Docket File

Docket No. 50-423

Mr. John F. Opeka
Executive Vice President, Nuclear
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Opeka:

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3 - NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. M86148)

The Commission has forwarded the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing" to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

The notice relates to your application dated March 30, 1993, and supplemental information dated April 20 and 27, 1993, to amend the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 Operating License to extend the interval for surveillance testing of 42 instrumentation and control items presently required to be tested by June 13, 1993, or later, until the next refueling outage, but no later than September 30, 1993.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Vernon L. Rooney, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

Mag Fry Charles Cary

OFFICE	LA:PDI-4	PM: PDI-4	D:PDI-1		
NAME	SNorris	VRooney: cn	JȘtolz		
DATE	4/29/93	4 129193	4 /2 /93	1 1	1 1

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Document Name: G:\ROONEY\M86148.IND

9305060214 930429 PDR ADDCK 05000423 P PDR DP01 1



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 29, 1993

Docket No. 50-423

Mr. John F. Opeka
Executive Vice President, Nuclear
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Dear Mr. Opeka:

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 3 - NOTICE OF

CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT (TAC NO. M86148)

The Commission has forwarded the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing" to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

The notice relates to your application dated March 30, 1993, and supplemental information dated April 20 and 27, 1993, to amend the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 Operating License to extend the interval for surveillance testing of 42 instrumentation and control items presently required to be tested by June 13, 1993, or later, until the next refueling outage, but no later than September 30, 1993.

Sincerely,

Vernon L. Rooney, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate/I-4

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page Distribution:
Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PD I-4 Plant
SVarga
JCalvo
SNorris
VRooney
OGC
DHagan
ACRS (10)
OPA
OC/LFDCB
LTDoerflein, RI

Mr. John F. Opeka Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3

cc:

Gerald Garfield, Esquire
Day, Berry and Howard
Counselors at Law
City Place
Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

W. D. Romberg, Vice President Nuclear Operations Services Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Kevin McCarthy, Director Radiation Control Unit Department of Environmental Protection State Office Building Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Allan Johanson, Assistant Director Office of Policy and Management Policy Development and Planning Division 80 Washington Street Hartford, Connecticut 06106

S. E. Scace, Vice President Millstone Nuclear Power Station Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

F. R. Dacimo, Nuclear Unit Director Millstone Unit No. 3 Northeast Nuclear Energy Company Post Office Box 128 Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Burlington Electric Department c/o Robert E. Fletcher, Esq. 271 South Union Street Burlington, Vermont 05402

Nicholas S. Reynolds Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005-3502 R. M. Kacich, Director Nuclear Licensing Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

J. P. Stetz, Vice President Haddam Neck Plant Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company 362 Injun Hollow Road East Hampton, Connecticut 06424-3099

Regional Administrator Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

First Selectmen
Town of Waterford
Hall of Records
200 Boston Post Road
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

P. D. Swetland, Resident Inspector Millstone Nuclear Power Station c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 513 Niantic, Connecticut 06357

M. R. Scully, Executive Director Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative 30 Stott Avenue Norwich, Connecticut 06360

David W. Graham
Fuel Supply Planning Manager
Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale
Electric Company
Post Office Box 426
Ludlow, Massachusetts 01056

G. H. Bouchard, Director Nuclear Quality Services Northeast Utilities Service Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY DOCKET NO. 50-423

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-49, issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (the licensee), for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3 located in New London, Connecticut.

The licensee has requested that technical specifications be changed to extend the interval for surveillance testing of 42 instrumentation and control items presently required to be tested by June 13, 1993, or later, until the next refueling outage, but no later than September 30, 1993.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant

reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed changes do not involve a SHC because the changes would not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed.

The [surveillance test interval] STI increases, in theory, do cause a small increase in the unavailability of the [engineered safety feature actuation system] ESFAS, [reactor trip system] RTS, or other systems associated with the instruments and thereby a resultant small increase in the core damage frequency (CDF). Where quantifications were made, the maximum increase in CDF was found to be of the order of 10⁻⁷/yr or less, which is below the level of concern. Furthermore, the small increase is a one-time change and not a permanent increase. Where qualitative assessments were made, a combination of one or more factors, such as: a) diverse signals; b) redundant paths; c) proven low failure rates based on plantspecific data; d) relatively low risk significance of the function in core damage prevention/ mitigation; and e) other more frequent tests, were used to justify de minimus low risk increases. In addition, daily channel checks and analog channel operational tests will continue to be performed at the frequencies required by technical specifications. These surveillances will continue to assure that the instrument reliability assumed in the basis of technical specifications is maintained.

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously analyzed.

There are no physical design changes or changes in plant operating procedures. Therefore, there can be no impact on plant response to the point where a different accident is created.

3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes do not involve a change in the safety limits, setpoints, or design margins. Also, the proposed changes do not significantly affect the consequences of an accident or any of the protective boundaries. Therefore, the proposed changes will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of

this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room P-223, Phillips Building, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By June 15, 1993 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Learning Resources Center, Thames Valley State Technical College, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, Connecticut.

If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in

proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555. by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Mr. John F. Stolz: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Gerald Garfield. Esquire, Day, Berry & Howard, City Place, Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated March 30, 1993, as supplemented April 20 and April 27, 1993, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document

Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Learning Resources Center, Thames Valley State Technical College, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, Connecticut.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of April 1993.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Vernon L. Rooney, Serior Project Manager Project Directorate 1-4

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation