

From: David Lew
To: Diane Screnci, Gregory Cranston, Michael Modes, Wayne Schmidt
Date: Mon, Jul 24, 2000 1:51 PM
Subject: Q&A's for Special Inspection

Greg, Please put together a one paragraph summary of the SG special inspection. Provide to Mike Modes to incorporate as a lead in to the Q&A's

Mike, Attached are some Q's for the Q&A. Take the lead on developing and work with Wayne, Greg and Diane Screnci. We should have this ready to go mid afternoon tomorrow.

Diane, Please forward me the communications plan for the RAI letter to Con Ed. That model seemed like the lastet and greatest.

All, Provide additional Q's as appropriate.

Thanks.

CC: Brian Holian

A/215

(2)

Questions and Answers - IP2 Special Inspection

1. Conduct of the Inspection

Why was a public exit not held for this inspection?

Why can you issue these as final findings now?

I understand that Con Edison has made several arguments against these findings. Why are these not available to the public? Are there secret negotiations going on?

What does hour-glassing mean?

2. Indian Point 2 Actions

How can you consider allow Indian Point 2 to startup given the significant management and program problems?

Aren't you going to keep Indian Point 2 shut down until the issues identified in your inspection are fixed?

Why haven't you issued a violation, civil penalty or shut down order?

Why does Con Edison say the exact opposite that "they met all requirements?" Are you going to pursue the "wrongdoing" implications because they are misleading the NRC and the Public?

3. NRC Performance

Why didn't the NRC identify these problems in 1997?

Why should we have confidence in the NRC's ability to regulate these plants?

Who in the NRC is responsible and being held accountable?

What have you done to correct problems with NRC effectiveness?

4. Generic Implications

What other plants have similar problems with detection of tube flaws?

What are you going to do about the steam generator issue?

How can you ensure that other plants are safe?

What confidence do we have of steam generator tube inspections in general when there is so much uncertainty, as evident in today's discussion of the CMOA?