

NOTE TO:

SUBJECT:

FROM:

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 28, 1999

TECHNICAL STUDY OF SPENT FUEL POOL ACCIDENTS FOR

Ashok C. Thadani M. V Z Duber &

DECOMMISSIONING PLANTS

Samuel J. Collins

	During the last year, your staff has requested our assistance in performing a generic study of spent fuel pool accidents, undertaken to develop generic, risk-informed regulatory requirements for plants that are being decommissioned. In response to their requests, we have performed inhouse accident analysis in the areas of thermal hydraulics and offsite radiological consequences. We also have assisted in the areas of human error probabilities and spent fuel pool structural integrity. Finally, our senior level PRA scientist has performed an independent review of the risk assessment.			
	We performed thermal hydraulic analysis using computational fluid dynamics to provide insight into the ongoing one-dimensional COBRA calculations being performed by PNNL for your staff. Our preliminary thermal hydraulic results recently were provided to your staff. A November 12, 1999, memorandum forwarded the results of our analysis of offsite radiological consequences. The results of our effort on spent fuel pool structural integrity were provided by memorandum on November 19, 1999, and the results of our independent review of the PRA were provided by memorandum on November 23, 1999. Finally, based on our experience in accident analysis, including severe accident progression, we recently provided your staff with a short paper identifying further opportunity to reduce uncertainty and potentially unnecessary conservatism in the analysis of spent fuel pool accidents.			
It is our understanding that your staff will not seek RES concurrence on the final report <i>Te Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accidents for Decommissioning Plants</i> that will be released for p comment in early January 2000. In case that understanding is correct, I believe RES review concurrence on the report should be sought to (1) ensure proper use and characterization RES input used in the report and (2) provide an opportunity for an independent review and feedback on the report which is likely to receive close technical scrutiny by some stakehold.			randing is correct, I believe RES review and issure proper use and characterization of any ortunity for an independent review and	
	cc: W.Travers F. Miraglia C. Paperiello		Scotch: 7664 "Post-it" Routing Request Pad	
		ROUTING - REQUEST		
Please				
		READ	To	
		HANDLE	Buy Burney J	
		APPROVE	According to the second	
		and	The 100 From the NOTE	
		FORWARD		