

From: Wayne Schmidt
To: Pete Eselgroth
Date: Wed, Aug 30, 2000 2:48 PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Current Version of OIG Response

Looks resonable - however - the SG report will state that Con Edison didnt identify four indciations (defects). We make a satement that with further (more than the production analysis) detailed review of data - that we belived was warrented based on the one flaw that was found, the denting of the upper TSP and the noise, the defects were detectable.

I did not see the point made that NRC does not review all eddy current data. It is osrt of stated but not clear. We may only dod asampling of thsi at best, but like the report states there are few NRC people that can interperite the data.

The respose says 22 months of operations I counted 19 and 19 is in the IR.: starred up in July 97 shutdown in October 97 - 3 months started up in August 98 and tripped in August 99 - 12-months, restarted in October 99 and SD in Feb 00 - 4-months. Maybe we can get this resolved before the IR is issued, so at least we are consistent. Some otehr report (can't remember which) stated 23 months.

①

A1185