

**From:** Thomas Shedlosky  
**To:** Pete Eselgroth, Wayne Schmidt, William Raymond  
**Date:** Tue, Jul 18, 2000 2:52 PM  
**Subject:** Re: ConEd Feedback

**Doug Gaynor has provided a draft of the ConEd risk analysis to me.**

>>> William Raymond 07/18 1:39 PM >>>

Pete - FYI

At the Resident weekly debrief with ConEd staff on July 18, McCann provided the following feedback on topics of recent interest:

- 1) There continues to be a "divergence of views" with NRC findings (Wayne's SG inspection) regarding the 1997 SG inspections. ConEd intends to provide more data in support of their position at the exit meeting on Thursday.
  - 2) ConEd disagrees with a potential "RED" finding WRT to the February 15 event, and specifically with the NRC assumptions on the size of the leak used in the estimates for the large early release fraction (LERF). ConEd intends to submit data to show the total RCS leakage available for release was less than assumed by the NRC.
  - 3) ConEd raised a question whether it was getting "due process" under the new ROP to address findings that were not green - particularly with respect to the findings related to the February 15 tube rupture. We discussed the opportunity that exist to provide feedback now via direct communications between the NRC SRAs and the ConEd's Doug Gaynor, and formally after the findings are issued in an inspection report. ConEd intends to pursue this further.
- Bill

**CC:** Brian Holian, David Lew, James Trapp, Peter Habighorst, Wayne Lanning

173 186 A/1118