

#6

Pete Habighost NRC Resident  
Personal WP File.

NRC REVISED OVERSIGHT PUBLIC MEETING FOR INDIAN POINT UNIT 2 AND 3

DATE: OCTOBER 11, 2000

TIME: 7:00 P.M.

LOCATION: CORTLAND TOWN HALL

APPROXIMATELY 35 PEOPLE WERE IN ATTENDANCE AT THE MEETING. A QUICK COUNT INDICATED APPROXIMATELY 13 INDIVIDUALS WERE EITHER CON EDISON OR NYPA EMPLOYEES. TWO LOCAL OFFICIALS WERE IN ATTENDANCE: ASSEMBLYWOMAN SANDRA GALEF AND CORTLAND TOWN SUPERVISOR LINDA PUGLSI

PUBLIC QUESTIONS FOR WHICH NRC STATED WE WOULD GET BACK TO THE INDIVIDUAL (NOTE: DIANE SCRENCI SHOULD HAVE THE NAME OF THE INDIVIDUALS)

1. ARE THEIR MORE OR FEWER MEETINGS AND HEARINGS IN THE REVISED OVERSIGHT PROCESS IN COMPARISON TO THE OLD INSPECTION PROGRAM? THE INDIVIDUAL HAD REQUESTED AN HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF BACK TO APPROXIMATELY FIVE YEARS SPECIFIC TO INDIAN POINT UNIT 2

2. Individuals around IP2 send a letter to NRC on 9/26 to request that the 9/11/00 meeting in and or around IP instead of King of Prussia. They have not been responded to as of yet

3. ANY HEARING CONCERNING THE SALE OF INDIAN POINT UNIT 3?  
*Our initial response was that we did not think so*

not relevant (NR)

PUBLIC QUESTIONS FOR WHICH NRC STAFF PROVIDED ANSWERS

1. Why did the inspection program not identify or predict the failure of the 24 steam generator tube at Indian Point Unit 2?

*We provided insight into the inspections involving in-service inspection. We also provided that this issue was the topic of the Inspector General's report for which the staff is to provide recommendations in early November. Our answer also mentioned the NRC staff's Lessons learned efforts.*

2. A followup to the first question was a statement, "HOW BAD DO THINGS NEED TO BE"

3. What is the motivation in the revised oversight program to reduce fines?

*We provided insight that our new process is to focus on risk significant issues, that it would be more financial burdens for units to have a forced shutdown, and that we still issue fines were appropriate. Further, the action matrix provides enforcement tools such as ORDERS, and CALS*

4. How does the revised oversight program deal with industry competition? The basis of the question was a UCS report that was critical of NRC's use of PRA (i.e., incomplete, inaccurate) in the inspection process and the GAO survey of NRC employees that had concerns on the implementation of the revised oversight process

5. How would the contamination events during the Indian Point Unit 3 refueling outage be assessed in the revised oversight process? Why was it that the NRC report only documented 13 contaminations when in reality their were approximately 188 personal contaminations?

not relevant

ITEM # 56

A/26

(3)

*Our response was that it would be reviewed in a similar manner and probably not be risk significant*

NR

6. How does the revised oversight process ensure that utility workers are free to raise safety concerns?

*We responded that our new process has elements to review the safety conscious work environment.*

7. During the 9/11/00 meeting there were more "red" issues discussed other than the "red" SGTL inspection finding?

*We responded that this was Con Edison's presentation of performance indicators for a corrective action program.*

8. Why in the NRC slides under the IP 95003 that radiation safety was N/A?

*We responded that our baseline inspections thus far have not found a degraded cornerstone in radiation safety*

LINDA PEGLUSI COMMENTS: She reiterated that she was not notified of the 2/15 SGTL, that the questioning involving assessment of FINES is appropriate, and does the NRC have a final say on startup of Indian Point Unit 2. She also recommended evacuation drills in emergency preparedness. She reiterated that consumers are paying for the Indian Point Unit 2 shutdown due to a recent decision.

SANDRA GALEF's COMMENTS: She stated that the NRC's decision on either RED OR YELLOW inspection finding of SG's has a very big impact on NY PSC's decision

9. Galef asked if we the NRC had seen a higher than normal level of employee complaints prior to 8/31/99 and 2/15/00 events?

*We responded no, trends of number of allegations are on Web Site*

10. Galef asked if the sale of Indian Point 2 or in general, were we aware of the potential for attrition and impact on resources?

*We responded that we would potentially see those type of issues in the course of inspection and causes for various events*

11. Is the SJAE pressure regulator work around fixed? Why were we not aware of this operator work around?

*We responded that they have modified the control system, and performed some testing, however more testing is planned when plant conditions allow for it*

12. How in the revised oversight program would operator work around be reviewed?

*We stated that this is in our baseline program*

13. STATEMENT: We should reconsider the terminology of BASELINE and OVERSIGHT.

14. Who is in charge of consideration of lower radiation standards?

*We responded that people in our headquarter office are in charge and that this issue has been actively and publicly reviewed concerning decommissioning issues with the EPA*

15. What will it take for the NRC to prevent a steam generator tube rupture?

16. What is unnecessary regulatory burden, and how can I get data about measures that indicate a reduction in unnecessary regulatory burden?

*We responded on meeting schedules that were unnecessary, inspection priorities*

17. Why was the color changed in the Fitzpatrick HPCI issue?

*We are aware of this issue.*

18. Was the time and effort spent on revision to the revised oversight program beneficial or worthwhile, given that 95% of the energy research budget goes to nuclear?

*Yes*

19. When plant shutdown occurs, the costs are passed onto the consumer, so how does a SD penalize a utility?

20. What will NRC do about future steam generators given the inspection results at Con Edison in 1997?

21. Who monitors the NRC?

*GAO, IG, congressional oversight*

22. PRA unfounded assumptions: no reactor vessel failure, equipment failure rates

23. How does the revised oversight program evaluate systematic mismanagement?/