

**From:** David Lew  
**To:** A. Randolph Blough, Brian Holian, Pete Eselgroth, Scott Barber, Wayne Lanning, Wayne Schmidt, William Raymond  
**Date:** Fri, May 19, 2000 7:43 AM  
**Subject:** IP2 Call on 5/18

A conference call was conducted between IP2 and NRR yesterday at 2:00 p.m. Con Ed provided information in response to two of the 17 questions verbally on primary secondary leak rate monitoring and primary water chemistry. Con Ed will still provide the responses in writing. NRR believed that they were in the ball park with their answer.

The issue of analyst calls was discussed. Con Ed indicated that 12 calls (vice 13) of the 16 opportunities were made during the production inspection. Con Ed's indicated that during the expanded sample, five additional, much smaller indications were identified. In that sample, 2 of the 10 opportunities were missed. (McCaan indicated that they may have been seven indications in the expanded sample.) In the production inspection, where 4 of the 16 opportunities were missed, two were traced back to on analysts. Con Ed's approach was to perform statistical analysis, which would include looking at false calls and possible reviewing work of analysts who missed calls. NRR was skeptical of this approach.

ITEM #

139

A196