Mr. Leon R. Eliason Chief Nuclear Officer & President-Nuclear Business Unit Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M96938 SUBJECT: AND M96939)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos.188 and 171 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated October 24, 1996, as supplemented December 23, 1996.

These amendments revise TS 3/4.7.1.2, "Auxiliary Feedwater System," to change the 18-month surveillances performed on the system's pumps and valves because testing of the turbine driven Auxiliary Feedwater pump can only be performed in higher modes when sufficient secondary steam pressure exists.

A copy of our safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

> Sincerely, original signed by Leonard N. Olshan, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-272/311

Enclosures:	1.	Amendment No. 188 to	
		License No. DPR-70	
	2.	Amendment No. 171 to	
		License No. DPR-75	
	3.	Safety Evaluation	

cc w/encls: See next page

#### DISTRIBUTION

Docket File PUBLIC PDI-2 Reading SVarga

JStolz MO'Brien LO1shan

GHi11(4) TMarsh CGrimes ACRS

LNicholson, RGN-I

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DFOI

#### **\*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE**

PDI-2 Reading L( SVarga O(		LOIshan OGC	CGrimes ACRS	CRS	
	*SEE P	REVIOUS CONCUR	RENCE	Cation	
OFFICE	PD1-21	LA PDI-2/I	PM DAG SPLB/BC*	· OGC	PRI-2/D
NAME	MO'Bri	en LOIshai	n:rb / TMarsh	EHowen	JStolz
DATE		97 1/8/	97   12/16/96	5 1/12/97	1 122/97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY DOCUMENT NAME: SA96938 AMD 701300020 970123 DR ADUCK 05000272 PDR PDR



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 23, 1997

Mr. Leon R. Eliason Chief Nuclear Officer & President-Nuclear Business Unit Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M96938 AND M96939)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment Nos. 188and 171 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated October 24, 1996, as supplemented December 23, 1996.

These amendments revise TS 3/4.7.1.2, "Auxiliary Feedwater System," to change the 18-month surveillances performed on the system's pumps and valves because testing of the turbine driven Auxiliary Feedwater pump can only be performed in higher modes when sufficient secondary steam pressure exists.

A copy of our safety evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,

Leonard N. Olshan, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-272/311

- Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 188 to License No. DPR-70
  - Amendment No. 171 to License No. DPR-75
    Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Mr. Leon R. Eliason Public Service Electric & Gas Company

#### cc:

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3502

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire Law Department - Tower 5E 80 Park Place Newark, NJ 07101

Mr. D. F. Garchow General Manager - Salem Operations Salem Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Louis Storz Sr. Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Charles S. Marschall, Senior Resident Inspector Salem Generating Station U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer 0509 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection and Energy CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625-0415

Maryland Office of People's Counsel 6 St. Paul Street, 21st Floor Suite 2102 Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Ms. R. A. Kankus Joint Owner Affairs PECO Energy Company 965 Chesterbrook Blvd., 63C-5 Wayne, PA 19087

Mr. Elbert Simpson Senior Vice President - Nuclear Engineering Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Salem Nuclear enerating Station, Units 1 and  $\sim$ 

Richard Hartung Electric Service Evaluation Board of Regulatory Commissioners 2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David R. Powell, Manager Licensing and Regulation Nuclear Business Unit P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David Wersan Assistant Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

P. M. Goetz MGR. Joint Generation Atlantic Energy 6801 Black Horse Pike Egg Harbor Twp., NJ 08234-4130

Carl D. Schaefer External Operations - Nuclear Delmarva Power & Light Company P.O. Box 231 Wilmington, DE 19899

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division Chief Engineer 6 St. Paul Centre Baltimore, MD 21202-6806



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

## PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

### PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

## DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

### ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### DOCKET NO. 50-272

# SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1

#### AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 188 License No. DPR-70

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment filed by the Public Service Electric & Gas Company, Philadelphia Electric Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company and Atlantic City Electric Company (the licensees) dated October 24, 1996, supplemented December 23, 1996, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-70 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9701300027 970123 PDR ADOCK 05000272 (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 188, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director/ Project Directorate I=2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 23, 1997

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 188

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-70

# DOCKET NO. 50-272

Revise Appendix A as follows:

<u>Remove Page</u>	<u>Insert Page</u>
3/4 3-14	3/4 3-14
3/4 7-6	3/4 7-6

#### INSTRUMENTATION

#### 3/4.3.2 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

# LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.2.1 The Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) instrumentation channels and interlocks shown in Table 3.3-3 shall be OPERABLE with their trip setpoints set consistent with the values shown in the Trip Setpoint column of Table 3.3-4 and with RESPONSE TIMES as shown in Table 3.3-5.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-3.

#### ACTION:

- a. With an ESFAS instrumentation channel trip setpoint less conservative than the value shown in the Allowable Values column of Table 3.3-4, declare the channel inoperable and apply the applicable ACTION requirement of Table 3.3-3 until the channel is restored to OPERABLE status with the trip setpoint adjusted consistent with the Trip Setpoint value.
- b. With an ESFAS instrumentation channel inoperable, take the ACTION shown in Table 3.3-3.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.2.1.1 Each ESFAS instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations during the MODES and at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-2.

4.3.2.1.2 The logic for the interlocks shall be demonstrated OPERABLE during the automatic actuation logic test. The total interlock function shall be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 18 months during CHANNEL CALIBRATION testing of each channel affected by interlock operation.

4.3.2.1.3 The ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES RESPONSE TIME of each ESFAS function shall be demonstrated to be within the limit at least once per 18 months. Each test shall include at least one logic train such that both logic trains are tested at least once per 36 months and one channel per function such that all channels are tested at least once per N times 18 months where N is the total number of redundant channels in a specific ESFAS function as shown in the "Total No. of Channels" Column of Table 3.3-3. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to MSIV closure time testing. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump provided the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after the secondary steam generator pressure is greater than 680 psig.

#### PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- b. At least once per 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by:
  - Verifying that each motor-driven pump develops a discharge pressure of greater than or equal to 1275 psig on recirculation flow.
  - 2. Verifying that the steam turbine-driven pump develops a discharge pressure of greater than or equal to 1500 psig on recirculation flow when the secondary steam generator pressure is greater than 680 psig. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable provided the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after secondary side pressure is greater than 680 psig.
- c. At least once per 18 months by:
  - 1. Verifying that each auxiliary feedwater automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.
  - 2. Verifying that each auxiliary feedwater pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.

The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump provided the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after the secondary steam generator pressure is greater than 680 psig.



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

#### PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

## PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

## ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### DOCKET NO. 50-311

#### SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 2

## AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 171 License No. DPR-75

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment filed by the Public Service Electric & Gas Company, Philadelphia Electric Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company and Atlantic City Electric Company (the licensees) dated October 24, 1996, supplemented December 23, 1996, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-75 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) <u>Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendices A and B, as revised through Amendment No. 171, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director/ Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 23, 1997

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 171

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-75

# DOCKET NO. 50-311

Revise Appendix A as follows:

<u>Remove Page</u>	<u>Insert Page</u>
3/4 3-14	3/4 3-14
3/4 7-6	3/4 7-6

#### INSTRUMENTATION

#### 3/4.3.2 ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.2.1 The Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) instrumentation channels and interlocks shown in Table 3.3-3 shall be OPERABLE with their trip setpoints set consistent with the values shown in the Trip Setpoint column of Table 3.3-4 and with RESPONSE TIMES as shown in Table 3.3-5.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-3.

#### ACTION:

- a. With an ESFAS instrumentation channel trip setpoint less conservative than the value shown in the Allowable Values column of Table 3.3-4, declare the channel inoperable and apply the applicable ACTION requirement of Table 3.3-3 until the channel is restored to OPERABLE status with the trip setpoint adjusted consistent with the Trip Setpoint value.
- b. With an ESFAS instrumentation channel inoperable, take the ACTION shown in Table 3.3-3.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.2.1.1 Each ESFAS instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations for the MODES and at frequencies shown in Table 4.3-2.

4.3.2.1.2 The logic for the interlocks shall be demonstrated OPERABLE during the automatic actuation logic test. The total interlock function shall be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 18 months during CHANNEL CALIBRATION testing of each channel affected by interlock operation.

4.3.2.1.3 The ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES RESPONSE TIME of each ESFAS function shall be demonstrated to be within the limit at least once per 18 months. Each test shall include at least one logic train such that both logic trains are tested at least once per 36 months and one channel per function such that all channels are tested at least once per N times 18 months where N is the total number of redundant channels in a specific ESFAS function as shown in the "Total No. of Channels" Column of Table 3.3-3. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to MSIV closure time testing. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump provided the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after the secondary steam generator pressure is greater than 680 psig.

#### PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- b. At least once per 92 days on a STAGGERED TEST BASIS by:
  - Verifying that each motor-driven pump develops a discharge pressure of greater than or equal to 1275 psig on recirculation flow.
  - 2. Verifying that the steam turbine-driven pump develops a discharge pressure of greater than or equal to 1500 psig on recirculation flow when the secondary steam generator supply pressure is greater than 680 psig. The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable provided the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after secondary side pressure is greater than 680 psig.
- c. At least once per 18 months by:
  - Verifying that each auxiliary feedwater automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, actuates to the correct position on an actual or simulated actuation signal.
  - Verifying that each auxiliary feedwater pump starts automatically on an actual or simulated actuation signal.

The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable to the turbine driven auxiliary feedwater pump, provided the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after the secondary steam generator pressure is greater than 680 psig.



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 188 AND 171 TO FACILITY OPERATING

# LICENSE NOS. DPR-70 AND DPR-75

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

## PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

## DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

## ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

## SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-272 AND 50-311

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated October 24, 1996, supplemented December 23, 1996, the Public Service Electric & Gas Company (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested changes would revise TS 3/4.7.1.2, "Auxiliary Feedwater System," by changing the 18-month surveillances performed on the system's pumps and valves because testing of the turbine driven Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) pump can only be performed in higher modes when sufficient secondary steam pressure exists. The December 23, 1996, letter proposed changes to TS 3/4.3.2 to provide consistency with those proposed in the October 24, 1996, letter and therefore did not change the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination and was within the scope of the initial <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

### 2.0 EVALUATION

TS 4.7.1.2.c.2 is performed to verify that the AFW pumps start automatically upon receipt of an actuation signal. The motor-driven pumps can be tested in the shutdown condition. However, since steam is needed to drive the turbine driven AFW pump (TDAFWP), it cannot be tested until the necessary secondary steam pressure is available, which occurs in Mode 3. TS 4.0.4 prohibits entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE without having performed the required surveillances. Thus, the licensee proposed a change to TS 4.7.1.2.c.2 which states that the provisions of TS 4.0.4 are not applicable to the TDAFWP provided that the surveillance is performed within 24 hours after the secondary steam pressure is greater than 680 pounds per square inch (psig). This is consistent with the changes approved by the staff in Amendment 165 for Unit 1 and Amendment 146 for Unit 2, dated April 17, 1995. Since the exception to TS 4.0.4 is necessary to accommodate testing of the TDAFWP and the proposed change is consistent with previously approved amendments, the staff finds this change acceptable.

9701300030 970123 PDR ADDCK 05000272 The licensee proposed to delete "during shutdown" from TS 4.7.1.2.c. As explained above, the TDAFWP cannot be tested during shutdown. Therefore, the staff finds the change acceptable.

The licensee also proposed a change to TS 4.7.1.2.c.2 which allows the automatic start to be initiated by either an actual or a simulated signal, rather than just a simulated signal. (The licensee changed the original wording of "test" signal to "simulated" signal for consistency with NUREG-1431, "Standard Technical Specifications - Westinghouse Plants," Revision 1, dated April 7, 1995.) The staff concludes that for the purposes of this surveillance, it makes no difference if the automatic start is initiated by an actual or a simulated signal; therefore, the staff finds this change acceptable.

The licensee proposed a change to TS 4.7.1.2.c.1 from "each automatic valve in the motor driven pump flow path" to "each auxiliary feedwater automatic valve that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position". The change expands the scope of the surveillance to include the TDAFWP start-stop valves. The staff concludes that this change is more conservative (an additional valve is included in the surveillance), that the wording is consistent with NUREG-1431, and it is therefore acceptable.

By letter dated December 23, 1996, the licensee proposed a change to TS 4.3.2.1.3. This change provides consistency with the change in TS 4.7.1.2.c.2 and, therefore, it is acceptable.

#### 3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New Jersey State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

#### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (61 FR 58905). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

#### 5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: L. Olshan

Date: January 23, 1997