

DO NOT REMOVE

Docket No. 50-423

Posted

Amdt. 65 to NPF-49

Mr. John F. Opeka
Executive Vice President, Nuclear
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

DHagan
GHill (4)

Dear Mr. Opeka:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. M82327)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 65 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49 for Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, in response to your application dated December 16, 1991.

The amendment changes references to the spent fuel pool area radiation monitors in the Technical Specifications to remove any inference that they perform a criticality monitoring function, thereby making the Technical Specifications consistent with the NRC Exemption issued October 18, 1991.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The notice of issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

/s/

Vernon L. Rooney, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 65 to NPF-49
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Document Name: 82327AMD

| | | | | | | | |
|------|-----------|-----------|------------------|----------|----------|---|---|
| OFC | :LA:PDI-4 | :PM:PDI-4 | :PRPB | :D:PDI-4 | : OGC | : | : |
| NAME | :SMorris | :VRooney | :cn:LJCunningham | :JStolz | : Silman | : | : |
| DATE | :3/19/92 | :3/19/92 | :4/2/92 | :4/7/92 | :4/10/92 | : | : |

*u/Comment
(ATTACHED)*

Mr. John F. Opeka
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

Millstone Nuclear Power Station
Unit 3

cc:

Gerald Garfield, Esquire
Day, Berry and Howard
Counselors at Law
City Place
Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

R. M. Kacich, Director
Nuclear Licensing
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

W. D. Romberg, Vice President
Nuclear, Operations Services
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

D. O. Nordquist
Director of Quality Services
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Kevin McCarthy, Director
Radiation Control Unit
Department of Environmental Protection
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Regional Administrator
Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Bradford S. Chase, Under Secretary
Energy Division
Office of Policy and Management
80 Washington Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

First Selectmen
Town of Waterford
Hall of Records
200 Boston Post Road
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

S. E. Scace, Nuclear Station Director
Millstone Nuclear Power Station
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

W. J. Raymond, Resident Inspector
Millstone Nuclear Power Station
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Post Office Box 376
Waterford, Connecticut 06385-0376

C. H. Clement, Nuclear Unit Director
Millstone Unit No. 3
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

M. R. Scully, Executive Director
Connecticut Municipal Electric
Energy Cooperative
30 Stott Avenue
Norwich, Connecticut 06360

Burlington Electric Department
c/o Robert E. Fletcher, Esq.
271 South Union Street
Burlington, Vermont 05402

Mr. Alan Menard, Manager
Technical Services
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale
Electric Company
Post Office Box 426
Ludlow, Massachusetts 01056

Nicholas S. Reynolds
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3502



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-423

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 65
License No. NPF-49

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al. (the licensee) dated December 16, 1991, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-49 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 65 , and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance, to be implemented within 30 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 24, 1992

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 65

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-49

DOCKET NO. 50-423

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

3/4 3-43

3/4 3-45

Insert

3/4 3-43

3/4 3-45

TABLE 3.3-6

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION FOR PLANT OPERATIONS

| <u>FUNCTIONAL UNIT</u> | <u>CHANNELS TO TRIP/ALARM</u> | <u>MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE</u> | <u>APPLICABLE MODES</u> | <u>ALARM/TRIP SETPOINT</u> | <u>ACTION</u> |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Containment | | | | | |
| a. Containment Area Purge and Exhaust Isolation | 1 | 2 | 5, 6 | ≤ 1 R/h | 26 |
| b. RCS Leakage Detection | | | | | |
| 1) Particulate Radioactivity | N.A. | 1 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | N.A. | 29 |
| 2) Gaseous Radioactivity | N.A. | 1 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | N.A. | 29 |
| 2. Fuel Storage Pool Area Monitors | | | | | |
| a. Radiation Level | 1 | 2 | * | ≤ 15 mR/h | 28 |

MILLSTONE - UNIT 3
0055

3/4 3-43

Amendment No. 46, 65

TABLE 4.3-3

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION FOR PLANT
OPERATIONS SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

| <u>FUNCTIONAL UNIT</u> | <u>CHANNEL CHECK</u> | <u>CHANNEL CALIBRATION</u> | <u>ANALOG</u> | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <u>CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST</u> | <u>MODES FOR WHICH SURVEILLANCE IS REQUIRED</u> |
| 1. Containment | | | | |
| a. Containment Area Purge and Exhaust Isolation | S | R | M | 5, 6 |
| b. RCS Leakage Detection | | | | |
| 1) Particulate Radio- activity | S | R | M | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| 2) Gaseous Radioactivity | S | R | M | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| 2. Fuel Storage Pool Area Monitors | | | | |
| a. Radiation Level | S | R | M | * |

TABLE NOTATIONS

* With fuel in the fuel storage pool area.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 65

TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-49

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY, ET AL.

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3

DOCKET NO. 50-423

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated December 16, 1991, the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (the licensee), submitted a request for an amendment to the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 Technical Specifications (TS). The requested amendment would change references to the spent fuel pool area radiation monitors in the Technical Specifications to remove any inference that they perform a criticality monitoring function, thereby making the Technical Specifications consistent with the NRC Exemption issued October 18, 1991.

2.0 EVALUATION

By letter dated October 18, 1991, the staff issued an Exemption from 10 CFR 70.24(a) for Millstone Unit 3. The Exemption removed a requirement to have monitoring systems which will energize clearly audible alarms if accidental criticality occurs in the reactor vessel and fuel handling building.

The spent fuel pool monitors serve several functions. The Exemption granted October 18, 1991, thoroughly discussed the criticality monitoring functions which were removed by the Exemption and are no longer required.

The public safety and Technical Specification function of these monitors is to provide an indication of a possible release of high airborne activity into the building such that emergency ventilation systems can be activated to minimize any offsite doses. The other function is for worker protection. The monitor will provide a warning to those in the area upon measurement of high dose rates. This is similar to the purpose of all other area radiation monitors.

There are a number of possible causes for potentially high dose rates including raising highly radioactive components too close to the pool surface, having small fuel fragments inadvertently removed from the pool via hoses or handling tools, or airborne releases due to the rupture of fuel cladding. There is no change in any of the above functions from the proposed change.

The proposed change modifies the description of the fuel pool storage area radiation monitoring instrumentation in Technical Specification Tables 3.3-6 and 4.3-3 from "criticality-radiation level" to simply "radiation level." No change in equipment, setpoints, surveillance requirements, or function is involved, but merely a change in the name by which a certain instrumentation channel is referred to in the Technical Specifications. The old nomenclature, which was appropriate before the October 18, 1991 Exemption was granted, is now misleading. The change removes confusion in nomenclature, and thus enhances safety. Because there are no negative safety impacts from the proposed change, and because the proposed change removes confusion in nomenclature and thus enhances safety, the proposed change is acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Connecticut State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (57 FR 4490). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Vernon L. Rooney

Date: April 24, 1992