October 31, 5

Mr. Leon R. Eliason
Chief Nuclear Officer & President-
Nuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric & Gas
Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M91576)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 87 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 for the Hope Creek Generating Station. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated November 23, 1994. Supplemental information was submitted by letter dated August 31, 1995.

The change to the TSs revise TS 4.8.2.1, "Electrical Power Systems - D.C. Sources," Surveillance Requirements, and associated Bases Section 3/4.8.2.

A copy of our safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

/S/

David H. Jaffe, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9511060093 951031
PDR ADOCK 05000354
P PDR

Docket No. 50-354

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 87 to License No. NPF-57
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	JStolz	GHill(2)	RJenkins
PUBLIC	MO'Brien	CGrimes	JWhite, RGN-I
PDI-2 Reading	DJaffe	ACRS(1)	JZimmerman
SVarga	OGC		
JZwolinski			

OFC	:PDI-2/LA	:PDI-2/PM	:PDI-2/PM:OGC	:PDI-2/D
NAME	:MO'Brien	:JZimmerman:mw:DJaffe	:	:JStolz
DATE	: / /95	: / /95	:10/16/95: 10/30/95:	10/30/95

LGB for 10/12/95 MWB 10/16/95

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY FILENAME: HCM91576.AMD

030074

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 31, 1995

Mr. Leon R. Eliason
Chief Nuclear Officer & President-
Nuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric & Gas
Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M91576)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 87 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 for the Hope Creek Generating Station. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated November 23, 1994. Supplemental information was submitted by letter dated August 31, 1995.

The change to the TSs revise TS 4.8.2.1, "Electrical Power Systems - D.C. Sources," Surveillance Requirements, and associated Bases Section 3/4.8.2.

A copy of our safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

David H. Jaffe, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-354

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 87 to License No. NPF-57
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. Leon R. Eliason
Public Service Electric & Gas
Company

Hope Creek Generating Station

cc:

M. J. Wetterhahn, Esquire
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3502

R. Fryling, Jr., Esquire
Law Department - Tower 5E
80 Park Place
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Hope Creek Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Drawer 0509
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. J. Hagan
Vice President - Nuclear Operations
Nuclear Department
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. M. Reddemann
General Manager - Hope Creek Operations
Hope Creek Generating Station
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Frank X. Thomson, Jr., Manager
Licensing and Regulation
Nuclear Department
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director
Radiation Protection Programs
NJ Department of Environmental
Protection and Energy
CN 415
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0415

Ms. P. J. Curham
MGR. Joint Generation Department
Atlantic Electric Company
Post Office Box 1500
6801 Black Horse Pike
Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Richard Hartung
Electric Service Evaluation
Board of Regulatory Commissioners
2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Lower Alloways Creek Township
c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk
Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. S. LaBruna
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering -
Nuclear Department
P.O. Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-354

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 87
License No. NPF-57

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:

   A. The application for amendment filed by the Public Service Electric & Gas Company (PSE&G) dated November 23, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 31, 1995, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

   B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;

   C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;

   D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and

   E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-57 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9511060100 951031
PDR ADOCK 05000354
P PDR

(2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 87 , and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated into the license. PSE&G shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. The license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days from the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: October 31, 1995

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 87

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-57

DOCKET NO. 50-354

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the area of change.

Remove	Insert
3/4 8-13	3/4 8-13
3/4 8-14	3/4 8-14
3/4 8-15	3/4 8-15
3/4 8-16	3/4 8-16
B 3/4 8-2	B 3/4 8-2

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.8.2.1 Each of the above required batteries and chargers shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 7 days by verifying that:

1. The parameters in Table 4.8.2.1-1 meet the Category A limits, and

2. Total battery terminal voltage for each 125-volt battery is greater than or equal to 129 volts on float charge and for each 250-volt battery the terminal voltage is greater than or equal to 258 volts on float charge.

b. At least once per 92 days and within 7 days after a battery discharge with battery terminal voltage below 108 volts for a 125-volt battery or 210 volts for a 250-volt battery, or battery overcharge with battery terminal voltage above 140 volts for a 125-volt battery or 280 volts for a 250-volt battery, by verifying that:

1. The parameters in Table 4.8.2.1-1 meet the Category B limits,

2. There is no visible corrosion at either terminals or connectors, or the connection resistance of these items is less than 150×10^{-6} ohms, excluding cable intercell connections, and

3. The average electrolyte temperature of each sixth cell of connected cells is above 60°F.

c. At least once per 18 months by verifying that:

1. The cells, cell plates and battery racks show no visual indication of physical damage or abnormal deterioration,

2. The cell-to-cell and terminal connections are clean, tight, free of corrosion and coated with anti-corrosion material,

3. The resistance of each cell-to-cell and terminal connection is less than or equal to 150×10^{-6} ohms, excluding cable intercell connections, and

4. The battery charger will supply the current listed below at the voltage listed below for at least 8 hours.

CHARGER	Minimum Voltage	CURRENT (AMPERES)
1AD413, 1AD414	129	200
1BD413, 1BD414		
1CD413, 1CD414		
1CD444, 1DD414		
1DD444, 1DD413		
10D423, 10D433	258	50

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

d. At least once per 18 months, during shutdown, by verifying that the battery capacity is adequate to supply and maintain in OPERABLE status all of the actual or simulated emergency loads for the design duty cycle when the battery is subjected to a battery service test.

e. At least once per 60 months, during shutdown, by verifying that the battery capacity is at least 80% of the manufacturer's rating when subjected to a performance discharge test. At this once per 60 month interval, this performance discharge test may be performed in lieu of the battery service test.

f. At least once per 18 months, during shutdown, performance discharge tests of battery capacity shall be given to any battery that shows signs of degradation or has reached 85% of the service life expected for the application. Degradation is indicated when the battery capacity drops more than 10% of rated capacity from its average on previous performance tests, or is below 90% of the manufacturer's rating. At this once per 18 months interval, this performance discharge test may be performed in lieu of the battery service test.

TABLE 4.8.2.1-1

BATTERY SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

PARAMETER	CATEGORY A: (*) LIMITS FOR EACH DESIGNATED PILOT CELL	CATEGORY B: (*) LIMITS FOR EACH CONNECTED CELL	CATEGORY C: (#) ALLOWABLE VALUE FOR EACH CONNECTED CELL
Electrolyte Level	≥Minimum level indication mark and <¼" above maximum level indication mark[d]	≥Minimum level indication mark and ≤¼" above maximum level indication mark[d]	Above top of plates and not overflowing
Float Voltage	≥2.13 volts	≥2.13 volts[c]	>2.07 volts
Specific Gravity[a]	≥1.200[b]	≥1.195 AND Average of all connected cells >1.205[b]	Not more than .020 below the average of all connected cells AND Average of all connected cells ≥1.195[b]

(*) With parameters of one or more cells in one or more batteries not within limits (i.e., Category A, Category B or Category A and B limits not met), the battery may be considered OPERABLE provided that:

1. Within 1 hour, pilot cell electrolyte levels and float voltages are verified to meet Category C Allowable Values, AND

2. Within 24 hours, and once per 7 days thereafter, all battery cell parameters meet Category C Allowable Values, AND

3. Within 31 days, all battery cell parameters are restored to within Category A and Category B limits of this Table.

(#) Any Category C parameter not within its Allowable Value indicates an inoperable battery.

(a) Corrected for electrolyte temperature and level.

(b) OR battery charging current is less than 2 amperes when on float charge.

(c) May be corrected for average electrolyte temperature.

(d) Electrolyte level may exceed ¼" above maximum level indication mark if an equalizing charge is in progress, or an equalizing charge has been completed within the previous 72 hours.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

A.C. SOURCES, D.C. SOURCES and ONSITE POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS (Continued)

The surveillance requirements for demonstrating the OPERABILITY of the unit batteries are in accordance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.129 "Maintenance Testing and Replacement of Large Lead Storage Batteries for Nuclear Power Plants", February 1978 and IEEE Std 450-1980, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Maintenance, Testing, and Replacement of Large Lead Storage Batteries for Generating Stations and Substations."

Verifying average electrolyte temperature above the minimum for which the battery was sized, total battery terminal voltage on float charge, connection resistance values and the performance of battery service and discharge tests ensures the effectiveness of the charging system, the ability to handle high discharge rates and compares the battery capacity at that time with the rated capacity.

Table 4.8.2.1-1 specifies the normal limits for each designated pilot cell and each connected cell for electrolyte level, float voltage and specific gravity. The limits for the designated pilot cells float voltage and specific gravity, greater than 2.13 volts and .015 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity or a battery charger current that had stabilized at a low value, is characteristic of a charged cell with adequate capacity. The normal limits for each connected cell for float voltage and specific gravity, greater than 2.13 volts and not more than .020 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity with an average specific gravity of all the connected cells not more than .010 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity, ensures the OPERABILITY and capability of the battery.

Operation with a battery cell's parameter outside the normal limit but within the allowable value specified in Table 4.8.2.1-1 is permitted for up to 31 days. During this 31 day period: (1) the allowable values for electrolyte level ensures no physical damage to the plates with an adequate electron transfer capability; (2) the allowable value for the average specific gravity of all the cells, not more than .020 below the manufacturer's recommended full charge specific gravity ensures that the decrease in rating will be less than the safety margin provided in sizing; (3) the allowable value for an individual cell's specific gravity, ensures that an individual cell's specific gravity will not be more than .040 below the manufacturer's full charge specific gravity and that the overall capability of the battery will be maintained within an acceptable limit; (4) the allowable value for an individual cell's float voltage, greater than 2.07 volts, ensures the battery's capability to perform its design function; (5) the TABLE 4.8.2.1-1 NOTATION 31 day ACTION time was derived taking into consideration that while battery capacity is degraded, sufficient capacity exists to perform the intended function while providing a time period adequate to permit full restoration of the battery cell parameters to normal limits.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 87 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-57

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-354

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 23, 1994, and supplemented by letter dated August 31, 1995, Public Service Electric and Gas Company (the licensee) requested an amendment to the Technical Specifications (TS) for the Hope Creek Generating Station. The changes would: (1) revise TS Table 4.8.2.1-1 to agree more closely with the improved BWR/4 Standard Technical Specifications (STS) format, Action Statements, and Bases, (2) increase the minimum battery terminal voltage from 105 to 108 volts in TS Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.8.2.1.b, (3) delete the asterisk (*) footnote at SR 4.8.2.1.c.4, and (4) modify SR 4.8.2.1.d to remove the load profile table from the TS and to reflect the use of simulated emergency load profiles. The August 31, 1995, letter provided additional and clarifying information that did not change the scope of the November 23, 1994, application and the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

2.0 EVALUATION

The staff's evaluation of the licensee's proposed changes to the TS follows.

2.1 Proposed Change to TS SR 4.8.2.1.b

The licensee proposed to change TS Section 4.8.2.1.b from "At least once per 92 days and within 7 days after a battery discharge with battery terminal voltage below 105 [emphasis added] volts..." to read, "At least once per 92 days and within 7 days after a battery discharge with battery terminal voltage below 108 [emphasis added] volts..."

Staff Evaluation

The licensee proposed to change SR 4.8.2.1.b in order to increase the minimum battery terminal voltage from 105 to 108 volts. During the Hope Creek Electrical Distribution System Functional Inspection (EDFSI), a review of the plant D.C. systems voltage drop studies revealed that at the end of the 4-hour duty cycle for the Class-1E batteries, the minimum specified voltage values at the battery terminals of the 125-VDC

9511060109 951031
PDR ADOCK 05000354
P PDR

and 250-VDC systems, 105-VDC and 210-VDC respectively, may not be adequate to support certain DC loads.

A new licensee voltage drop study E-1.4(Q), Revision 3, "Hope Creek 125V & 250V Class 1E DC System Short Circuit and Voltage Drop Studies", was performed using more precise load current values. The results of this study show that the minimum acceptable battery terminal voltage for the 125-VDC system will need to be raised from the existing level of 105 VDC to 108 VDC in order to support proper operation of the connected loads. Since the subject study determined that the existing minimum battery terminal voltage for the 250-VDC system of 210-VDC was adequate, no revision is necessary. The NRC staff agrees with the new voltage drop study.

On the basis of the new voltage drop study, the staff finds that the proposed TS change is an improvement over the existing TS, in that increasing the terminal voltage to 108 volts is more conservative, and is therefore acceptable.

2.2 Proposed Change to TS Section 4.8.2.1.c

The licensee proposed to remove the asterisk (*) at the end of SR 4.8.2.1.c.4 and its corresponding (*) footnote which currently reads: "Prior to startup following the first refueling outage, this test may be performed for at least 4 hours."

Staff Evaluation

The licensee proposed the elimination of the (*) footnote for SR 4.8.2.1.c.4 since it is no longer applicable for the Hope Creek Generating Station. The NRC staff agrees that the footnote is no longer needed.

On the basis of its review of the above information, the staff finds that the proposed TS change is acceptable in that the footnote is no longer needed.

2.3 Proposed Changes to SRs 4.8.2.1.d, 4.8.2.1.e and 4.8.2.1.f

At present, SR 4.8.2.1.d.1 reads as follows:

d. At least once per 18 months, during shutdown, by verifying that either:

1. The battery capacity is adequate to supply and maintain in OPERABLE status all of the actual emergency loads for the design duty cycle when the battery is subjected to a battery service test.

The amended TS requirement would read:

d. At least once per 18 months, during shutdown, by verifying that the battery capacity is adequate to supply and maintain in OPERABLE status all of the actual or simulated emergency loads for the design duty cycle when the battery is subjected to a battery service test.

The licensee proposed to delete SR 4.8.2.1.d.2 (an alternate means to verify SR 4.8.2.1.d), which describes the design load profile to be supplied while maintaining the minimum battery terminal voltage of the 125-volt and 250-volt battery systems.

The licensee also proposed to move requirements 4.8.2.1.e and 4.8.2.1.f from page 3/4 8-15 to page 3/4 8-14.

Staff Evaluation

The licensee proposed to revise SR 4.8.2.1.d.1 in order to permit the utilization of simulated emergency loads as well as actual emergency loads, which are now specified in the present surveillance requirement. The use of simulated loads to demonstrate the operability of the battery is consistent with industry practices and meets the intent of the TS surveillance requirement. Therefore, the staff finds that the TS change is acceptable in that use of the simulated loads provides a test that is as vigorous as use of actual loads.

The licensee proposed to remove the design load profile associated with SR 4.8.2.1.d.2 in accordance with the staff guidance contained in Generic Letter 91-08 since the load profile values, based on the latest battery sizing calculations, are located in the Hope Creek Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) and the station surveillance testing procedures. In addition, surveillance requirements 4.8.2.1.e and 4.8.2.1.f are relocated for administrative purposes and are acceptable in that it does not change the TS requirements.

On the basis of its review, the staff finds that the proposed TS change is acceptable in that location of the load profile in the UFSAR, alone, provides adequate regulatory control under 10 CFR 50.59 to address future changes to this requirement.

2.4 Proposed Changes to TS Table 4.8.2.1-1

The licensee proposed to change TS Table 4.8.2.1-1, which at present, depicted as shown below:

TABLE 4.8.2.1-1
BATTERY SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

Parameter	CATEGORY A[1] Limits for each designated pilot cell	CATEGORY B[2] Limits for each connected cell	Allowable[3] value for each connected cell
Electrolyte Level	≥ Minimum level indication mark, and < ¼" above maximum level indication mark(c)	≥ Minimum level indication mark, and ≤ ¼" above maximum level indication mark (d)	Above top of plates, and not overflowing
Float Voltage	≥ 2.13 volts	≥ 2.13 volts[c]	> 2.07 volts
		≥ 1.195	Not more than .020 below the average of all connected cells
Specific Gravity[a]	≥ 1.200[b]	Average of all connected cells > 1.205	Average of all connected cells ≥ 1.195[b]

[a]Corrected for electrolyte temperature and level.

[b]Or battery charging current is less than 2 amperes when on float charge.

[c]May be corrected for average electrolyte temperature.

[d]Electrolyte level may exceed ¼" above maximum level indication mark if an equalizing charge is in progress or an equalizing charge has been completed within the previous 72 hours.

[1]For any Category A parameter(s) outside the limit(s) shown, the battery may be considered OPERABLE provided that within 24 hours all the Category B measurements are taken and found to be within their allowable values, and provided all Category A and B parameter(s) are restored to within limits within the next 6 days.

[2]For any Category B parameter(s) outside the limit(s) shown, the battery may be considered OPERABLE provided that the Category B parameters are within their allowable values and provided the Category B parameter(s) are restored to within limits within 7 days.

[3]Any Category B parameter not within its allowable value indicates an inoperable battery.

The amended Table 4.8.2.1-1 is to be moved up to page 3/4 8-15, leaving page 3/4 8-16 intentionally blank and is depicted as shown below:

TABLE 4.8.2.1-1

BATTERY SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

PARAMETER	CATEGORY A:(*) LIMITS FOR EACH DESIGNATED PILOT CELL	CATEGORY B:(*) LIMITS FOR EACH CONNECTED CELL	CATEGORY C:(#) ALLOWABLE VALUE FOR EACH CONNECTED CELL
Electrolyte Level	≥ Minimum level indication mark and < ¼" above maximum level indication mark(d)	≥ Minimum level indication mark and ≤ ¼" above maximum level indication mark(d)	Above top of plates and not overflowing
Float Voltage	≥ 2.13 volts	≥ 2.13 volts(c)	> 2.07 volts
Specific Gravity(a)	≥ 1.200(b)	≥ 1.195 AND Average of all connected cells >1.205(b)	Not more than .020 below the average of all connected cells AND Average of all connected cells ≥ 1.195(b)

(*) With parameters of one or more cells in one or more batteries not within limits (i.e., Category A, Category B or Category A and B limits not met), the battery may be considerable OPERABLE provided that:

1. Within 1 hour, pilot cell electrolyte levels and float voltages are verified to meet Category C Allowable Values, AND
2. Within 24 hours, and once per 7 days thereafter, all battery cell parameters meet Category C Allowable Values, AND
3. Within 31 days, all battery cell parameters are restored to within Category A and Category B limits of this Table.

(#) Any Category C parameter not within its Allowable Value indicates an inoperable battery.

(a) Corrected for electrolyte temperature and level.

(b) or battery charging current is less than 2 amperes when on float charge.

(c) May be corrected for average electrolyte temperature.

(d) Electrolyte level may exceed ¼" above maximum level indication mark if an equalizing charge is in progress, or an equalizing charge has been completed within the previous 72 hours.

Staff Evaluation

The licensee proposed to revise TS Table 4.8.2.1-1 to agree with the BWR/4 ISTS format, ACTIONS and BASES. Specifically, the subject Table has been revised to incorporate CATEGORY C and to change the time periods necessary to determine the operability of safety-related batteries (i.e., when finding one or more cells of a battery outside the CATEGORY A and B limits, requiring a pilot cell verification within 1 hour and permitting 31 days for restoration of battery cell parameters to within the CATEGORY A and/or B limits). This change takes into consideration that, while the battery is degraded, sufficient capacity exists to perform the intended function, and the revised time periods permit sufficient time to fully restore the cell parameters to normal limits. In addition, the licensee stated that the subject batteries were evaluated using a 25 percent additional capacity margin for aging compensation and possess a 5 to 10 percent design margin for load growth and/or less than optimum operating conditions.

Given that the proposed Table 4.8.2.1-1 format agrees with the applicable text of BWR/4 STS and design margin exists to mitigate any short-term degradation in the battery parameters, the NRC staff concludes that the revised TS Table 4.8.2.1-1 is acceptable in that it provides at least an equivalent level of battery surveillance.

2.5 Proposed Changes to TS BASES, A.C. SOURCES, D.C. SOURCES and ONSITE POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS

The licensee proposed to change TS BASES, A.C. SOURCES, D.C. SOURCES and ONSITE POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS. At present, on page B 3/4 8-2, TS BASES reads as follows: "Operation with a battery cell's parameter outside the normal limit but within the allowable value specified in Table 4.8.2.1-1 is permitted for up to 7 [emphasis added] days. During this 7 [emphasis added] day period..."

The amended TS would read: "Operation with a battery cell's parameter outside the normal limit but within the allowable value specified in Table 4.8.2.1-1 is permitted for up to 31 [emphasis added] days. During this 31 [emphasis added] day period..."

The licensee also proposed to delete the word "and" before "(4) the allowable value...," and insert the following text at the end of the last paragraph on page B 3/4 8-2:

> ; (5) the TABLE 4.8.2.1-1 NOTATION 31 day ACTION time was derived taking into consideration that while battery capacity is degraded, sufficient capacity exists to perform the intended function while providing a time period adequate to permit full restoration of the battery cell parameters to normal limits.

Staff Evaluation

The licensee proposed the changes in the TS BASES in order to be consistent with and to support the changes in TS Table 4.8.2.1-1.

The NRC staff agrees with the licensee's proposed TS Bases in that they reflect the requirements in the associated TS.

3.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

By letter dated November 23, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 31, 1995, the licensee requested changes to the Hope Creek Generating Station TS. The proposed changes would: (1) revise TS Table 4.8.2.1-1 to agree more closely with the improved BWR/4 STS format, Action Statements, and Bases, (2) increase the minimum battery terminal voltage from 105 to 108 volts in TS Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.8.2.1.b, (3) delete the asterisk (*) footnote at SR 4.8.2.1.c.4, and (4) modify SR 4.8.2.1.d to remove the load profile table from the TS and to reflect the use of simulated emergency load profiles.

After a preliminary review of the above TS changes, the staff identified a concern regarding certain differences between the licensee's submittal and the applicable Improved Standard Technical Specifications (ISTS) section for TS Table 4.8.2.1-1. These differences pertained to 1) a typographic error which failed to specify electrolyte level for the one hour verification of Category C Allowable values and 2) an omission of a required action to repeat every 7 days that the battery meet Category C limits. By letter dated August 31, 1995, the licensee submitted corrections which resolved the above differences with the ISTS format.

On the basis of its review, the staff finds the amended TS is an improvement over the existing TS and, therefore, approves the requested changes for the Hope Creek Generating Station.

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New Jersey State Official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes the surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluent that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued proposed findings that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such findings (60 FR

39449). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

6.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: R. Jenkins

Date: October 31, 1995