

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum
President and Chief Executive Officer
New Hampshire Yankee Division
Public Service Company of New Hampshire
Post Office Box 300
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Dear Mr. Feigenbaum:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 11 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO.
NPF-86 - SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. M79076)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 11 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 for the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1. This amendment is in response to your application dated November 13, 1990, as supplemented by letters dated January 14, 1991, August 28, 1992, December 13, 1991, January 30, 1992, and February 14, 1992. In addition, two letters dated May 13, 1992 were received from Northeast Utilities providing information requested by NRC.

This amendment revises the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 operating license to reflect the transfer of a 35.6% ownership interest in the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 from the Public Service Company of New Hampshire to North Atlantic Energy Company (NAEC), a wholly owned subsidiary of Northeast Utilities (NU).

The transfer of any right under the operating license is subject to NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80(a). Such approval is given in the enclosed Order Approving Transfer of License, which is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Gordon Edison, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 11 to License No. NPF-86
2. Safety Evaluation
3. Order

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

cc w/enclosures: See next page

*See previous concurrence *HEE*

OFFICE	LA:PDI-3	PM:PDI-3	BC:PTSB*	OGC	(A)D:PDI-3
NAME	MRus... <i>MRus... Brook</i>	GEdison:sk	AGody, Sr.*	EReis	VNurses
DATE	<i>5/28/92</i>	<i>5/28/92</i>	5/26/92	/ /92	/ /92

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY / DOCUMENT NAME: SEM70976.AMD

9206050230 920529
PDR ADDCK 05000443
P PDR

DFol
111

AMENDMENT NO. 11 TO NPF-86 SEABROOK STATION DATED May 29, 1992

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File 50-443
NRC PDR Local PDR
PDI-3 Reading
S. Varga
J. Calvo
M. Rushbrook
V. Nerses
G. Edison
OGC - 15 B18
Dennis Hagan - MNBB 3206
G. Hill (4) P1-22
Wanda Jones - 7103 MNBB
C. Grimes - 11F23
ACRS (10) P-315
PA - 2G5
OC/LFMB - MNBB
W. Hehl, Region I
J. Linville, Region I
R. Lobel - 17G21
D. Nash
I. Dinitz

May 29, 1992

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum
President and Chief Executive Officer
New Hampshire Yankee Division
Public Service Company of New Hampshire
Post Office Box 300
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Dear Mr. Feigenbaum:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 11 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO.
NPF-86 - SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1 (TAC NO. M79076)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 11 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 for the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1. This amendment is in response to your application dated November 13, 1990, as supplemented by letters dated January 14, 1991, August 28, 1992, December 13, 1991, January 30, 1992, and February 14, 1992. In addition, two letters dated May 13, 1992 were received from Northeast Utilities providing information requested by NRC.

This amendment revises the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 operating license to reflect the transfer of a 35.6% ownership interest in the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 from the Public Service Company of New Hampshire to North Atlantic Energy Company (NAEC), a wholly owned subsidiary of Northeast Utilities (NU).

The transfer of any right under the operating license is subject to NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80(a). Such approval is given in the enclosed Order Approving Transfer of License, which is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,
Original signed by Gordon Edison
Gordon Edison, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 11 to License No. NPF-86
2. Safety Evaluation
3. Order

cc w/enclosures: See next page

*See previous concurrence

OFFICE	LA:PDI-3	PM:PDI-3	BC:PTSB*	OGC	(A)D:PDI-3
NAME	MRushbrook	GEdison:sk	AGody, Sr.*	EReis	VNerses
DATE	5/29/92	5/29/92	5/26/92	5/27/92	5/29/92

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum

Seabrook

cc:

Thomas Dignan, Esq.
John A. Ritsher, Esq.
Ropes and Gray
One International Place
Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2624

Barbara J. Saint Andres, Esquire
Kopelman & Paige, P.C.
Counsel for Amesbury, Newburyport &
Salisbury
101 Arch Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Robert Backus, Esq.
Backus, Meyer and Solomon
116 Lowell Street
Manchester, New Hampshire 03106

Diane Curran, Esq.
Harmon, Curran, Gallagher &
Spielberg
2001 S Street, N.W.
Suite 430
Washington, DC 20009

Mr. Peter Brann
Assistant Attorney General
State House, Station #6
Augusta, Maine 04333

Seacoast Anti-Pollution League
5 Market Street
Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Seabrook Nuclear Power Station
Post Office Box 1149
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

North Atlantic Energy Corporation
1000 Elm Street
Manchester, New Hampshire 03105

North Atlantic Energy Service
Corporation
Seabrook Station
Route 1, Lafayette Road
P.O. Box 300
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874
ATTN: Ted C. Feigenbaum

Mr. T. L. Harpster
Public Service Company of New
Hampshire
Post Office Box 300
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Town of Exeter
10 Front Street
Exeter, New Hampshire 03823

Gerald Garfield, Esq.
Day, Berry and Howard
City Place
Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

Mr. J. F. Opeka
Northeast Utilities
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Mr. R. M. Kacich
Northeast Utilities Service Company
P. O. Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Jane Spector
Federal Energy Regulatory Comm.
825 North Capital Street, N.E.
Room 8105
Washington, D.C. 20426

Mr. Rob Sweeney
Three Metro Center
Suite 610
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. George L. Iverson, Director
New Hampshire Office of Emergency
Management
State Office Park South
107 Pleasant Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

North Atlantic Energy Corporation
c/o Northeast Utilities Service
Company
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270
ATTN: John F. Opeka, Executive
Vice President

Mr. Ted C. Feigenbaum

Seabrook

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Ashod N. Amirian, Esq.
145 South Main Street
P.O. Box 38
Bradford, Massachusetts 01830

Mr. Alfred Sargent
Chairman
Board of Selectmen
Salisbury, Massachusetts 01950

Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place
20th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

Durham Board of Selectmen
Town of Durham
Durham, New Hampshire 03824

Chairman, Board of Selectmen
RFD 2
South Hampton, New Hampshire 03827

R. Scott Hill-Whilton, Esquire
Lagoulis, Clark, Hill-Whilton
& Rotondi
79 State Street
Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950

Board of Selectmen
Town of Amesbury
Town Hall
Amesbury, Massachusetts 01913

Mr. Leon Maglathlin
Public Service Company
of New Hampshire
1000 Elm Street
Manchester, New Hampshire 03105

Mr. Jack Dolan
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Region I
J.W. McCormack Post Office &
Courthouse Building, Room 442
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Mr. J. M. Peschel
Public Service Company
of New Hampshire
P.O. Box 300
Seabrook, New Hampshire 03874

Mr. David Rodham, Director
Massachusetts Civil Defense Agency
400 Worcester Road
P.O. Box 1496
Framingham, Massachusetts 01701-0317
ATTN: James Muckerheide

John P. Arnold, Attorney General
G. Dana Bisbee, Associate Attorney
General
Attorney General's Office
25 Capitol Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

Congressman Nicholas Mavroules
U. S. House of Representatives
70 Washington Street
Salem, Massachusetts 01970

North Atlantic Energy Service
Corporation
c/o Northeast Utilities Service
Company
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270
ATTN: John F. Opeka, Executive
Vice President



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

NORTH ATLANTIC ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, ET AL.*

DOCKET NO. 50-443

SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 11
License No. NPF-86

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment filed by the Public Service Company of New Hampshire, acting for itself and as agent and representative of the 12 other utilities listed below and hereafter referred to as licensees, dated November 13, 1990 and supplemented by letters dated January 14, 1991, August 28, 1991, December 13, 1991, January 30, 1992, and February 14, 1992, and the two letters from Northeast Utilities dated May 13, 1992 complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

*North Atlantic Energy Service Company (NAESCO) is authorized to act as agent for the: Public Service Company of New Hampshire, Canal Electric Company, The Connecticut Light and Power Company, EUA Power Corporation, Hudson Light & Power Department, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, Montaup Electric Company, New England Power Company, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc., Taunton Municipal Light Plant, The United Illuminating Company, and Vermont Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc., and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

9206050231 920529
PDR ADDCK 05000443
P PDR

2. Accordingly, Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 is hereby amended to read as follows:*

a) The Title on page 1 of the license shall read:

North Atlantic Energy Service Co. et al.*
Docket No. 50-443
Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1
Facility Operating License

b) The footnote on page 1 of the license shall read:

"North Atlantic Energy Service Company (NAESCO) is authorized to act as agent for the: North Atlantic Energy Company, Canal Electric Company, The Connecticut Light and Power Company, EUA Power Corporation, Hudson Light & Power Department, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, Montaup Electric Company, New England Power Company, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc., Taunton Municipal Light Plant, The United Illuminating Company, and Vermont Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc., and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility."

c) The last page of the license shall be marked "Revised: May 29, 1992."

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, and shall be implemented within 180 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Victor Nerses, Acting Director
Project Directorate I-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Pages 1 and 6 of Facility Operating
License NPF-86

Date of Issuance: May 29, 1992

*Pages 1 and 6 are attached, for convenience, for the composite license to reflect these changes. Please remove pages 1 and 6 of the existing license and replace with the attached page.

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 11

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-86

DOCKET NO. 50-443

Replace the following pages of the License with the attached pages. The revised pages contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove Page

Insert Page

1

1

6

6



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

NORTH ATLANTIC ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, ET AL.*

DOCKET NO. 50-443

SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

License No. NPF-86

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for a license filed by the Public Service Company of New Hampshire, acting for itself and as agent and representative of the utilities listed in the footnote below, and for the North Atlantic Energy Service Company (NAESCO), all of whom are hereafter referred to as licensees, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I; and all required notifications to other agencies or bodies have been duly made;
 - B. Construction of the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 (the facility) has been substantially completed in conformity with Construction Permit No. CPPR-135 and the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
 - C. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission (except as exempted from compliance in Section 2.D below);
 - D. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this operating license can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I (except as exempted from compliance in Section 2.D below);
 - E. North Atlantic Energy Service Company is technically qualified to engage in the activities authorized by this license in accordance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

*North Atlantic Energy Service Company (NAESCO) is authorized to act as agent for the: North Atlantic Energy Company, Canal Electric Company, The Connecticut Light and Power Company, EUA Power Corporation, Hudson Light & Power Department, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, Montaup Electric Company, New England Power Company, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc., Taunton Municipal Light Plant, The United Illuminating Company, and Vermont Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc., and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

J. Effective Date and Expiration

This license is effective as the date of issuance and shall expire at midnight on October 17, 2026.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Thomas E. Murley
Thomas E. Murley, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachments/Appendices:

1. Appendix A - Technical Specifications (NUREG-1386)
2. Appendix B - Environmental Protection Plan

Date of Issuance: MAR 15 1990

Revised: May 29, 1992



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 11 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-86

NORTH ATLANTIC ENERGY COMPANY

SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-443

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 13, 1990, as supplemented by letters dated January 14, 1991, August 28, 1991, December 13, 1991, January 30, 1992, and February 14, 1992, the Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH) submitted a request for an amendment to the Facility Operating License for Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1. In addition, two letters dated May 13, 1992 were received from Northeast Utilities providing information requested by NRC. The proposed amendment involves a transfer of the Seabrook Station ownership share from PSNH to North Atlantic Energy Company (NAEC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Northeast Utilities (NU). The PSNH portion of ownership of Seabrook (35.6%) will be transferred to NAEC, and a separate license amendment has been proposed to accomplish the transfer of managing authority from PSNH to another NU subsidiary (NAESCO). The January 14, 1991, August 28, 1991, December 13, 1991, January 30, 1992, and February 14, 1992, letters and the May 13, 1992, letters from NU provided clarifying information that did not change the proposed action or the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

Northeast Utilities (NU) plans to purchase the shares of Seabrook owned by the Public Service Co. of New Hampshire, Inc. (PSNH) and the non-Seabrook portion of PSNH for \$2.3 billion. The PSNH ownership share will then be transferred to NAEC. The purchase represents about a 35.6% share of the Seabrook Station. About 90 percent of this purchase is to be financed initially by debt, that is, various classes of bonds and bank borrowing. When this is completed, NU will have a debt capitalization ratio in the mid-seventy percent, meaning the proportion of corporate assets financed by indebtedness. This is substantially above the typical public utility indebtedness of about fifty percent.

The advantage of high debt financing is that if revenues and costs are such that interest and debt payments can be made as required, the cost of capital is less than with equity financing. However, this financing plan creates concern for NRC because meeting the fixed cost of debt service will place the company under financial stress if revenue is less than expected or if operating costs are higher than expected.

9206050233 920529
PDR ADDCK 05000443
P PDR

In any ownership transfer, the staff requires reasonable assurance that the new owner will provide for safe operation and maintenance of the plant, including providing the necessary funds for operation and maintenance. Consequently, the staff carefully considered the potential impacts of this ownership transfer and the potential financial impact on the safe operation of Seabrook.

2.0 EVALUATION

The proposed new owner, NAEC, is an electric utility whose rates are established by FERC, a regulatory authority. For this reason, NAEC is an "electric utility" under 10 CFR § 50.2, which need not show financial qualifications. See 10 CFR § 50.40(b); 50.57(a)(4). NU, has considerable experience as the owner and operator of several nuclear power plants. NU currently has a significant ownership interest in four other plants, including the Haddam Neck plant, and Millstone Units 1, 2, and 3. The amendment request stated that it involved no physical change to the Seabrook facility nor to its design or design basis or operation of the plant. The staff has had some concerns in certain specific areas of NU's recent performance. These concerns have been satisfactorily resolved by the inclusion of a number of license conditions as discussed in the SE supporting the license amendment which transferred managing authority to NAESCO.

Monitoring Funding and Operations

During a transition period of three years, the staff plans to monitor the Seabrook operations and maintenance budget and capital expenditure budget as well as operational performance. This monitoring will be done through special reports and commitments from NU.

In two letters dated May 13, 1992, NU committed, at NRC request, to keep the NRC apprised on a timely basis of any significant changes in the O&M and capital budgets and projections for calendar years 1992-1995, including an explanation for such changes. In addition, budget information was presented for prior years. NU assured the NRC that adequate financial resources would be provided for nuclear operations at Seabrook as well as at the Millstone and Haddam Neck facilities. Furthermore, financial commitments were made to support a significant Performance Enhancement Program at the Millstone facility. The NRC finds these commitments acceptable. In addition, financial monitoring by NRC will, except for unusual situations, include reviewing and evaluating financial information from, (1) Northeast Utilities Annual Report, (2) Value Line Investment Survey, (3) Standard and Poor's Credit Week, (4) Moody's Bond Survey, and (5) Moody's Investors Service.

In the previous license amendment approving the transfer of managing agent to NAESCO, the NRC staff imposed four license conditions with special reporting requirements relating to: any changes in the Senior Site Official, substantive changes to the employee concerns program, any allegations of intimidation, harassment, or discrimination, any changes adverse to safety in the compensation incentive program, and any report relating to plant design, equipment, personnel performance or plant operations that could have adverse safety effects.

Through the special reports required by the license conditions in the amendment approving the transfer of managing agent to NAESCO, and through budget information provided by NU, the staff will oversee the transition in ownership and operation to assure that it occurs safely.

3.0 FINAL NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION

The licensee's request for this amendment to the operating license for the Seabrook Station, including a proposed determination by the staff of no significant hazards consideration, was noticed in the Federal Register on February 28, 1991 (56 FR 8373). The Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92(c) include three standards used by the NRC staff to arrive at a determination that a request for amendment involves no significant hazards considerations. These regulations state that the Commission may make such a final determination if operation of a facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The licensee addressed the above three standards in the amendment application and determined that the proposed changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration. In regard to the three standards, the licensee provided the following analysis.

- (1) Operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

As a result of the proposed license amendment, there will be no physical change to the Seabrook facility, and all Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications will remain unchanged. Also, the Seabrook Quality Assurance Program, and the Seabrook Emergency Plan, Security Plan, and Operator Training and Requalification Program will be unaffected.

- (2) The proposed changes would not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment will have no effect on the physical configuration of Seabrook or the manner in which it will operate. The Seabrook plant design and design basis will remain the same. The current plant safety analyses will therefore remain complete and accurate in addressing the design basis events and in analyzing plant response and consequences.

The Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications for Seabrook are not affected by the proposed license amendment. As such, the plant conditions for which the

design basis accident analyses have been performed will remain valid. Therefore, the proposed license amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

- (3) Use of the modified specification would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Plant safety margins are established through Limiting Conditions for Operation, Limiting Safety System Settings and Safety Limits specified in the Technical Specifications. Since there will be no change to the physical design or operation of the plant, there will be no change to any of these margins. Thus, the proposed license amendment will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

On April 1, 1991, Seabrook Anti-Pollution League (SAPL) filed an intervention petition which contests the ownership transfer from PSNH to the North Atlantic Energy Company (NAEC). The petition was dismissed because SAPL had not shown standing to intervene concerning the transfer of ownership since it did not show alleged harm would abate if it were granted relief in regard to the ownership transfer amendment. See Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Unit 1), CLI-91-14, 34 NRC 261, 267-68 (1991). The SAPL petition raised the issue of possible NU intimidation and harassment of several employees at the Millstone Nuclear Power Plant for reporting possible violations of regulations to the NRC. The petition indicated that this management attitude could lead to an increase in the hazard of the operation of Seabrook, and that NU should not be permitted to become the licensed operator of Seabrook Station. An additional concern, that NU financial problems would preclude a safe takeover of Seabrook operation, was presented by SAPL in a public meeting with the Commission on May 11, 1992. Those statements have been treated as comments on the staff's proposed no significant hazards determination for the license amendment herein.

The staff addressed the concerns of the petitioner in several reports to the Commission (SECY-92-099 dated April 20, 1992, SECY-92-156 dated April 29, 1992, and SECY-92-156A dated May 15, 1992). The staff met with the Commission and with NU in a public meeting on May 11, 1992. As a result of the staff's and the Commission's deliberations, the staff imposed special license conditions upon the Seabrook licensees. The special license conditions were a condition of approval of the previous license amendment transferring managing authority to NAESCO. Those license conditions require the licensees to inform the staff of particular issues. Specifically, NRC will require that it be informed of: any change in the senior site official; reports to the Joint Owners from the Oversight Committee, the licensee, or contractors or consultants, including those that relate to employee discrimination or indications of conditions potentially adverse to safety (such reports will

provide NRC with an early opportunity to assure that plant operations do not in fact become adversely affected); any substantive programmatic or procedural changes to the employee concerns program; any allegation of employee harassment, intimidation or discrimination; any change in the incentive compensation programs which could have potentially adverse effects on safety; and any changes to the annual operating and maintenance budget and to the capital expenditure budget. The staff will further require that any oversight reports will be followed by a report from the Operator (NAESCO) to NRC assessing the oversight report and indicating planned corrective action. The Operator's report will be reviewed and assessed by the Joint Owners which will also report to NRC its corrective actions and disposition of the Operator's report. Further, to assure that NRC is aware of significant changes in the oversight functions, NRC will require that for a limited time NRC be informed of changes to certain sections of the agreements among the owners relating to the oversight function of the Executive Committee and the Oversight Committee.

In two letters dated May 13, 1992, NU committed, at NRC request, to keep the NRC apprised on a timely basis of any significant changes in the O&M and capital budgets and projections for calendar years 1992-1995, including an explanation for such changes. In addition, budget information was presented for prior years. NU assured the NRC that adequate financial resources would be provided for nuclear operations at Seabrook as well as at the Millstone and Haddam Neck facilities. Furthermore, financial commitments were made to support a significant Performance Enhancement Program at the Millstone facility. The NRC finds these commitments acceptable.

For these reasons, and those given (above) by the licensee, the staff agrees with the licensee's determination, and therefore has made a final determination that the proposed amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

4.0 ANTITRUST EVALUATION

Pursuant to Section 105c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (Act) and the Commission's Rules and Regulations, the staff conducted an antitrust operating license review to determine whether significant changes have occurred in the licensee's activities since the construction permit review.

Pursuant to procedures set forth by the Commission in delegating authority to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation and the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, as appropriate, the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has made a finding that as a result of the proposed merger, no significant antitrust changes have occurred since the operating license antitrust review of Seabrook.

The Director's finding was published in the Federal Register on February 19, 1992 and provided for requests for reevaluation of the finding by March 20, 1992. A request to reevaluate (Request) the Director's finding was received from counsel representing the City of Holyoke Gas and Electric Department (HG&E) of the City of Holyoke, Massachusetts on March 20, 1992.

Although the Act does not specifically address the addition of new owners or operators after the initial licensing process, the staff has, in analyzing situations where new ownership occurs after issuance of an operating license, applied standards set forth by the Commission in the Summer proceeding (11 NRC 817 (1980)) in order to determine whether an antitrust review is required. Against this backdrop, the staff has conducted antitrust reviews of operating license amendment requests that seek to add new licensees to the license -- the subject of the instant reevaluation request.

Although the actions taken by the staff when faced with operating license amendments that request the addition of a new owner or placing a non-owner operator on a license have been tailored to each particular amendment request, reviews of post-operating license amendment applications involving change in licensees have included an antitrust review by the staff and consultation with the Attorney General. The antitrust review by the staff focuses on significant changes in the licensee's activities since the most recent antitrust review of the facility in question. The staff applied these criteria and procedures established by the Commission for dealing with "significant change" determinations in reaching its No Significant Change Finding for Seabrook.

The concerns raised by HG&E in its Request were thoroughly considered by the staff in its initial evaluation of competitive changes resulting from the proposed merger between PSNH and NU. The information provided by HG&E does not identify any new competitive concerns or any data that were overlooked by the staff in its initial review of the proposed merger. Consequently, it is the determination of the staff that the criteria established by the Commission in Summer to substantiate a "significant change" have not been met.

Although the proposed change will take place since the previous antitrust review and can reasonably be attributed to the licensee (Summer criterion 1 and 2), a Commission remedy would not be warranted given the review and merger conditions approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

In a previous license amendment approving the transfer of managing authority from PSNH to NAESCO, a license condition was imposed which prohibits NAESCO from participating in the marketing or brokering of energy. All licensees, including NAEC, are responsible and accountable for the actions of their agent to the extent said agent's actions effect the marketing or brokering of power and energy from Seabrook Station, Unit 1.

The Commission's Rules and Regulations (2.101(e)(3)) provide for a thirty day period in which the Commission can review a reevaluation of a "significant

change" determination. The Director has determined that he will not change his finding that no "significant change" has occurred. The Director's reevaluation was published in the Federal Register on April 20, 1992 (57 FR 14439) and became final NRC action on May 9, 1992.

5.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New Hampshire and Massachusetts State officials were notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State officials had no comments.

6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

Pursuant to CFR 51.21, 51.32, and 51.35, an environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact was published in the Federal Register on January 31, 1992 (57 FR 3801).

Accordingly, based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission has determined that issuance of this amendment will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

7.0 CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors:

Darrel A. Nash
Gordon E. Edison

Date: May 29, 1992

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
)
PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NEW HAMPSHIRE)
NORTHEAST UTILITIES) Docket No. 50-443
NORTH ATLANTIC ENERGY SERVICE CO.) (License No. NPF-86)
NORTH ATLANTIC ENERGY CO.)
)
(Seabrook Station Unit 1))

ORDER APPROVING TRANSFERS
AND NOTICE OF ISSUANCE
OF LICENSE AMENDMENTS

I.

On March 15, 1990, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Part 50, License No. NPF-86 was issued, under which The Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (PSNH) is authorized to operate and hold a 35.6 percent ownership share in Seabrook Station, Unit 1 (Seabrook), which is located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire.

II.

On January 28, 1988, PSNH filed for bankruptcy protection from its creditors. As incident to that bankruptcy filing, a Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization was filed with The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Hampshire on December 28, 1989, under which Northeast Utilities (NU) would acquire PSNH, including PSNH's ownership share in Seabrook, and would assume operation of

9206050235 920529
PDR ADDCK 05000443
P PDR

Seabrook. The acquisition would increase NU's ownership interest in Seabrook to about 39.6 percent. After approval of the plan by PSNH's shareholders, its creditors and the New Hampshire State Legislature, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the plan and ordered its implementation on April 20, 1990.

III.

To implement the plan of reorganization, NU applied to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for two license amendments to license NPF-86, by two letters dated November 13, 1990, as supplemented by later filings. Under these requested license amendments the ownership share of PSNH in Seabrook would be transferred to the North Atlantic Energy Co. (NAEC), a wholly owned subsidiary of NU, and control over the operation of Seabrook would be transferred from the New Hampshire Yankee Division of PSNH to the North Atlantic Energy Service Co. (NAESCO), another wholly owned subsidiary of NU. Notice of these applications for transfers and proposed no significant hazards consideration determinations were published in the Federal Register on February 28, 1991, and March 6, 1991, respectively. 56 Fed. Reg. 8373; 56 Fed. Reg. 9384.

IV.

The transfer of rights under License No. NPF-86 is subject to the NRC's approval under 10 C.F.R. § 50.80. Based on information provided by the licensee and NU, and other information before the Commission, it is determined that the proposed transfer of the control of operations of Seabrook from PSNH to NAESCO, and the proposed transfer of ownership share of PSNH to NAEC, subject to the conditions set forth

herein, are in the public interest and are consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations and orders issued by the Commission. These actions were evaluated by the staff as documented in Safety Evaluations, dated May 29, 1992, which contain final no significant hazards consideration determinations. The conditions of the transfers, to which the licensee has not objected, are:

- A. For a period of three years from the date of issuance of the NRC license amendment approving the transfer of management authority to NAESCO, the licensee shall inform the Director, NRR, at least 60 days in advance, of any change in the senior site official for the Seabrook facility, or in the principal duties of such official, unless such change is due to unforeseen circumstances. In such circumstances, the licensee shall inform the Director, NRR, of such change as soon as it can reasonably do so.
- B. For a period of three years from the date of issuance of the NRC license amendment approving the transfer of management authority to NAESCO, the Joint Owners shall provide to the Director, NRR, promptly any report of the Oversight Committee or any report of the Operator or of any contractor or consultant which has been provided to the Joint Owners relating to: plant design, equipment or personnel performance or plant operations that could have potentially adverse effects on facility safety; any substantive programmatic or procedural changes to the employee concerns program; any allegation of employee harassment, intimidation or discrimination; changes to any compensation incentive program which could

have potentially adverse effects on facility safety; and any changes to the annual operations and maintenance and capital expenditure budgets. These reporting requirements are in addition to other requirements of NRC regulations.


- C. The oversight reports in 2.C.(4)(b) [B. above] shall be followed promptly by a report to the Director, NRR, by the Operator, reflecting the Operator's assessment of such report and proposed corrective action, if any. Submission of the Operator's assessment and proposed corrective action shall not delay submission of the report called for by license condition 2.C.(4)(b). A review and assessment of the Operator's report by the Joint Owners shall be provided to the Director, NRR, together with any corrective actions and disposition of the Operator's report.
- D. For a period of three years from the date of issuance of the NRC license amendment approving the transfer of management authority to NAESCO, the licensee shall inform the Director, NRR, of any changes to certain sections of the Joint Ownership Agreement and the Managing Agent Operating Agreement. These sections are: Sections 3.c, 7.a, 7.e, 8, 10, 11 and 16.b, as described in Appendix 1 of the Settlement Agreement dated as of July 19, 1990 between Northeast Utilities Service Company and New England Power Company.
- E. NAESCO is prohibited from marketing or brokering power or energy from the plant. In addition, all licensees other than NAESCO are responsible and

accountable for the actions of their agent to the extent said agent's actions effect the marketing or brokering of power and energy from Seabrook Station, Unit 1.

V.

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 103, 105, 161b, 161i, 184, and 187 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2201 et seq. and 10 C.F.R. Part 50, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the transfers to North Atlantic Energy Co. and North Atlantic Energy Service Co., discussed above, are approved, and NOTICE IS GIVEN that license amendments providing for the transfer of control of operation of Seabrook to NAESCO, subject to license conditions set out and herein, and the transfer of the ownership share of PSNH in Seabrook to NAEC are issued, and both amendments being subject to the further conditions that should both of these transfers not be completed by November 30, 1992, this order will be null and void, except that for good cause shown, the date upon which the transfers are to be completed may be extended for a short period beyond November 30, 1992.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION


Thomas E. Murley, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Dated at Rockville, Maryland
this 29th day of May 1992