From: Glenn Kelly NRR
To: George Hubbard, Mark Rubin, Tanya Eaton NRR
Date: Thu, Jun 29, 2000 11:19 AM
Subject: Appendix 5 to the SFP risk assessment

I believe that Appendix 5 needs to be rewritten. We do not need all the documentation of what went on with NEI regarding early versions of the checklist. All we need is the final checklist. Responses to public comments should be removed from the Appendix and added to the appropriate appendix that will address public comments.

There is wording on page A5g-4 in the February 2000 version that disturbs me. It states that the " 'acceptable' risk level [for seismic events] has not been precisely quantified but is believed to be in the range of 1.0E-06." This is not correct. The pool performance criteria is 1.0E-5 per year at this time.

In addition, on the same page it states that "we believe that use of the checklist and determination tha the spent fuel pool HCLPF is sufficiently high will assure tha the frequency of fuel uncovery from seismic events is less than or equipl to 1x10-6 per year." I do not know where that came from, but I do not know of any calculations that back up this fugure.

This Appendix needs to be rewritten by Goutam and checked for accuracy versus other sections of the report.

Q1192