and relooking at a lot of data - # weeks ?

NRR's bottom line is that they need something like a 95% confidence level that the worst tube will be identified and what they have to date doesn't do it

2) NRR and RI will be working very closely to coordinate on the timing of the SE and Region I's inspection of SG issues and what each activity will encompass. Gamberoni will formulate an outline of what the SE will cover and Lew will do the same for the SG inspection and then NRR and RI will review and jointly agree on these outlines. Although this activity is a work-in-progress, it looks like the NRR position on a performance issue for the '97 inspection will not be captured in the SE, but will be an input to the RI SG inspection and will determine whether there is a basis for entry into the SDP. There is an important timing aspect relative to public meeting(s) for the results of the SE (although not issued) and the results of the RI SG inspection. Once the framework of the SE and the RI SG insp plan are roughed out, I believe Marsha Gamberoni may be taking a shot at incorporating the key elements of these parallel/series activities into a Gantt chart similiar to what she did earlier for the overall NRC activities related to the Feb event aftermath.

Peter

CC: Hubert J. Miller, James Linville