

UNITED CYATES NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 1, 1995

Mr. Leon R. Eliason
Chief Nuclear Officer & PresidentNuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric & Gas
Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M89426)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 76 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 for the Hope Creek Generating Station. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated April 25, 1994, as supplemented July 24, 1995.

This amendment adds a new note (Note 11) to supplement Note 4 of Technical Specification Table 3.6.3-1, "Primary Containment Isolation Valves." With the addition of this new note, the Technical Specifications will be revised to indicate that 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Type C leak testing is not necessary for certain Containment Isolation Valves, which serve lines terminating below the minimum water level in the suppression chamber (i.e., torus).

The amendment eliminates the requirement from the Hope Creek technical specifications to perform Type C leak rate tests, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, of identified containment isolation valves that penetrate the primary containment and terminate below the minimum water level in the suppression chamber (torus). The valves would still be subject to testing in accordance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.

A copy of our safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> notice.

DF\$1

9602010018 950801 PDR ADDCK 05000354 P PDR You are requested to inform the NRC, in writing, when this amendment has been implemented.

This requirement affects fewer than nine respondents and, therefore, it is not subject to the Office of Management and Budget review under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

David H. Jaffe, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-354

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. to License No. NPF-57

Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

JStolz

GHill(2)

JWhite, RGN-1

PUBLIC PDI-2 Reading MO'Brien DJaffe

Tech Branch

SVarga

OGC

CGrimes ACRS(4)

*Previously Concurred

OFC	:PDI-2/LA	:PDI=2/PM	:OGC*	:PDI-2/D	:		:
NAME	11.19	:Doaffe:rb		:JStolz	:		:
DATE	:// /95	:728/95	:07/07/95	:731/95	:	-	:

You are requested to inform the NRC, in writing, when this amendment has been implemented.

This requirement affects fewer than nine respondents and, therefore, it is not subject to the Office of Management and Budget review under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely.

David H. Vaffe, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-354

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 76 to

License No. NPF-57

Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. Leon R. Eliason Public Service Electric & Gas Company

cc:

M. J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3502

R. Fryling, Jr., Esquire Law Department - Tower 5E 80 Park Place Newark, New Jersey 07101

Hope Creek Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer 0509 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. J. Hagan Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. R. Hovey General Manager - Hope Creek Operations Hope Creek Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Frank X. Thomson, Jr., Manager Licensing and Regulation Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection and Energy CN 415 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0415 Ms. P. J. Curham MGR. Joint Generation Department Atlantic Electric Company Post Office Box 1500 6801 Black Horse Pike Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Richard Hartung Electric Service Evaluation Board of Regulatory Commissioners 2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. S. LaBruna Vice President - Nuclear Engineering Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-354

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 76 License No. NPF-57

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment filed by the Public Service Electric & Gas Company (PSE&G) dated April 25, 1994, as supplemented July 24, 1995, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 is hereby amended to read as follows:
 - (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 76, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated into the license. PSE&G shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days. 3.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John/F. Stolz, Director Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: August 1, 1995

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-57

DOCKET NO. 50-354

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove	Insert
3/4 6-20	3/4 6-20
3/4 6-21	3/4 6-21
3/4 6-29	3/4 6-29
3/4 6-30	3/4 6-30
3/4 6-31	3/4 6-31
3/4 6-35	3/4 6-35
3/4 6-36	3/4 6-36
3/4 6-42	3/4 6-42

VALVE FUNCTION AND NUMBER	PENETRATION NUMBER	MAXIMUM ISOLATION TIME (Seconds)	NOTE (S)	P&ID
(c) MSIV Sealing System Isolation Valves				M-72-1
Outside:				
Line A HV-5834A (KP-V010)	P1A	45	1	
Line B HV-5835A (KP-V009)	P1B	45	1	
Line C HV-5836A (KP-V008)	P1C	45	1	
Line D HV-5837A (KP-V007)	P1D	45	1	
2. Group 2 - Reactor Recirculation Water Sample System				
(a) Reactor Recirculation Water Sample Line Isolation	on Valves			M-43-1
Inside: BB-SV-4310	P17	15	3	
Outside: BB-SV-4311	P17	15	3	
3. Group 3 - Residual Heat Removal (RHR) System				
(a) RHR Suppression Pool Cooling Water & System Test	•			
Isolation Valves				M-51-1
Outside:				
Loop A: HV-F024A (BC-V124)	P212B	180	11	
HV-F010A (BC-V125)	P212B	180	11	
Outside:	D0103	100	·	
Loop B: HV-F024B (BC-V028)	P212A	180	11	
HV-F010B (BC-V027)	P212A	180	11	
(b) RHR to Suppression Chamber Spray Header Isolatio	n Valves			M-51-1
Loop A: HV-F027A (BC-V112)	P214B	75	3	
Loop B: HV-F027B (BC-V015)	P214A	75	3	

VALVE FUNCTION AND NUMBER	PENETRATION <u>NUMBER</u>	MAXIMUM ISOLATION TIME (Seconds)	NOTE(S) P&ID
(c) RHR Shutdown Cooling Suction Isolation Valves			M-51-1
Inside: HV-F009 (BC-V071) Outside: HV-F008 (BC-V164)	P3 P3	45 45	3 3
(d) RHR Head Spray Isolation Valves			M-51-1
Inside: HV-F022 (BC-V021) Outside: HV-F023 (BC-V020)	P10 P10	60 60	3 3
(e) RHR Shutdown Cooling Return Isolation Val	ves		M-51-1
Outside: Loop A: HV-F015A (BC-V110) Loop B: HV-F015B (BC-V013)	P4B P4A	45 45	3 3
4. Group 4 - Core Spray System Outside:			
(a) Core Spray Test to Suppression Pool Isola	tion Valves		M-52-1
Loop A: HV-F015A (BE-V025) Loop B: HV-F015B (BE-V026)	P217B P217A	80 80	11 11
5. Group 5 - High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) Sy	rstem		
(a) HPCI Turbine Steam Supply Isolation Valve	s		M-55-1
Inside: HV-F002 (FD-V001) HV-F100 (FD-V051)	P7 P7	NA NA	3 3
Outside: HV-F003 (FD-V002)	P7	NA	3
(b) HPCI Pump Suction Isolation Valve			M-55-1
Outside: HV-F042 (BJ-V009)	P202	NA	11
HOPE CREEK 3/4 6-	21		Amendment No. 76

TABLE 3.6.3-1 (Continued) PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES

VALVE FUNCTION AND NU	MBER .	PENETRATION <u>NUMBER</u>	MAXIMUM ISOLATION TIME (Seconds)	NOTE (S)	P&ID
(b) DLD-RMS Re	eturn Isolation Valves				M-25-1
	(SK-V008) (SK-V009)	J5A J5A	45 45	3	
B. Remote Manual Isol	ation Valves				
1. Group 21 - Fee	edwater System				
(a) Feedwate	er Isolation Valves				M-41-1
HV-F032F	Check Valves 3 (AE-V001) A (AE-V005)	P2A P2B	NA NA	2 2	
Outside:	Water Cleanup System Return (AE-V021)	P2A&B	NA	2	M-44-1
2. Group 22 - Hig	gh Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI)	System			
Outside	ray Discharge Valve : (BJ-V001)	P5B	NA	3	M-55-1
Outside	Exhaust Valve (FD-V006)	P201	NA	4	M-55-1
Outside	nimum Return Line Valve : (BJ-V016)	P203	NA	11	M-55-1
Outside	er Line Discharge Valve : (BJ-V059)	P2B	NA	2	M-55-1
(a) RCIC Tu Outside	or Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) Systemine Exhaust Valve: (FC-V005) 3/4 6	P207	NA	4 Amendment	M-49-1 No. 76

VALVE FUNC	TION AND NUMBER	PENETRATION :	MAXIMUM ISOLATION TIME (Seconds)	NOTE (S)	<u>P&ID</u>	
	Outside:					
(b)	RCIC Pump Suction Isolation Valve HV-F031 (BD-V003)	P208	NA	11	M-49-1	
	Outside:	1200				1
(c)						
	SV-F019	P209	NA	11	M-49-1	١
	Outside:					(
(d)	RCIC Vacuum Pump Discharge	P210	NA	4	M-49-1	
1-1	HV-F060 (FC-V011)	P210	INA	-	14-43-T	
(e)	Feedwater Line Discharge Valve Outside:					
	Outside: HV-F013 (BD-V005)	P2A	NA	2	M-49-1	
4. Group	25 - Core Spray System					
(a)	Core Spray injection Valves		•		M-52-1	
(47)	Outside:					
	Loop A&C HV-F005A (BE-V007)	P5B	NA	3		
	Loop B&D HV-F005B (BE-V003)	P5A	NA	3		
(b)	Core Spray Suppression Pool Suction Valves Outside:				M-52-1	l
	Loop A HV-F001A (BE-V017)	P216D	NA	11		
	Loop B HV-F001B (BE-V019)	P216A	NA	11		
	Loop C HV-F001C (BE-V018)	P216C	NA	11		ļ
	Loop D HV-F001D (BE-V020)	P216B	NA	11		1,
(c)					M-52-1	,
	Outside:	D01.7D	373	11		
	Loop A&C HV-F031A (BE-V035)	P217B	NA NA	11 11		l
	Loop B&D HV-F031B (BE-V036)	P217A	NA	11		I
(d)	Core Spray Injection Line Bypass Valves Inside:				M-52-1	
	HV-F039A (BE-V071)	P5B	NA	3		
	HV-F039B (BE-V072)	P5A	NA	3		

VALVE FUNCTION AND NUMBER	PENETRATION <u>NUMBER</u>	MAXIMUM ISOLATION TIME (Seconds) NO	TE(S)	P&ID
5. Group 26 - Residual Heat Removal Sy	stem			
(a) Low Pressure Coolant Injection Outside:	Valves			M-51-1
Loop A: HV-F017A (BC-V113)	P6C	NA	3	
Loop B: HV-F017B (BC-V016)	P6B	NA	3	
Loop C: HV-F017C (BC-V101)	P6D	NA	3	
Loop D: HV-F017D (BC-V004)	P6A	NA	3	
(b) RHR Containment Spray Outside:	•			M-51-1
	P24B	NA	2	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3	
HV-F016A (BC-V115)	P24B P24A	NA NA	3	
Loop B: HV-F021B (BC-V019)			3 3	
HV-F016B (BC-V018)	P24A	NA	3	
(c) RHR Suppression Pool Suction Outside:				M-51-1
Loop A: HV-F004A (BC-V103)	P211C	NA	11	
Loop B: HV-F004B (BC-V006)	P211B	NA	11	
Loop C: HV-F004C (BC-V098)	P211D	NA	11	
Loop D: HV-F004D (BC-V001)	P211A		11	
(d) RHR Minimum Flow Isolation Va Outside:	lves			M-51-1
Loop A: HV-F007A (BC-V128)	P212B	NA	11	
Loop B: HV-F007B (BC-V031)	P212A	NA	11	
Loop C: HV-F007C (BC-V131)	P212B	NA	11	
Loop D: HV-F007D (BC-V034)	P212A	NA	11	

VALVE	FUNCTION AND NUMBER	PENETRATION <u>NUMBER</u>	MAXIMUM ISOLATION TIME (Seconds)	NOTE(S)	P&ID
5.	Group 35 - Breathing Air System				M-15-1
	Inside KG-V016 Outside KG-V034	P31 P31	NA NA	3 3	
6.	Group 36 - TIP Purge System Inside: Check Valve: SE-V006	P34F	NA	3	M-59-1
7.	Group 37 - HPCI System Outside:				
8.	HPCI Turbine Exhaust: FD-V004 Group 38 - RCIC System Outside:	P201	NA	4	M-55-1
	RCIC Turbine Exhaust: FC-V00 Vacuum Pump Discharge: FC-V01		NA NA	4 4	M-49-1 M-49-1
9.	Group 39 - RHR System (a) Thermal Relief Valves Outside: Loop A: BC-PSV-F025A	P212B	NA	5	M-51-1
	Loop B: BC-PSV-F025B Loop C: BC-PSV-F025C Loop D: BC-PSV-F025D	P212A P212B P212A	NA NA NA	5 5 5	
	(b) Jockey Pump Discharge Check Valves Outside:				M-51-1
	Loops A & C: (BC-V206) Loops B & D: (BC-V260)	P212B P212A	NA NA	11 11	
	(c) RHR Heat Exchanger Thermal Relief V. Outside:	alves			M-51-1
	BC-PSV-4431A BC-PSV-4431B	P213B P213A	NA NA	5 5	

				MAXIMUM		
			PENETRATION	ISOLATION TIME		
VALVE I	FUNCT	ION AND NUMBER	NUMBER	(Seconds)	NOTE(S)	P&ID
	(d)	RHR Shutdown Cooling Suction Thermal Relief Valve				M-51-1
		Inside:		***	3	
		BC-PSV-4425	Р3	NA	3	
	(e)					M-51-1
		Inside:	500	373	2	
		HV-F041A (BC-V114)	P6C	NA	3	
		HV-F041B (BC-V017)	P6B	NA	3	
		HV-F041C (BC-V102)	P6D	NA	3	
		HV-F041D (BC-V005)	P6A	NA	3	
	(f)					M-51-1
		Inside:	P4B	NA	2	
		HV-F050A (BC-V111)	P4B P4A	NA NA	3 3	
		HV-F050B (BC-V014)	P4A	IVA.	3	
	(g)	RHR Suppression Pool Return Valves Outside:		•		M-51-1
		HV-F011A (BC-V126)	P212B	NA	11	
		HV-F011B (BC-V026)	P212A	NA	11	
		NV-POLID (DC VOZO)				
10.	Grou	p 40 - Core Spray System				
	(a)	Thermal Relief Valves				M-52-1
		Outside:			•	
		Loop A&C: BE-PSV-F012A	P217B	NA	5	
		Loop B&D: BE-PSV-F012B	P217A	NA	5	
	(b)	Core Spray Injection Line Check Valves Inside:				M-52-1
	(1)	HV-F006A (BE-V006)	P5B	NA	3	
		HV-F006B (BE-V002)	P5A	NA	3	
		•				
11.	Grou	p 41 - Drywell Pressure Instrumentation Outside:				M-42-1
			J6A	NA	6	
		BB-V563	J8D	NA	6	
		BB-V564	J7A	NA.	6	
		BB-V565	J10D	NA NA	6	
		BB-V566	0.1.00	MA	•	

TABLE 3.6.3-1

PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES

. . .

NOTES

NOTATION

- 1. Main Steam Isolation Valves are sealed with a seal system that maintains a positive pressure of 5 psig above reactor pressure. Leakage is inleakage and is not added to 0.60 La allowable leakage.*
- 2. Containment Isolation Valves are sealed with a water seal from the HPCI and/or RCIC system to form the long-term seal boundary of the feedwater lines. The valves are tested with water at 1.10 Pa, 52.9 psig, to ensure the seal boundary will prevent by-pass leakage. Seal boundary liquid leakage will be limited to 10 gpm.
- 3. Containment Isolation Valve, Type C gas test at Pa, 48.1 psig. Leakage added to entire system leakage. Allowable leakage for entire system limited to 0.60La.
- 4. Containment Isolation Valve, Type C water test at 1.10 Pa, 52.9 psig delta P. Leakage added to entire system leakage. Allowable leakage for entire system limited to 10 gpm.
- 5. Containment boundary is discharge nozzle of relief valve, leakage tested during Type A test.*
- Drywell and suppression chamber pressure and level instrument root valves and excess flow check valves, leakage tested during Type A.*
- 7. Explosive shear valves (SE-V021 through SE-V025) not Type C tested.*
- 8. Surveillances to be performed per Specification 3.6.1.8.
- 9. All valve I.D. numbers are preceded by a numeral 1 which represents an Unit 1 valve.
- 10. The reactor vessel head seal leak detection line (penetration J5C) excess flow check valve (BB-XV-3649) is not subject to OPERABILITY testing. This valve will not be exposed to primary system pressure except under the unlikely conditions of a seal failure where it could be partially pressurized to reactor pressure. Any leakage path is restricted at the source; therefore, this valve need not be OPERABILITY tested.
- 11. Containment Isolation Valve(s) are not Type C tested. Containment bypass leakage is prevented since the line terminates below the minimum water level in the suppression chamber and the system is a closed system outside Primary Containment. Refer to Specification 4.0.5.

^{*}Exemption to Appendix J of 10 CFR Part 50.

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 76 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-57

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-354

1.0 INTRODUCTION

AN COLEAR REGULATO

In a submittal dated April 25, 1994, as supplemented July 24, 1995, the licensee for Hope Creek Generating Station requested an amendment to Technical Specifications (TSs) Table 3.6.3-1, "Primary Containment Isolation Valves." The licensee requested elimination of 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J, Type C leak rate testing for certain containment isolation valves (CIVs), which are located in lines that penetrate the primary containment and terminate below the minimum water level in the suppression pool, by deleting Note 4 and adding a new Note 11 for these valves. Note 4 requires Type C water test at 1.10 Pa, and leakage added to 10 gpm allowable leakage. Note 11 clarifies that Type C testing is not required for certain CIVs because containment bypass leakage is prevented since the line terminates below the minimum water level in the suppression pool and the system is a closed system outside primary containment. Note 11 also refers to existing ASME Section XI requirements in the TS (4.0.5) for performance of inservice testing.

The licensee indicated that this amendment request is not an exemption to 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J requirements. Appendix J, Type C testing is not appropriate for certain CIVs on lines which penetrate the suppression pool (i.e., torus) and terminate below the minimum water level. Inservice testing will be performed in accordance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel (ASME B&PV) Code, Section XI - Division 1, Article IWV-3000.

The licensee has proposed specific changes for the following valves:

- RHR Suppression Pool Cooling Water & Test Isolation Valves BC-V124,
 V125, V028 and V027, Penetrations P212B and P212A.
- Core Spray Test to Suppression Pool Isolation Valves BE-V025 and V026, Penetrations P217B and P217A.
- HPCI Pump Suction Isolation Valve BJ-V009, Penetration P202.
- HPCI Minimum Return Line Isolation Valve BJ-V016, Penetration P203.
- RCIC Pump Suction Isolation Valve BD-V003, Penetration P208.

- RCIC Minimum Return Line Isolation Valve SV-F019, Penetration P209.
- Core Spray Suppression Pool Suction Valves BE-V017, V019, V018 and V020, Penetrations P216D, P216A, P216C and P216B.
- Core Spray Minimum Flow Valves BE-V035 and BE-V036, Penetrations P217B and P217A.
- RHR Suppression Pool Suction Valves BC-V103, V006, V098, and V001, Penetrations P211C, P211B, P211D and P211A.
- RHR Minimum Flow Isolation Valves BC-V128, V031, V131, V034, Penetrations P212B and P212A.
- RHR System Jockey Pump Discharge Check Valves BC-V206 and V260, Penetrations P212B and P212A.
- RHR Suppression Pool Return Valves BC-V126 and V026, Penetrations P212B and P212A.

2.0 EVALUATION

The containment isolation valves for which Appendix J, Type C leak rate testing will not be performed are all on lines which penetrate the torus and terminate below the torus minimum water level. Since the torus is designed and operated to be filled with water during and following any postulated design basis accident (DBA), the CIVs will remain water sealed during these conditions. This prevents the primary reactor containment atmosphere from impinging on the CIVs and precludes its leakage out of containment post-accident.

The licensee indicated that the subject CIVs are located in systems for which the system and the associated piping are protected against missiles and pipe whip, are designed to seismic Category I requirements, and are classified as Quality Group B per Regulatory Guide 1.26 (RG 1.26). The systems and piping will not be adversely affected by single active failures.

The torus will remain filled with water for at least 30 days following the onset of an accident. The subject CIVs, therefore, do not constitute potential containment atmosphere leak paths, and as such are not required by Paragraph III.A.1.(d) of Appendix J to be Type C tested. Additionally, in accordance with Sections III.C.2 and III.C.3 of Appendix J, the CIVs need not be tested with air. Further, it is not necessary to test them with water, as the purpose of the water leak rate test is to assure a supply of sealing water for 30 days following an onset of an accident. As the torus is postulated to always remain filled with water, no leak rate test is necessary to satisfy Appendix J requirements. The CIVs will, however, continue to be tested pursuant to the applicable requirements of Section XI of the ASME B&PV Code.

For the above reasons, the staff finds the proposed testing of the CIVs in the above penetrations to be in compliance with the requirements of Appendix J.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New Jersey State Official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. By letter dated June 28, 1994, the New Jersey State Official provided the following comments.

PSE&G stated in their letter that "This request is based upon an exemption issued by the NRC on October 30, 1986 for Georgia Power Company's Hatch Nuclear Plant." However, the note on page 1 of Attachment 1 (to PSE&G's Amendment Application dated April 25 1994) says that this amendment request is not an exemption to 10CFR50 Appendix J, Type C Testing. They are proposing the substitution of ASME Section XI testing for the subject CIV's. Does it mean an exemption from Type C testing was issued to Hatch and no substitution with an appropriate ASME test was required? Also, shouldn't the details (CIVs and systems involved) of the exemption, issued to Hatch, be provided in the Justification Section of this amendment? The BNE (Bureau of Nuclear Engineering of the State of New Jersey) believes that an appropriate ASME code case could have been considered for Hatch.

The following answers were given to the state official by the staff in a telephone conference on June 21, 1995:

The above safety evaluation for this amendment states the valves listed in PSE&G's amendment application dated April 25, 1994, are in compliance with 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J and that no leak rate test is required to satisfy Appendix J requirements; and further, the safety evaluation states that the CIVs will, however, continue to be tested pursuant to the applicable requirements of Section XI of the ASME B&PV Code. The staff has agreed with the licensee that no exemption is required. Concerning the Hatch amendment, which Hope Creek used as an example in preparing their amendment application, the staff stated that although Hatch's application was for exemptions to CIVs and closed systems inside containment, the staff found some of the valves that were on lines which penetrated the torus and terminated below the torus minimum level were in compliance with Appendix J, needing no Type C test nor exemption.

The state official had no further comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (59 FR 29632). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: R. Goel

D. Moran

Date: August 1, 1995