

From: Scott Barber
To: William Raymond
Date: Tue, May 9, 2000 3:43 PM
Subject: Re: 2 Tubes that Should Have Been Plugged

Thanks for the info. I find it interesting that ConEd has no in-house talent capable of performing a second, third, or fourth verification? What are the implications of this lack of talent?

>>> William Raymond 05/08 12:19 PM >>>
Pete,

Jack Parry reported that two tubes (R11C62, R12C64) in the #23 SG should have been plugged, but were not. The discovery was made by Westinghouse while verifying the plugging list. ConEd is still reviewing the cause, but the preliminary conclusion is that the tubes were inappropriately coded as having defects that were corrected by re-rolls in the F * region. In fact, the tubes were not re-rolled due to additional defects higher in the tubes, and should have been on the plugging list.

ConEd plans to remove the manway on the #23 SG to manually plug the two tubes. The plugging list is being triple verified by three organizations (Westinghouse Site, Westinghouse Pittsburg, and ConEd's independent contractor - Andy Neff). Westinghouse QA will perform a root cause analysis to determine how the process allowed this deficiency to occur.

More to come from ConEd Tuesday morning - complete the plug list verifications and additional details on how these tubes were incorrectly coded.
Bill

CC: David Lew, Emmett Murphy, Leanne Harrison, Pete Eselgroth, Peter Habighorst

Al 9/1

ITEM # 128