June 13, 1985

Docket No. 50-311

Mr. C. A. McNeill, Jr. Vice President - Nuclear Public Service Electric and Gas Company Post Office Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Dear Mr. McNeill:

| | | _ |
|--------------|-------------|---|
| DISTRIBUTION | | |
| Bogekt File | NRC PDR | |
| L PDR | ORB#1 Rdg | |
| HThompson | DMuller | |
| OELD | EJordan | |
| BGrimes | JPartlow | |
| DFischer | CParrish | |
| ACRS 10 | SECY | |
| LHarmon | TBarnhart 4 | • |
| WJones | EButcher | |
| CMiles | RDiggs | |
| RBallard | Gray File 4 | ŀ |

Docket Fil

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 38 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-75 for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit No. 2. This amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your request dated October 15, 1984.

The amendment revises the sodium hydroxide test flow value for Unit 2 to agree with the value for Unit 1.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's next regular monthly <u>Federal</u> Register notice.

Sincerely, /s/DFischer

Donald Fischer, Project Manager Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Licensing

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 38 to DPR-75 2. Safety Evaluation

cc: w/enclosures
See next page



Mr. C. A. McNeill Public Service Electric & Gas Company

cc: Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Conner and Wetterhahn Suite 1050 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

> Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire Assistant General Solicitor Public Service Electric & Gas Company P. O. Box 570 - Mail Code T5E Newark, New Jersey 07101

Gene Fisher, Bureau of Chief Bureau of Radiation Protection 380 Scotch Road Trenton, New Jersey 08628

Mr. John M. Zupko, Jr. General Manager - Salem Operations Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box E Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Robert Traae, Mayor Lower Alloways Creek Township Municipal Hall Hancocks Bridge New Jersey 08038

Thomas Kenny, Resident Inspector Salem Nuclear Generating Station U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer I Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Richard F. Engel Deputy Attorney General Department of Law and Public Safety CN-112 State House Annex Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Mr. Anthonly J. Pietrofitta General Manager Power Production Engineering Atlantic Electric 1199 Black Horse Pike Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232 Salem Nuclear Generating Station

Richard B. McGlynn, Commission Department of Public Utilities State of New Jersey 101 Commerce Street Newark, New Jersey 07102

Mr. R. L. Mittl, General Manager Nuclear Assurance and Regulation Public Service Electric & Gas Co. Mail Code T16D - P. O. Box 570 Newark, New Jersey 07101

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Edwin A. Liden, Manager Nuclear Licensing & Regulation Public Service Electric & Gas Company Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Charles P. Johnson General Manager Nuclear Ouality Assurance Public Service Electric & Gas Company Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. David Wersan Assistant Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Frank Casolito, Action Chief Bureau of Radiation Protection Department of Environmental Protection 380 Scotch Road Trenton, New Jersey 08628



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-311

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 38 License No. DPR-75

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Philadelphia Electric Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company and Atlantic City Electric Company (the licensees) dated October 15, 1984, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common . defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-75 is hereby amended to read as follows:

8507010315 850613 PDR ADDCK 05000311 P PDR (2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 38, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

YA NO 01101

Steven A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Licensing

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: June 13, 1985

- 2 -

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 38

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-75

DOCKET NO. 50-311

Revise Appendix A as follows:

| Remove Page | Insert Page |
|-------------|-------------|
| 3/4 6-11 | 3/4 6-11 |

CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

SPRAY ADDITIVE SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.6.2.2 The spray additive system shall be OPERABLE with:

- A spray additive tank containing a volume of between 2568 and 4000 gallons of between 30 and 32 percent by weight NaOH solution, and
- b. Two spray additive eductors each capable of adding NaOH solution from the chemical additive tank to a containment spray system pump flow.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3 and 4.

ACTION:

With the spray additive system inoperable, restore the system to OPERABLE status within 72 hours or be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours; restore the spray additive system to OPERABLE status within the next 48 hours or be in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.6.2.2 The spray additive system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by verifying that each valve (manual, power operated or automatic) in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in its correct position.
- b. At least once per 6 months by:
 - 1. Verifying the solution level in the tank, and
 - Verifying the concentration of the NaOH solution by chemical analysis.
- c. At least once per 18 months during shutdown, by verifying that each automatic valve in the flow path actuates to its correct position on a Containment High-High pressure test signal.
- d. At least once per 5 years by verifying a NaOH solution flow rate of 12.0 ± 3.0 gpm from the spray additive tank through sample value 2CS61 with the spray additive tank at 2.5 \pm 0.5 psig.

Amendment No. 38



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 38 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-75

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, AND ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATION STATION, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-311

Introduction

On October 15, 1984, Public Service Electric and Gas Company submitted an amendment request to revise Unit 2 Technical Specification requirement 4.6.2.2.d to read "at least once per 5 years by verifying a sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution flow rate of 12 ± 3 gpm from the spray additive tank through sample valve 2CS61 with the spray additive tank at 2.5 \pm 0.5 psig."

Presently, a flow of 7.3 ± 0.7 gpm is specified.

Evaluation and Summary

During testing in late 1980, a flow value of 11.9 gpm was recorded for Unit 1 and 12.5 gpm for Unit 2. These values exceeded the values of 7.3 \pm 0.7 gpm specified in the Technical Specification for each Unit. Since the intent of the test is to verify that there is no flow obstruction between the tank and the sample valve, the "as found" results were evaluated by PSE&G and found to be satisfactory. The PSE&G review is documented in Safety Evaluation SGS/M-SE-074 Rev. 1.

The Unit 1 Technical Specification was changed to 12 ± 3 gpm through Amendment No. 29. The Unit 2 Technical Specifications were at that time in draft form. This item, along with many others, was discussed at meetings with the staff to finalize the Unit 2 Technical Specifications, but was not implemented with the original issue of the Unit 2 Technical Specifications. Amendment No. 29 for Unit 1 concluded that the technical specification change was acceptable because the design objectives for early and subsequent pH from the NaOH addition to the containment spray system would still be met. Thus, with the change in flow rate the NaOH will be more capable of scrubbing elemental iodine than assessed in the SER during both the early and late phases of an accident involving the release of such fission products. Based on the above, the staff concludes that the specifications change is acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents

8507010318 850613 PDR ADOCK 05000311 PDR

that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: June 13, 1985

Principal Contributor:

D. Fischer