November 19, 1993

Docket No. 50-354

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Dear Mr. Miltenberger:

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT. SUBJECT: AQUATIC MONITORING IN ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN, HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M87064)

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to a request for approval of a license amendment to address the removal of Pages 4-1a and 4-1b from Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," in the Environmental Protection Plan. By letter dated July 7, 1993, you submitted a request to delete the monitoring requirement in Appendix B of the facility operating license as a result of no sea turtle takes documented at the site since operation began in February 1986.

The notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

James C. Stone, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated cc w/enclosure: See next page

9312030276 931119 PDR ADOCK 05000354

PDR

DICTDIDUTION

Docket	t File Local Rdg.	-	SVarga JCalvo LNicholson	MO'Brien JStone OGC	EJordan, ACRS(10) OPA	3701	EWenzinger, JWhite, RI JZimmerman	RI
OFC	:PDI-	2/LA	:PDI-2/PE	:PDI-2/PM	:OGC A	:PDI-2/5	/:	
NAME	:MO'B	fien	:JZimmerman	:JStone:t1	c:Al Freensin	: LNichpis	6n:	
DATE	:11/9	/93	:11/9/93	:11 / 9 /93	:11/17/93	· [1] 19/9	3:	
						/		

NRC FILE CENTER COPY



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 19. 1993

Docket No. 50-354

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Dear Mr. Miltenberger:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT, AQUATIC MONITORING IN ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PLAN, HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION (TAC NO. M87064)

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to a request for approval of a license amendment to address the removal of Pages 4-1a and 4-1b from Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," in the Environmental Protection Plan. By letter dated July 7, 1993, you submitted a request to delete the monitoring requirement in Appendix B of the facility operating license as a result of no sea turtle takes documented at the site since operation began in February 1986.

The notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

humes G. Stor

James C. Stone, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Public Service Electric & Gas Company Hope Creek Generating Station

cc:

M. J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3502

R. Fryling, Jr., Esquire Law Department - Tower 5E 80 Park Place Newark, New Jersey 07101

Hope Creek Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer I Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. J. Hagan Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. R. Hovey General Manager - Hope Creek Operations Hope Creek Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Frank X. Thomson, Jr., Manager Licensing and Regulation Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection and Energy CN 415 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0415 Mr. J. A. Isabella MGR. - Generation Department Atlantic Electric Company Post Office Box 1500 1199 Black Horse Pike Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232

Richard Hartung Electric Service Evaluation Board of Regulatory Commissioners 2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. S. LaBruna Vice President - Nuclear Engineering Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 50-354 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT_IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-57, issued to Public Service Electric and Gas Company, et al. (PSE&G or the licensee) for Hope Creek Generating Station, located in Salem County, New Jersey. <u>ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT</u>

Identification of the Proposed Action:

312030280

By letter dated July 7, 1993 (NLR-N93095), PSE&G requested a license amendment to reflect changes to Appendix B of the Facility Operating License NFP-57. The request concerned removal of Pages 4-1a and 4-1b from Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," in the Environmental Protection Plan (EPP).

Under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, a Section 7 consultation was reopened to evaluate the occurrence of impinged sea turtles at the Salem Nuclear Generating Station main circulating water intake structure. On January 2, 1991, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) issued a biological opinion which included an incidental take statement (ITS) that specified measures that PSE&G should take to facilitate observance and rescue of impinged sea turtles at the intake structures of both Salem and

7590-01

Hope Creek. PSE&G incorporated these measures into the EPP as requested by the NRC staff. Because of a large number of sea turtles impinged at the Salem intake structure during the summer of 1991, a revised biological opinion was issued by NMFS on August 4, 1992. During the summer of 1992, the fatal take limit of one Kemp's ridley was exceeded at the Salem intake structure. NMFS reinitiated the Section 7 consultation and on May 14, 1993, the biological opinion was again revised and issued. Because there has been no documented takes of sea turtles at the Hope Creek intake structure, the revised ITS in the May 14, 1993 biological opinion, granted relief to Hope Creek from the monitoring requirement contained in the previous biological opinions.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

`,

The proposed changes to Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," of the Environmental Protection Plan is required in order to provide the licensee relief of the previously imposed monitoring requirements, as they are not necessary.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revision to the Environmental Protection Plan and concludes that the changes are consistent with the recommendations made by NMFS in the May 14, 1993, revised biological opinion. The Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact because the change only affects monitoring for sea turtles.

The proposed amendment will not increase the probability or consequences of any reactor accidents because the change does not affect

- 2 -

the operation or performance of any equipment assumed in the accident analyses. With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed change to the Environmental Protection Plan involves deletion of surveillance requirements of systems located within the restricted area, as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

<u>Alternative to the Proposed Action:</u>

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated. The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendment. This would not reduce environmental impacts of plant operation and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

<u>Alternative Use of Resources:</u>

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statements for the Hope Creek Generating Station (NUREG-1074), dated December 1984.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and consulted the State of New Jersey regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. <u>FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</u>

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendment.

- 3 -

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated July 7, 1993. This letter is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, Lower Level, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Pennsville Public Library, 190 S. Broadway, Pennsville, New Jersey 08070.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19thday of November

1993.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

E. Mel

Larry Æ. Nicholson, Acting Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation