




Facility: LaSalle County Station		Date of Examination: November 13-24, 2000		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c
W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	AES	AES	SM
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.	AES	AES	SM
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	AES	AES	SM
	d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive.	AES	AES	SM
S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	AES	AES	SM
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	AES	AES	SM
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	AES	AES	SM
W / T	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.	AES	AES	SM
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3)* 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	AES	AES	SM
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	AES	AES	SM
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	AES	AES	SM
G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	AES	AES	SM
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	AES	AES	SM
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	AES	AES	SM
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	AES	AES	SM
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	AES	AES	SM
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	AES	AES	SM
a. Author <u>Kevin P. Smart /</u> 		Date <u>6-9-00</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Steven V. Seaborn /</u> 		Date <u>6/9/00</u>		
c. Chief Examiner <u>Dell R. McNeil /</u> 		Date <u>6/19/00</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor <u>David E. Hills /</u> 		Date <u>7/13/00</u>		
(*) Not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.				