

66 FR 34293
6/27/01
①

From: Tom Gurdziel <tgurdzie@dreamscape.com>
To: <nrcprep@nrc.gov>, <hnb@nrc.gov>, <ajm@nrc.gov>, <mld1@nrc.gov>, <awm@nrc.gov>, <scd@nrc.gov>, <jas5@nrc.gov>, <tcj@nrc.gov>, <pgb@nrc.gov>, <jrg1@nrc.gov>, <nprk@nrc.gov>, <rsu1@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, Jun 27, 2001 11:32 PM
Subject: Preliminary Impact Assessments

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
Tom Gurdziel (tgurdzie@dreamscape.com) on Wednesday, June 27, 2001 at 23:32:17

StreetNumber: 9

StreetName: Twin Orchard Drive

City: Oswego

State: NY

ZIP: 13126

Country: USA

RECEIVED
2001 JUL -9 PM 2:38
Rules and Directives
Branch
USNRC

Comments: On Item 7e, Bankruptcy, I feel that all inactive sites owned by a bankrupt-filing operator should be IMMEDIATELY fully decommissioned. A specific example is Humboldt Bay. Why wait years until they have even less money? It is my opinion that such action should be initiated by the NRC if not initiated by someone else first.

On Category 1 I have two comments today.

If double (or more) valve lineups are still being done, the NRC needs, in my opinion, to determine how much risk is really reduced compared to just the first valve line up. Also, determine if accountability is diluted. My feeling is that the industry can't afford to do business this way today.

I believe that it is time to take a close look at upper level management changes. After they occur, the NRC, in my opinion, should look at them with skepticism, not relief, for two years unless the replacement has been on the site for 2 years AND holds a current SRO license or current certification on ALL units being operated.

Thank you,
Tom Gurdziel

Submit2: Submit comments

Template = ADM-013

FRIDS = ADM-03
Add = H. Berkow (HNB)