

September 23, 1998

Mr. Martin L. Bowling, Jr.  
Recovery Officer - Technical Services  
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company  
c/o Ms. Patricia A. Loftus  
Director - Regulatory Affairs  
P. O. Box 128  
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT - MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION,  
UNIT NO. 3 (TAC NO. MA2463)

Dear Mr. Bowling:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 163 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49 for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, in response to your application dated August 6, 1998, as supplemented September 3 and 21, 1998.

The latest Millstone Unit 3 steam generator tube inspection began on September 24, 1996, and was completed on October 1, 1996. The inspection results placed the steam generators in Category C-2. Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.4.5.3.a establishes an allowable inspection interval of 24 calendar months for this category. Without an extension of the interval, Millstone Unit 3 must shut down prior to September 24, 1998, to perform the necessary inspections. The amendment allows a one-time extension to the surveillance interval until the next refueling outage or July 1, 1999, whichever date is earlier.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

James W. Andersen, Project Manager  
Millstone Project Directorate  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-423

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 163 to NPF-49
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION**

Docket File	THarris (e-mail SE)
PUBLIC	WBeckner, TSB
MSPD Reading	JDurr, RI
WDean	DScrenci, RI
JAndersen	OGC
LBerry	ACRS
GHill (2)	

DF01

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\Andersen\MA2463.amd

To receive copy of document, indicate in the box: C = copy w/encl N = no copy

OFFICE	MSPD:PM	MSPD:LA	OGC	EMCB	MSPD:D
NAME	J.ANDERSEN	L.BERRY	<i>W. Young</i>	E.SULLIVAN	W.DEAN
DATE	9/22/98	9/18/98	9/22/98	9/22/98	9/23/98

FOR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

See previous conference

9809250236 980923  
PDR ADOCK 05000423  
P PDR

9809250236

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

CP-1



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 23, 1998

Mr. Martin L. Bowling, Jr.  
Recovery Officer - Technical Services  
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company  
c/o Ms. Patricia A. Loftus  
Director - Regulatory Affairs  
P. O. Box 128  
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT - MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION,  
UNIT NO. 3 (TAC NO. MA2463)

Dear Mr. Bowling:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. **163** to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49 for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, in response to your application dated August 6, 1998, as supplemented September 3 and 21, 1998.

The latest Millstone Unit 3 steam generator tube inspection began on September 24, 1996, and was completed on October 1, 1996. The inspection results placed the steam generators in Category C-2. Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.4.5.3.a establishes an allowable inspection interval of 24 calendar months for this category. Without an extension of the interval, Millstone Unit 3 must shut down prior to September 24, 1998, to perform the necessary inspections. The amendment allows a one-time extension to the surveillance interval until the next refueling outage or July 1, 1999, whichever date is earlier.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "JW Andersen", written over a horizontal line.

James W. Andersen, Project Manager  
Millstone Project Directorate  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-423

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. **163** to NPF-49
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

**Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Unit 3**

cc:

Lillian M. Cuoco, Esquire  
Senior Nuclear Counsel  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
P. O. Box 270  
Hartford, CT 06141-0270

Edward L. Wilds, Jr., Ph.D.  
Director, Division of Radiation  
Department of Environmental Protection  
79 Elm Street  
Hartford, CT 06106-5127

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

First Selectmen  
Town of Waterford  
15 Rope Ferry Road  
Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. Wayne D. Lanning, Director  
Millstone Inspections  
Office of the Regional Administrator  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

Mr. M. H. Brothers  
Vice President - Millstone Unit 3  
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company  
P.O. Box 128  
Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. M. R. Scully, Executive Director  
Connecticut Municipal Electric  
Energy Cooperative  
30 Stott Avenue  
Norwich, CT 06360

Mr. David Amerine  
Vice President - Human Services  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
P. O. Box 128  
Waterford, CT 06385

Joseph R. Egan, Esquire  
Egan & Associates, P.C.  
2300 N Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. F. C. Rothen  
Vice President - Work Services  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
P. O. Box 128  
Waterford, CT 06385

Ernest C. Hadley, Esquire  
1040 B Main Street  
P.O. Box 549  
West Wareham, MA 02576

Mr. John Buckingham  
Department of Public Utility Control  
Electric Unit  
10 Liberty Square  
New Britain, CT 06051

Mr. James S. Robinson, Manager  
Nuclear Investments and Administration  
New England Power Company  
25 Research Drive  
Westborough, MA 01582

Mr. John Streeter  
Recovery Officer - Nuclear Oversight  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
P. O. Box 128  
Waterford, CT 06385

Deborah Katz, President  
Citizens Awareness Network  
P.O. Box 83  
Shelburne Falls, MA 03170

Mr. Allan Johanson, Assistant Director  
Office of Policy and Management  
Policy Development and Planning  
Division  
450 Capitol Avenue - MS# 52ERN  
P. O. Box 341441  
Hartford, CT 06134-1441

Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
Unit 3  
cc:

The Honorable Terry Concannon  
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council  
Room 4035  
Legislative Office Building  
Capitol Avenue  
Hartford, CT 06106

Mr. Evan W. Woollacott  
Co-Chair  
Nuclear Energy Advisory Council  
128 Terry's Plain Road  
Simsbury, CT 06070

Mr. John W. Beck, President  
Little Harbor Consultants, Inc.  
Millstone - ITPOP Project Office  
P.O. Box 0630  
Niantic, CT 06357-0630

Mr. B. D. Kenyon (Acting)  
Chief Nuclear Officer - Millstone  
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company  
P.O. Box 128  
Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. Daniel L. Curry  
Project Director  
Parsons Power Group Inc.  
2675 Morgantown Road  
Reading, PA 19607

Mr. P. D. Hinnenkamp  
Director - Unit 3  
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company  
P.O. Box 128  
Waterford, CT 06385

Senior Resident Inspector  
Millstone Nuclear Power Station  
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 513  
Niantic, CT 06357

Mr. William D. Meinert  
Nuclear Engineer  
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale  
Electric Company  
P.O. Box 426  
Ludlow, MA 01056

Nicholas J. Scobbo, Jr., Esquire  
Ferriter, Scobbo, Caruso, & Rodophele, P.C.  
75 State Street, 7th Floor  
Boston, MA 0210

Citizens Regulatory Commission  
ATTN: Ms. Susan Perry Luxton  
180 Great Neck Road  
Waterford, CT 06385



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-423

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 163  
License No. NPF-49

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al. (the licensee) dated August 6, 1998, as supplemented September 3 and 21, 1998, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

9809250249 980923  
PDR ADOCK 05000423  
P PDR

9809250249

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-49 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 163, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



William M. Dean, Director  
Millstone Project Directorate  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: ~~September~~ 23, 1998

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 163

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-49

DOCKET NO. 50-423

Replace the following page of the Appendix A, Technical Specifications, with the attached page. The revised page is identified by amendment number and contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

3/4 4-16

Insert

3/4 4-16

## REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

### STEAM GENERATORS

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

---

---

4.4.5.3 Inspection Frequencies - The above required inservice inspections of steam generator tubes shall be performed at the following frequencies:

- a. Inservice inspections shall be performed at intervals of not less than 12 nor more than 24 calendar months\* after the previous inspection. If two consecutive inspections, not including the preservice inspection, result in all inspection results falling into the C-1 category or if two consecutive inspections demonstrate that previously observed degradation has not continued and no additional degradation has occurred, the inspection interval may be extended to a maximum of once per 40 months;
- b. If the results of the inservice inspection of a steam generator conducted in accordance with Table 4.4-2 at 40-month intervals fall in Category C-3, the inspection frequency shall be increased to at least once per 20 months. The increase in inspection frequency shall apply until the subsequent inspections satisfy the criteria of Specification 4.4.5.3a.; the interval may then be extended to a maximum of once per 40 months; and
- c. Additional, unscheduled inservice inspections shall be performed on each steam generator in accordance with the first sample inspection specified in Table 4.4-2 during the shutdown subsequent to any of the following conditions:
  - 1) Primary-to-secondary tubes leak (not including leaks originating from tube-to-tube sheet welds) in excess of the limits of Specification 3.4.6.2, or
  - 2) A seismic occurrence greater than the Operating Basis Earthquake, or
  - 3) A loss-of-coolant accident requiring actuation of the Engineered Safety Features, or
  - 4) A main steam line or feedwater line break.

---

\*Except the surveillance related to Steam Generator Inspection, due no later than September 24, 1998, may be deferred until the next refueling outage or no later than July 1, 1999, whichever is earlier.





UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-49

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3

DOCKET NO. 50-423

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 6, 1998, as supplemented September 3 and 21, 1998, the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al. (the licensee), submitted a request for changes to the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 Technical Specifications (TS). The latest Millstone Unit 3 steam generator tube inspection began on September 24, 1996, and was completed on October 1, 1996. The inspection results placed the steam generators in Category C-2. TS Surveillance Requirement 4.4.5.3.a establishes an allowable inspection interval of 24 calendar months for this category. Without an extension of the interval, Millstone Unit 3 must shut down prior to September 24, 1998, to perform the necessary inspections. The proposed amendment would allow a one-time extension to the surveillance interval until the next refueling outage or July 1, 1999, whichever date is earlier. The September 3 and 21, 1998, letters provided clarifying information that did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination or expand the scope of the original Federal Register notice.

2.0 BACKGROUND

An inservice inspection of the Millstone Unit 3 steam generator tubes was completed in August 1993 during refueling outage 4 (RFO4). Approximately 72 percent of the tubes in steam generators A and C were inspected. Approximately 76% of the tubes in steam generators B and D were inspected in May 1995 during RFO5. The current operating cycle (Cycle 6) started in June of 1995. A brief outage (approximately 2 weeks) occurred in December 1995 and an extended mid-cycle maintenance outage occurred from April 1996 to June 1998. Steam generator C was completely (100 percent) inspected in September 1996 (completed in October 1996) during the mid-cycle maintenance outage. Millstone Unit 3 was restarted in June 1998 and the current schedule for the next refueling outage is May 1999.

On the basis of the surveillance test requirements of TS 3/4.4.5, the next Millstone Unit 3 steam generator tube inspection would be required to be performed not less than 12 nor more than 24 months after the previous inspection. This would require a steam generator tube inspection by September 24, 1998. Since the current Millstone Unit 3 fuel cycle is expected to end approximately May 1999, the current TS would require a mid-cycle outage solely to perform the steam generator tube inspection. The licensee proposed a one-time amendment to the

9809250252 980923  
PDR ADDOCK 05000423  
P PDR

9809250252

Millstone Unit 3 TS to change the steam generator tube inspection schedule to require the next steam generator inspection during the next scheduled refueling outage or no later than July 1, 1999, whichever is earlier.

In its letter dated September 3, 1998, the licensee stated that two of four steam generators are recommended for inspection each outage, on a rotating basis. The schedule of inspections is based on the guidance and recommendations contained in Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) "PWR [pressurized water reactor] Steam Generator Examination Guidelines" and Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 97-06, "Steam Generator Examination Guidelines."

The licensee stated that steam generators A and C are currently being recommended for inspection during RFO6 (approximately May 1999). Steam generators B and D would be recommended for inspection during RFO7 (approximately spring 2001). While this schedule results in almost 6 calendar years between inspections for steam generators A, B, and D, actual operational time for steam generators A, B, and D will be substantially less than 5 EFPY.

### 3.0 EVALUATION

#### 3.1 Change to the Inspection Interval

The licensee addressed several technical areas in support of the proposed license amendment request. They are as follows: (1) past steam generator tube inspections; (2) steam generator layup in accordance with industry guidelines; and (3) leakage history, leakage monitoring, and leakage guidelines.

The licensee stated that six inservice inspections of the Millstone Unit 3 steam generator tubes have been performed to date. Wear adjacent to antivibration bars (AVB) in the u-bend region of the bundle, and foreign object-related wear are the only active damage mechanisms affecting the steam generator tubes. AVB wear is the result of tube/AVB impact caused by vibration of the u-bend portion of the tube bundle. Foreign object wear is the result of vibratory interaction between the tube and foreign object. The licensee stated that extending the calendar duration of Cycle 6 has no effect on the extent or severity of wear in the steam generators since the total operating time during Cycle 6 will not be extended by this proposed revision. In addition, the licensee performed an evaluation that provided the basis for the conclusion that, with a high level of confidence, no AVB flaws are expected to exceed structural tube integrity criteria prior to the next planned inspection. This evaluation took into consideration actual observed AVB wear rates that were applied over an operating period of two fuel cycles.

Additionally, the licensee considered the potential development of primary or secondary side corrosion as a result of the extended shutdown period. Secondary side intergranular attack (IGA), secondary side intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC), and primary side IGSCC and pitting were the principle damage mechanisms the licensee considered.

The licensee stated that the initiation and advancement of IGA and IGSCC are strongly dependent upon temperature and typically develop after many years at operating temperatures. At shutdown temperatures, no initiation or advancement of these mechanisms is expected to occur. There has been no indication of tube corrosion during the steam generator tube

inspections conducted to date, including the most recent inspection completed in October 1996 after approximately 6 months in layup. Pitting is generally considered to be a high temperature phenomenon, although laboratory data has shown that pitting can initiate at low temperatures in the presence of faulted water conditions.

Secondary chemistry layup guidelines have been established by the industry to minimize the potential for corrosion of steam generator tubes and support structures during nonoperational periods. The licensee stated that controlled wet layup chemistry was maintained in accordance with these guidelines throughout the prolonged mid-cycle maintenance outage. Although valve repair work did not allow the unit to consistently maintain nitrogen overpressure within the steam generators, the tube bundles were continuously covered with wet layup solution with the exception of a 3-month period in the fall of 1996. During the 3-month period, the water level in steam generator C was lowered, exposing the u-bend region of the tube bundle. It is known that nitrogen overpressure was maintained during a portion of this period, but it could not be confirmed that it was present during the entire period. In spite of this, the licensee stated that tube pitting is very unlikely to have developed either at the vapor/water interface or in free spans and crevices above the interface under these conditions. In the region adjacent to the vapor/water interface, the presence of controlled layup solution, with its high pH and hydrazine content, is expected to prevent the development of pitting. Similarly, any moisture present above the interface would be residual controlled layup solution, providing protection for these regions. Once dry, there is no potential for pitting in these areas. In summary, the licensee concluded that pitting is very unlikely to have developed in any region of the tube bundles during the extended shutdown period.

Should unforeseen circumstances cause steam generator tube leakage, the licensee stated that Procedure SP 3861 identifies methods of determining the primary-to-secondary leakrate. These methods include steam generator blowdown analysis, condenser air ejector analysis, and tritium analysis. Each method has its own minimum detectable leakrate that is determined by the lower limit of detectability associated with the target nuclide used for the analysis and the counting method. The results of the leakage rate determination are used to document satisfaction of TS 3.4.6.2.c. Under this TS, primary-to-secondary leakage in excess of 1 gallon per minute (gpm) combined, or 500 gallons per day (gpd) to any one steam generator requires a plant shutdown. Procedure SP 3861 also specifies a sampling frequency of 24 hours for leak rates exceeding 5 gpd and 8 hours for leakrates exceeding 30 gpd. In addition, the licensee stated that steam generator tube leakage resulting in a detectable loss of primary coolant would be addressed under Abnormal Operating Procedure (AOP) 3555, "Reactor Coolant Leak." As documented there, sampling frequencies and remedial action are based on leakage rates that are more conservative than those allowed under TS 3.4.6.2.c. For example, the licensee stated that a plant shutdown is required if primary-to-secondary leakage exceeds 150 gpd or if an increasing trend (i.e., greater than or equal to 75 gpd over three consecutive samples) is identified.

Historically, the licensee stated that the recorded leakage has been less than the minimum detectable levels (i.e., in the range of 0.1 gpd to 0.3 gpd). Further, the licensee stated that as of September 3, 1998, there was no detectable primary-to-secondary side leakage.

Typically, the amount of time between the completion of a tube inspection and plant startup is negligible. Therefore, the 24-month TS inspection interval mainly transpires during the period of full power operation. In the case of Millstone Unit 3, the time between RFO5 and RFO6 will be approximately 4 years. Assuming appropriate wet layup conditions are maintained, tube degradation is not expected to occur during the approximately 2-year, mid-cycle maintenance outage. On the basis of the results of the past examinations and the structural and leakage integrity assessment, the Millstone Unit 3 steam generators are expected to operate satisfactorily until the next scheduled inspection period (tentatively planned for steam generators A and C - RFO6, steam generators B and D - RFO7). Because of the wet layup program and the operating cycle leakage history, it does not appear that the steam generators degraded during the extended shutdown period. Lastly, if leakage were to occur, the leakage monitoring capability and leakage guidelines would enable operators to take necessary actions within an acceptable time frame. Therefore, the proposed change should not impact the Millstone Unit 3 steam generator's ability to safely and reliably operate.

### 3.2 Summary

On the basis of the preceding evaluation, the NRC staff concludes that the licensee's proposed one-time change to the steam generator tube inspection interval is acceptable. The associated proposed TS 4.4.5.3.a is changed to add a footnote that reads:

- \* Except the surveillance related to Steam Generator Inspections, due no later than September 24, 1998, may be deferred until the next refueling outage or no later than July 1, 1999, whichever is earlier.

The staff has reviewed the TS change discussed above and finds that it is acceptable.

### 4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Connecticut State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

### 5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (63 FR 43964 dated August 17, 1998). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: J. Andersen

Date: September 23, 1998