

From: Brian Holian
To: Bill Bateman, Edmund Sullivan, Emmett Murphy, Jack Strosnider, Marsha Gamberoni, Patrick Milano, Richard Wessman, Stephanie Coffin(...)
Date: Fri, Aug 18, 2000 9:43 AM
Subject: Re: Discussion with B. Holian regarding latest guidance on SE and impact on IR

The region supports a "neutral" technical report with respect to the CMOA.

The current inspection report (covering the 97 SG inspection), at NRR for concurrence now, is not affected by the decision on how to package the CMOA response. We look for concurrence on that insp. report, and the draft NOV, prior to Wed pre-brief...so the package is ready to go following the TA brief.

There is the question of "How we document that their SG eddy current program" is acceptable. This was to be covered in the SE...to be able to answer the question of - "the NRC found performance issues in the 97 inspection, why was the 2000 inspection OK, and eddy current program, for startup?"

Part of this question is moot...since the SGs are now replaced. The part that is still valid - is a confidence question on why their program is OK ...and has this been documented , prior to the next eddy current inspection. The region proposes to use a supplemental inspection (that is planned now for the "draft Red" finding) - to document this. We have pencilled this in for post start-up...maybe the March 2001 timeframe. We ask DE to keep and/or forward to us information that you have on your assessment of 2000 inspection "program"...and better yet, to join us for that supplemental inspection.

Brian

>>> Marsha Gamberoni 08/17 4:33 PM >>>

I spoke to Brian Holian. (He is up at IP2.) I informed him of the latest guidance wrt documenting the technical issues from the IP2 review. I explained that the current direction is for a RIS to be issued with a "neutral" technical report attached with no conclusion. We talked about the fact that corrective actions and root cause would not be covered with this approach. He needed to think about that but thought one potential would be that a yellow or red finding would lead to follow-up inspections. He has on his time line supplemental inspections. I told him to give some thought to the impact of no Safety Evaluation and the RIS approach on the current Inspection Report and to let us know tomorrow what his thoughts are.

Marsha

CC: DCL, WLS

A1141