

February 21, 1991

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum, President  
and Chief Executive Officer  
New Hampshire Yankee Division  
Public Service Company of New Hampshire  
Post Office Box 300  
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Dear Mr. Feigenbaum:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE - SEABROOK STATION (TAC NO. 79076)

Enclosed for your information and use is a copy of a Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing for Transfer of Ownership Interest and Opportunity for Public Comment on Antitrust Issues relating to your request for a license amendment in accordance with your requests of November 13, 1990 and January 14, 1991.

The original of this notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

*15/*

Gordon E. Edison, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:  
As stated

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

LA:PDI-3  
MRushbrook:dr  
*1/31/91*

PM:PDI-3  
GEdison  
*1/31/91*

PTS:B:NR  
DNash  
*1/31/91*

OGC  
*2/1/91*

PD:PDI-3  
RWessman  
*2/20/91*

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY:  
[NOC SEABROOK SHOLLY]

Distribution:  
Docket 50-443  
PDR/LPDRS  
PD I-3 Reading  
S. Varga  
E. Greenman  
S. Shankman  
G. Edison

M. Rushbrook  
OGC  
D. Hagan  
ACRS (10)  
GPA/PA  
J. Johnson, Region I

*JFol*  
*1/1*  
*CP-1*

250003

9102260253 910221  
PDR ADOCK 05000443  
P PDR

the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555, and at the Local Public Document Room, located at Exeter Public Library, 47 Front Street, Exeter, New Hampshire 03833.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of February 1991  
FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

15/

Gordon Edison, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

LA: PDI-3  
MRushbrook: dr  
1/31/91

PM: PDI-3  
GEdison  
1/31/91

RSB: NRR  
DNash  
1/31/91

OGC  
1/19/91

PD: PDI-3  
RWessman  
2/20/91



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 21, 1991

Docket No. 50-443

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
New Hampshire Yankee Division  
Public Service Company of New Hampshire  
Post Office Box 300  
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Dear Mr. Feigenbaum:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE - SEABROOK STATION (TAC NO. 79076)

Enclosed for your information and use is a copy of a Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing for Transfer of Ownership Interest and Opportunity for Public Comment on Antitrust Issues relating to your request for a license amendment in accordance with your requests of November 13, 1990 and January 14, 1991.

The original of this notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Gordon E. Edison".

Gordon E. Edison, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc w/enclosures:  
See next page

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum

Seabrook

cc:

Thomas Dignan, Esq.  
John A. Ritscher, Esq.  
Ropes and Gray  
One International Place  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2624

Dr. Mauray Tye, President  
Sun Valley Association  
209 Summer Street  
Haverhill, Massachusetts

Barbara J. Saint Andres, Esquire  
Kopelman & Paige, P.C.  
Counsel for Amesbury, Newburyport &  
Salisbury  
77 Franklin Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Robert Backus, Esq.  
Backus, Meyer and Solomon  
116 Lowell Street  
Manchester, New Hampshire 03106

Diane Curran, Esq.  
Harmon and Weiss  
2001 S Street, N.W.  
Suite 430  
Washington, DC 20009

Mr. Peter Brann  
Assistant Attorney General  
State House, Station #6  
Augusta, Maine 04333

Seacoast Anti-Pollution League  
5 Market Street  
Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Seabrook Nuclear Power Station  
Post Office Box 1149  
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Mr. T. L. Harpster  
Public Service Company of New Hampshire  
Post Office Box 300  
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Ashod N. Amirian, Esq.  
145 Main Street,  
P.O. Box 38  
Bradford, Massachusetts 03801

Paul McEachern, Esquire  
25 Maplewood Avenue,  
P.O. Box 60  
Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Mr. Alfred V. Sargent  
Chairman  
Board of Selectmen  
Salisbury, Massachusetts 01960

Office of the Attorney General  
One Ashburton Place  
20th Floor  
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Durham Board of Selectmen  
Town of Durham  
Durham, New Hampshire 03824

Board of Selectmen  
Town of Hampton Falls  
Drinkwater Road  
Hampton Falls, New Hampshire 03844

Chairman, Board of Selectmen  
RFD 2  
South Hampton, New Hampshire 01950

R. Scott Hill-Whilton, Esquire  
Lagoulis, Clark, Hill-Whilton  
& Rotondi  
79 State Street  
Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950

Board of Selectmen  
Town of Amesbury  
Town Hall  
Amesbury, Massachusetts

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum

Seabrook

cc:

Town of Exeter  
10 Front Street  
Exeter, New Hampshire 03823

Gerald Garfield, Esq.  
Day, Berry and Howard  
City Place  
Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

Mr. J. F. Opeka  
Northeast Utilities  
P.O. Box 270  
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Mr. R. M. Kacich  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
P. O. Box 270  
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Jane Spector  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
825 North Capital Street, N.E.  
Room 8105  
Washington, D.C. 20426

Mr. Rob Sweeney  
Three Metro Center  
Suite 610  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. George L. Iverson, Director  
New Hampshire Office Of Emergency  
Management  
State Office Park South  
107 Pleasant Street  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Adjudicatory File (2)  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel Docket  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Congressman Nicholas Mavroules  
U.S. House of Representatives  
70 Washington Street  
Salem, Massachusetts 01970

Mr. Leon Maglathlin  
Public Service Company of New Hampshire  
100 Elm Street  
Manchester, New Hampshire 03105

Mr. Jack Dolan  
Federal Emergency Management Agency  
Region I  
J.W. McCormack Post Office &  
Courthouse Building, Room 442  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Mr. J. M. Peschel  
Public Service Company of New Hampshire  
P.O. Box 300  
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

G. Paul Bollwerk, III  
Atomic Safety & Licensing  
Appeal Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Howard A. Wilber  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal  
Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman  
Atomic Safety & Licensing  
Appeal Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. John L. Lovering, Acting Director  
Massachusetts Civil Defense Agency  
400 Worcester Road  
P.O. Box 1496  
Framingham, Massachusetts 02108  
ATTN: James Muckerheide

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum

Seabrook

cc:

Ivan W. Smith, Chairman (2)  
Administrative Judge  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Richard F. Cole  
Administrative Judge  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Kenneth A. McCollom  
Administrative Judge  
1107 West Knapp Street  
Stillwater, Oklahoma 74075

Alan S. Rosenthal  
Atomic Safety & Licensing  
Appeal Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

John P. Arnold, Attorney General  
G. Dana Bisbee, Associate Attorney General  
Attorney General's Office  
25 Capitol Street  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONPUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIREDOCKET NO. 50-443CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING  
LICENSE AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION  
AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING FOR TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP INTEREST AND  
OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANTITRUST ISSUES

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-86, issued to the Public Service Company of New Hampshire (the licensee), for operation of the Seabrook Station located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire.

The licensee submitted a request for an amendment by letter, dated November 13, 1990 as supplemented on January 14, 1991.

The proposed amendment would authorize a newly created entity, North Atlantic Energy Corporation (NAEC), to be included as a licensee and to acquire and possess Public Service Company of New Hampshire's (PSNH) ownership interest in Seabrook Station, Unit 1 (Seabrook).

As described in the application, the transfer from PSNH to NAEC is a part of the reorganization plan ordered by the Bankruptcy Court as a resolution to the pending PSNH bankruptcy proceedings. The reorganization plan involves the acquisition of PSNH by Northeast Utilities (NU) and, through a merger action, the formation of NAEC and Reorganized PSNH as two wholly owned subsidiaries of NU. NAEC will acquire PSNH's 35.56942% ownership share of Seabrook, and Reorganized PSNH will acquire the other assets of PSNH. After the merger,

NAEC will enter into a life-of-the-unit power contract for the sale of all of its share of the capacity and energy from Seabrook to Reorganized PSNH.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the request for amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The licensee addressed the above three standards in the amendment application and determined that the proposed changes do not involve significant hazards considerations. In regard to the three standards, the licensee provided the following analysis.

- (1) Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

As a result of the proposed license amendment, there will be no physical change to the Seabrook facility, and all Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications will remain unchanged. Also, the Seabrook Quality Assurance Program, and the Seabrook Emergency Plan, Security Plan, and Operator Training and Requalification Program will be unaffected.

- (2) The proposed changes would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment will have no effect on the physical configuration of Seabrook or the manner in which it will operate. The Seabrook plant design and design basis will remain the same. The current plant safety analyses will therefore remain complete and accurate in addressing the design basis events and in analyzing plant response and consequences.

The Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications for Seabrook are not affected by the proposed license amendment. As such, the plant conditions for which the design basis accident analyses have been performed will remain valid. Therefore, the proposed license amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

- (3) Use of the modified specification would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Plant safety margins are established through Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications. Since there will be no change to the physical design or operation of the plant, there will be no change to any of these margins. Thus, the proposed license amendment will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The staff has reviewed the licensee's no significant hazards consideration determination analysis. Based upon its review, the staff agrees with the licensee's analysis.

Therefore, based on the above considerations, the Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulatory Publications Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room P-223, Phillips Building, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 1, 1991, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the Local Public Document Room located at the Exeter Public Library, 47 Front Street, Exeter, New Hampshire, 03833. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is

aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the request for amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If a final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example,

in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20555, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 325-6000 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Richard Wessman: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to John A. Ritscher, Esq., Ropes and Gray, One International Place, Boston, MA 02110, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent

a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

Antitrust Issues: Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.101 and 50.80 of the Commission's Regulations, the staff is publishing receipt of PSNH's request to transfer the stated ownership interest in Seabrook from PSNH to NAEC.

Any person who wishes to express views relating to any antitrust issues believed to be raised by this transfer request should submit said views within 30 days of the initial publication of this Notice in the Federal Register to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Chief, Policy Development and Technical Support Branch, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation will issue a finding whether significant changes in the licensees' activities or proposed activities have occurred since the completion of the previous antitrust review.

Although the staff is providing the opportunity for comments pursuant to the competitive aspects of the proposed transfer, the staff would like to note that it is aware of and is closely following the proceeding at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) that, among other concerns, is addressing competitive aspects of the proposed acquisition of PSNH by NU. The NRC will consider the FERC proceeding to the maximum extent possible in resolving issues brought before the NRC.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated November 13, 1990 as supplemented on January 14, 1991, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room,

the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555, and at the Local Public Document Room, located at Exeter Public Library, 47 Front Street, Exeter, New Hampshire 03833.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of February, 1991

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*A E Edison*

Gordon Edison, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate I-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation