#### Exploring Risk-Informed Rulemaking for Decommissioned Plants



Stuart Richards, PD IV / Decommissioning Project Director, NRR/DLPM

Vonna Ordaz, Reactor Systems Engineer, NRR/DSSA/SPLB

Diane Jackson, Reactor Systems Engineer, NRR/DSSA/SPLB

April 13, 1999

4/13/99

## Exploring Risk-Informed Rulemaking for Decommissioned Plants

- 10 CFR 50 regulations emphasize operating reactor risk, which generally bounds spent fuel risk
- Permanently shutdown reactors have a reduced risk to the public
- Need appropriate level of regulation that is commensurate with the risk

# Exploring Risk-Informed Rulemaking for Decommissioned Plants

- Two efforts are underway to explore risk-informed rulemaking for decommissioned reactors:
  - Technical Staff is evaluating risk and technical information pertaining to spent fuel pool (SFP) issues that supports predictable methods of granting relief to decommissioned plants.
  - Decommissioning Projects is reviewing individual rulemakings in progress to assess whether they appropriately consider risk.

## **Exemptions from Regulations**

- Decommissioned plants are requesting exemptions to regulations such as offsite emergency preparedness, safeguards, insurance indemnification, and others
- To date, the staff has reviewed the licensee's requests on a case-by-case basis
- Predictable, risk-informed review criteria is needed to address SFP accidents at decommissioned plants
- The staff considers such criteria essential to maintain safety and reduce unnecessary regulatory burden
- The staff is sensitive to the need to improve efficiency and effectiveness and increase public confidence

- Staff has recently assembled a Working Group of technical experts in the areas of SFP systems, thermal hydraulics, probability, criticality, dose assessment, fire protection, structures, maintenance rule and QA
- Technical Working Group is currently reviewing and evaluating available information and methods pertaining to SFP accidents to formulate a risk-informed, technical basis for reviewing exemption requests and follow up actions to applicable rulemaking
- Technical Working Group will assess the potential scenarios, probabilities, and consequences of SFP accidents during decommissioning based on available information

Technical Working Group Outputs:

- To establish a risk-informed, technical basis for SFP accidents that supports predictable methods for reviewing exemption requests and follow up actions to rulemaking related to EP, safeguards, and other areas based on available information
- To identify the need for follow up research or other technical activities to address any large uncertainties in the available information

#### Long Term Outcome

- The long term outcome is to achieve realistic, risk-informed criteria to address SFP accidents at decommissioned plants in a predictable manner while ...
  - maintaining safety,
  - reducing unnecessary regulatory burden,
  - increasing public confidence, and
  - improving effectiveness and efficiency

#### **Industry and Public Stakeholder Interest**

- Consider comments, questions, and technical information from the industry and public stakeholders
- Contact: Mr. Richard Dudley US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-11D19 Washington DC 20555-0001

Phone: (301) 415-1116 E-mail: RFD@nrc.gov

## **Background Information on Zircaloy Fire**

- Decommissioned plants requested offsite emergency preparedness exemptions since operating reactor events were no longer a concern
- Staff identified a spectrum of accidents, including beyond design basis accidents, that could cause offsite consequences
- The loss of water from the SFP and a subsequent self-sustaining zircaloy oxidation (Zircaloy "fire") was a concern due to the potential for significant offsite consequences

# **Available Information on Zircaloy Fire**

In support of Generic Safety Issue (GSI) 82, Sandia, Brookhaven, and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories (NLs) studied the probability, phenomena, and consequences of self-sustained zircaloy oxidation (zircaloy fire) in air for operating reactors

- If the decay heat in spent fuel was only air cooled, the onset of clad blistering could occur at 565°C and selfsustaining oxidation could occur at approximately 850-900°C
- A zircaloy fire could involve more fuel than the last core
- The conditions which could lead to oxidation of the clad are extremely dependent on storage configuration and decay power

# **Available Information on Initiating Events**

National Laboratories investigated loss of water accidents

- **Structural failure due to Seismic Event:** 
  - Mean: 1E-6 per reactor-year (ry)
  - Range: E-5 to E-11/ry
- Structural failure due to Cask Drop:
  - Without NUREG-0612 recommendations: 3.1E-5/ry
  - With all NUREG-0612 recommendations: 3.1E-8/ry
- Structural Failure due to Aircraft crash: <1E-10/ry</p>
- Other Loss of Coolant Accidents
- Human Error

# **Zircaloy Fire Consequences**

- After a certain time period post-shutdown, air cooling is sufficient to remove decay heat and zircaloy oxidation can not occur
- National Laboratory studies identified that the dose consequences was significantly different if the accident resulted in fire or gap release
- Within the time between final shut down and when a zircaloy fire can not occur, safety margin increases due to
  - Decrease in decay heat
  - Decay of short-lived radionuclides
  - Increase in the time available for mitigating actions or recovery

# **Solicitation for Additional Information**

- Identification of initiating events and accident sequences
- Probability of initiating events and accident sequences
- Methods or criteria to assess scenarios and consequences
- Mitigative actions or features
- Characteristics of zircaloy fire
- Dose from fire after 30 days post-shutdown and beyond