Mr. Leon R. Eliason
Chief Nuclear Officer & President-Nuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric & Gas Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO PROPOSED LICENSE AMENDMENT - SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M96926 AND M96927)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment relates to your application for license amendment dated October 25, 1996, as supplemented by letters dated December 11, 1996, January 28, March 27, and April 24, 1997. Your application proposed changes to Technical Specification Table 3.3-5, "Engineered Safety Features Response Time," that would increase the Containment Fan Cooler Unit (CECU) response time from 45 to 60 seconds.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely, (Original signed by) Leonard N. Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-272/311

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

<u>DISTRIBUTION</u> Docket File PUBLIC PDI-2 Reading SVarga		LOlshan MO'Brien OGC ACRS		e center	COPY
JStolz		JLinville, RGN-I		*Previously Concurred	
	RBI-2/PM	PDIVALKA	OGC	PDQT-2/D	
NAME 5	LOlshan:rb	MO'Brien	CMarco ¥	JSto	
DATE	5/7/97	ST 1/97	05/02/97	51 7197	
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY					

DOCUMENT NAME: SA96926.EA

9705120016 970507 PDR ADOCK 05000272 PDR



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 7, 1997

Mr. Leon R. Eliason
Chief Nuclear Officer & President-Nuclear Business Unit
Public Service Electric & Gas Company
Post Office Box 236
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO PROPOSED LICENSE AMENDMENT - SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M96926 AND M96927)

Dear Mr. Eliason:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This assessment relates to your application for license amendment dated October 25, 1996, as supplemented by letters dated December 11, 1996, January 28, March 27, and April 24, 1997. Your application proposed changes to Technical Specification Table 3.3-5, "Engineered Safety Features Response Time," that would increase the Containment Fan Cooler Unit (CFCU) response time from 45 to 60 seconds.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Leonard N. Olshan, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-272/311

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

-Mr. Leon R. Eliason Public Service Electric & Gas Company

cc:

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3502

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire Law Department - Tower 5E 80 Park Place Newark, NJ 07101

Mr. D. F. Garchow General Manager - Salem Operations Salem Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Louis Storz Sr. Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Charles S. Marschall, Senior Resident Inspector
Salem Generating Station
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Drawer 0509
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection and Energy CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625-0415

Maryland Office of People's Counsel 6 St. Paul Street, 21st Floor Suite 2102 Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Ms. R. A. Kankus Joint Owner Affairs PECO Energy Company 965 Chesterbrook Blvd., 63C-5 Wayne, PA 19087

Mr. Elbert Simpson Senior Vice President - Nuclear Engineering 26 Federal Plaza Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2

Richard Hartung Electric Service Evaluation Board of Regulatory Commissioners 2 Gateway Center, Tenth Floor Newark, NJ 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David R. Powell, Manager Licensing and Regulation Nuclear Business Unit P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David Wersan Assistant Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

P. M. Goetz MGR. Joint Generation Atlantic Energy 6801 Black Horse Pike Egg Harbor Twp., NJ 08234-4130

Carl D. Schaefer External Operations - Nuclear Delmarva Power & Light Company P.O. Box 231 Wilmington, DE 19899

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division Chief Engineer 6 St. Paul Centre Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Robert Hargrove Environmental Review Coordinator 26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278

7590-01

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-272 AND 50-311 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75, issued to Public Service Electric & Gas Company (PSE&G, the licensee), for operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2 (Salem Units 1 and 2).

The facility consists of two pressurized water reactors located at the licensee's site in Salem County, New Jersey.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed action would change Technical Specification Table 3.3-5, "Engineered Safety Features Response Time," to extend the Containment Fan Cooler Unit (CFCU) response time from 45 to 60 seconds.

The proposed action is in accordance with the license's application for amendment dated October 25, 1996, as supplemented by letters dated December 11, 1996, January 28, March 27, and April 24, 1997.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action is needed to make the Technical Specifications (TSs) consistent with the as-built plant. The as-built plant has a longer response time for the CFCUs than that identified in the TSs because (1) a 1976 plant modification added time delays to valves that isolate non-essential service water loads in response to an accident signals, and (2) the licensee failed to consider the impact of CFCU service water outlet valve sequencing delays on overall post-accident system performance. The 1976 modification was implemented to limit the potential for water hammer of the service water system during the isolation of the non-essential loads.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The radiological environmental impact of the proposed action has already been evaluated and approved by the staff. In support of Amendment No. 190 for Unit 1 and Amendment No. 173 for Unit 2, issued February 6, 1997, the staff performed its own analysis of the offsite doses resulting from a Loss of Coolant Accident. The staff's analysis was performed using the CFCU response time in the proposed action and the staff concluded that the offsite doses are within the applicable dose acceptance criteria of 10 CFR Part 100. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluent and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there

- 2 -

are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

<u>Alternatives to the Proposed Action:</u>

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to the action would be to deny the request. Such action would not change any current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

<u>Alternative Use of Resources:</u>

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Salem Nuclear Generating Station Units 1 and 2," dated April 1973. Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on April 14, 1997, the staff consulted with the New Jersey State official, Richard Pinney, of the Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

- 3 -

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letters dated October 25, 1996, December 11, 1996, January 28, March 27, and April 24, 1997, and Amendment Nos. 190 and 173, dated February 6, 1997, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC and at the local public document room located at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 W. Broadway, Salem, New Jersey 08079.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of May 1997.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation