Mr. Harold W. Keiser
Chief Nuclear Officer and PresidentNuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric and Gas
Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M99361

AND M99362)

Dear Mr. Keiser:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for amendment dated August 1, 1997, as supplemented by letters dated October 6, 1997, February 18 and July 7, 1998. The proposed amendments would revise Technical Specification Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," of Appendix B, Environmental Protection Plan, to require that Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) adhere to the Incidental Take Statement approved by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), but remove the specific requirements. Removing the specific requirements from Section 4.2.1 enables PSE&G to use relief granted by NMFS on a case-by-case basis without further action by the NRC staff.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

original signed by:
Patrick D. Milano, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File
PUBLIC
PDI-2 Reading
JZwolinski

RCapra PMilano BBuckley TEssig OGC ACRS

TClark

JLinville, RGN-I

OFFICE	PDI-2/PM	PDI-2/JL/A	PGEB	ogc	PDI-2/D
NAME	PMilano:rb	Of Clark	TEssig	(marco	RCapra RE
DATE	11 /25 /98	12/2/98	11 198	12/3/98	1218198

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: SA99361.EA

9812140257 981208 PDR ADOCK 05000272 P PDR



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001December 8, 1998

Mr. Harold W. Keiser
Chief Nuclear Officer and PresidentNuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric and Gas
Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M99361

AND M99362)

Dear Mr. Keiser:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for amendment dated August 1, 1997, as supplemented by letters dated October 6, 1997, February 18 and July 7, 1998. The proposed amendments would revise Technical Specification Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," of Appendix B, Environmental Protection Plan, to require that Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) adhere to the Incidental Take Statement approved by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), but remove the specific requirements. Removing the specific requirements from Section 4.2.1 enables PSE&G to use relief granted by NMFS on a case-by-case basis without further action by the NRC staff.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Patrick D. Milano, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Harold W. Keiser
Public Service Electric & Gas
Company

CC:

Jeffrie J. Keenan, Esquire Nuclear Business Unit - N21 P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

General Manager - Salem Operations Salem Nuclear Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Louis Storz Sr. Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Senior Resident Inspector Salem Nuclear Generating Station U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer 0509 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection and Energy CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625-0415

Maryland Office of People's Counsel 6 St. Paul Street, 21st Floor Suite 2102 Baltimore, MD 21202

Ms. R. A. Kankus Joint Owner Affairs PECO Energy Company 965 Chesterbrook Blvd., 63C-5 Wayne, PA 19087

Mr. Elbert Simpson Senior Vice President-Nuclear Engineering Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038 Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2

Richard Hartung
Electric Service Evaluation
Board of Regulatory Commissioners
2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Director - Licensing Regulation & Fuels Nuclear Busienss Unit - N21 P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David Wersan Assistant Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Manager - Joint Generation Atlantic Energy 6801 Black Horse Pike Egg Harbor Twp., NJ 08234-4130

Carl D. Schaefer External Operations - Nuclear Delmarva Power & Light Company P.O. Box 231 Wilmington, DE 19899

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division Chief Engineer 6 St. Paul Centre Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Robert Hargrove
Environmental Protection Agency
Environmental Review Coordinator
26 Federal Plaza
New York, NY 10278

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY DOCKET NOS. 50-272 AND 50-311 SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 issued to Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G, the licensee) for operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Salem County, New Jersey.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would revise Technical Specification (TS) Section 4.2.1, "Aquatic Monitoring," of Appendix B, Environmental Protection Plan (EPP), to require that PSE&G adhere to the Incidental Take Statement issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), but removes the specific language of the Incidental Take Statement. Removing the specific language from Section 4.2.1 enables PSE&G to use relief granted by NMFS and the Commission on a case-by-case basis without further action by the NRC staff.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated August 1, 1997, as supplemented by letters dated October 6, 1997, February 18 and July 7, 1998.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would provide PSE&G with the flexibility to utilize relief granted by the Commission and NMFS on a case-by-case basis without further action by the NRC staff. The current wording of Section 4.2.1 would require, in the event of changes to the Biological Opinion

or the Incidental Take Statement, that PSE&G continue to maintain, for example, daily cleaning of the trash racks, from June 1 through October 15, 1998, even though granted relief by the NMFS, until an amendment request could be submitted and approved by the Commission. The revision would enable PSE&G to have the ability to use approvals from the Commission and NMFS without requiring amendments to the TS. Changes to the Incidental Take Statement must be proceeded by consultation between the Commission, as the authorizing agency, and NMFS. Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed changes do not change the requirements or intent of Section 4.2.1. PSE&G would continue to adhere to the specific requirements within the Incidental Take Statement, to the Biological Opinion. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other nonradiological environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on November 4, 1998, the staff consulted with the New Jersey State official, Mr. R. Pinney of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Nuclear Engineering, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 1, 1997, as supplemented by letters dated October 6, 1997, February 18 and July 7, 1998, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, NJ 08079.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of December 1998.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert A. Capra, Director Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation