July 6, 2001

Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, President Exelon Nuclear Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-E Kennett Square, PA 19348

SUBJECT: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT 3 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE CONTAINMENT INTEGRATED LEAK RATE TEST

Dear Mr. Kingsley:

By letter dated May 30, 2001, Exelon Generation Company, LLC, submitted a request

for a license amendment to allow a one-time extension of the interval for the containment

integrated leak rate test. In order to continue our review of your request, additional information,

as delineated in the enclosure, is required. The request for additional information was

discussed with your staff on July 2, 2001, and a response date of August 3, 2001, was mutually agreeable.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

John P. Boska, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-278

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

CC:

Mr. Edward Cullen Vice President & General Counsel Exelon Generation Company, LLC 300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Mr. J. Doering Site Vice President Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station 1848 Lay Road Delta, PA 17314

Mr. G. Johnston Plant Manager Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station 1848 Lay Road Delta, PA 17314

Mr. A. Winter Regulatory Assurance Manager Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station 1848 Lay Road Delta, PA 17314

Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station P.O. Box 399 Delta, PA 17314

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. Roland Fletcher Department of Environment Radiological Health Program 2400 Broening Highway Baltimore, MD 21224

Correspondence Control Desk Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 1-N-1 Kennett Square, PA 19348 A. F. Kirby, III External Operations - Nuclear Delmarva Power & Light Company P.O. Box 231 Wilmington, DE 19899

Chief-Division of Nuclear Safety PA Dept. of Environmental Protection P.O. Box 8469 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Board of Supervisors Peach Bottom Township R. D. #1 Delta, PA 17314

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division Chief Engineer 6 St. Paul Center Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Mr. Richard McLean Power Plant and Environmental Review Division Department of Natural Resources B-3, Tawes State Office Building Annapolis, MD 21401

Dr. Judith Johnsrud National Energy Committee Sierra Club 433 Orlando Avenue State College, PA 16803

Manager-Financial Control & Co-Owner Affairs Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038-0236 Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3

CC:

Mr. Jeffrey A. Benjamin Vice President-Licensing Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1400 Opus Place, Suite 900 Downers Grove, IL 60515

Mr. James A. Hutton Director - Licensing Mid-Atlantic Regional Operating Group Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-E Kennett Square, PA 19348

Mr. Joseph Hagan Senior Vice President Mid-Atlantic Regional Operating Group Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-N Kennett Square, PA 19348

Mr. John Skolds Chief Operating Officer Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1400 Opus Place, Suite 900 Downers Grove, IL 60515

Mr. William Bohlke Senior Vice President, Nuclear Services Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1400 Opus Place, Suite 900 Downers Grove, IL 60515

Mr. John Cotton Senior Vice President, Operations Support Exelon Generation Company, LLC 1400 Opus Place, Suite 900 Downers Grove, IL 60515 Mr. Oliver D. Kingsley, President Exelon Nuclear Exelon Generation Company, LLC 200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-E Kennett Square, PA 19348

SUBJECT: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, UNIT 3 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE CONTAINMENT INTEGRATED LEAK RATE TEST

Dear Mr. Kingsley:

By letter dated May 30, 2001, Exelon Generation Company, LLC, submitted a request for a license amendment to allow a one-time extension of the interval for the containment integrated leak rate test. In order to continue our review of your request, additional information, as delineated in the enclosure, is required. The request for additional information was discussed with your staff on July 2, 2001, and a response date of August 3, 2001, was mutually agreeable.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

John P. Boska, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate I Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-278

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

MO'Brien	PUBLIC	HAshar	JBoska
ACRS	PDI-2 R/F	OGC	BPlatchek, RGI
SBlack	EAdensam	JClifford	LBerry
JPulsipher	RGiardina		

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: ML011860043

OFFICE	PM/PD1-2	LA/PD1-2	SC/PD1-2	
NAME	JBoska	DJohnson for	VNerses for	
		MO'Brien	JClifford	
DATE	7/5/01	7/5/01	7/5/01	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION (PBAPS), UNIT 3 ONE TIME DEFERRAL OF INTEGRATED CONTAINMENT LEAK RATE TESTING

Reference: Letter from Exelon Generation Company, LLC to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), "Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit 3, License Amendment Request 01-00430, May 30, 2001.

As the inservice inspection requirements mandated by 10 CFR 50.55a and the leak rate testing requirements of Option B of Appendix J complement each other in ensuring the leak-tight and structural integrity of the containment, the NRC staff needs the following information to complete its review of the license amendment request.

- 1. On page 5 of the "Supporting Information," attached to the letter, you state, "Primary Containment Pressure is continuously indicated and periodically monitored from the Main Control Room." In the discussion during the meeting of June 21, 2001, with the staff, you indicated that this pressure is maintained at a slightly higher pressure than the pressure in the surrounding Secondary Containment. Please provide information related to the maintenance of this positive pressure, such as, the average positive pressure maintained, how often it is monitored, and corrective actions you plan to take if the positive pressure is not maintained due to a small, continuous leakage from the primary containment.
- 2. Based on the description of the containment inspection on page 8 of the "Supporting Information," the staff understands that you are using the 1992 Edition and the 1992 Addenda of Subsection IWE of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI (Code). IWE-1240 requires you to identify the surface areas requiring augmented examinations. Please provide the locations of the drywell and the torus of the PBAPS, Unit 3, which you have identified as requiring augmented examinations of the examinations performed.
- 3. For the examination of seals and gaskets, and examination and testing of bolts associated with the primary containment pressure boundary (Examination Categories E-D and E-G), you had requested and have been authorized to use an alternative to these Code requirements. As an alternative, you plan to examine these components during the leak rate testing of the primary containment. With the flexibility provided in Option B of Appendix J for Type B and Type C testing (as per Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) report NEI 94-01 and Regulatory Guide 1.163, "Performance-Based Containment Leak-Test Program", September 1995), and the extension requested in this amendment for Type A testing, please provide the schedule for the examination and testing of seals, gaskets, and bolts that provides assurance of the integrity of the containment pressure boundary.
- 4. The stainless steel bellows have been found to be susceptible to trans-granular stress corrosion cracking, and the leakages through them are not readily detectable by Type B testing (see NRC Information Notice 92-20, "Inadequate Local Leak rate Testing"). In general, boiling water reactor Mark I primary containments have bellows on the vent lines between the drywell and the torus, as well as on several process piping penetrations in the drywell. If degraded, the bellows could allow the drywell steam and air to bypass the suppression pool during loss-of-coolant accidents and core damage

accidents. Please provide information regarding inspection and testing of the bellows at PBAPS, Unit 3, and how such behavior has been factored into the risk assessment.

5. Inspections of some of the Mark I containments have indicated degradation from the uninspectable side of the drywell steel shell and steel liner of the primary containments. These degradations cannot be detected unless they are through the thickness of the shell or liner, or the uninspectable side of the surfaces are periodically examined by ultrasonic testing. Please provide information as to how the potential leakages under high pressures during the core damage accidents are factored into the risk assessment related to the extension of the integrated leak rate test.