

From: William Huffman *NRE*
To: George Hubbard, Timothy Collins *NRE*
Date: Thu, Aug 17, 2000 4:21 PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Draft AGENDA for meeting to evaluate bases and uses of seismic risk

OK, I will call Lynnette and relay your concerns. However, Goutam sent you and I an e-mail earlier today which appears to be one of the critical areas that appears to have merit for discussion during the meeting:

"However, the seismic risk may not be small enough to influence EP and indemnity concerns. In order for them to achieve anything meaningful, they ought to have an item like "Now that we understand the implication of risk informed seismic assessment of SFPs, how does it affect EP and indemnity issues?"

----- In other words, how low would the seismic risk have to be before we can exclude consideration of a zirc fire event???? If we can't agree on a number (similar to below regulatory concern) than what good is it going to do to argue for a lower seismic risk value in the report if there is no regulatory impact????

>>> George Hubbard 08/17 3:12 PM >>>

Tim and I discussed the agenda and we don't believe the proposed agenda really is an agenda. It appears to be more questions they would like to have answered at the meeting. If all they want is answers to the questions we can call them up and give them the answers.

I believe a more meaningful agenda would be for them to do as they discussed with Tim and me on the phone the other day. That is for them to go through the history of the issues as they see it and present any additional information which would convince us that the seismic risk should be much lower.

I would see the agenda along the following lines.

Introduction

Objective of the Meeting

(NEI could discuss their objective of the meeting since they are the one who asked for the meeting)
 (If we were to address the objective it would only be to allow NEI with an opportunity to provide any additional data they might have or clarify data provided in the past)

Presentation of Seismic Information and Its Application to Decommissioning Plants - NEI

Discussion of Information - NEI and NRC

Summary of Meeting

(NEI could provide the key points of their presentation)
 (We could summarize our understanding of the information provided)

As of today we have not decided how much we will say relative to the work we have done since issuance of the report and the ACRS meeting. We will be meeting Monday afternoon to discuss this area. Depending on the results of this meeting there might be an additional discussion item addressing what we have done and where we are today on seismic.

George Hubbard
 2870

>>> William Huffman 08/16 4:30 PM >>>

9/25/2

Attached is an e-mail from NEI with their proposed agenda for next week's meeting on seismic issues associated with the decommissioning spent fuel pool risk study.

Please let me know any additions or changes you wish to make to this agenda.....Bill