### July 11, 1991

Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311

> Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box 236

Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Dear Mr. Miltenberger

DISTRIBUTION w/enclosure:

Docket File RBlough, R-I NRC & Local PDRs JWhite, R-I

PDI-2 Reading

SVarga JCa Ivo **JStone** 

MO'Brien (3)

OGC

EJordan, 3701

ACRS(10) GPA/PA

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT -SUBJECT:

INCREASED FUEL ENRICHMENT IN THE REACTOR, THE NEW FUEL STORAGE RACKS AND SPENT FUEL POOL, SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NOS. 1

AND 2 (TAC NOS. 79130 AND 79131)

In response to your letter dated November 19, 1990, and supplemented by letters dated April 1, 1991, May 20, 1991 and June 14, 1991, the Commission is considering issuance of an amendment for Salem Nuclear Generating Station. Units 1 and 2, to allow the licensees to place fuel with an initial enrichment of up to 4.55 w/o U-235 in the new fuel racks, the spent fuel pool and in the reactor core.

We have enclosed a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for this proposed amendment which is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for Publication.

Sincerely.

## Stephen Dembek

for

James C. Stone, Project Manager Project Directorate I-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

\*Previously Concurred

OFC NAME :APH JSTONE:tlc :WBUTLER DATE :フ/ ///91 :07/05/91

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Document Name: EA 79130/131

()

REST THE CENTER WAS

9107240308 910711 PDR ADDCK 0500027



# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

July 11, 1991

and 50-311

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Public Service Electric & Gas Company Post Office Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Dear Mr. Miltenberger:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT INCREASED FUEL ENRICHMENT IN THE REACTOR, THE NEW FUEL STORAGE RACKS
AND SPENT FUEL POOL, SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NOS. 1
AND 2 (TAC NOS. 79130 AND 79131)

In response to your letter dated November 19, 1990, and supplemented by letters dated April 1, 1991, May 20, 1991 and June 14, 1991, the Commission is considering issuance of an amendment for Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, to allow the licensees to place fuel with an initial enrichment of up to 4.55 w/o U-235 in the new fuel racks, the spent fuel pool and in the reactor core.

We have enclosed a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for this proposed amendment which is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for Publication.

Sincerely,

FOR James C. Stone, Project Manager

Project Directorate I-2

the Double

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

Mr. Steven E. Miltenberger Public Service Electric & Gas Company

Salem Nuclear Generating Station

cc:

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esquire Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3502

Richard Fryling, Jr., Esquire Law Department - Tower 5E 80 Park Place Newark, NJ 07101

Mr. Calvin A. Vondra General Manager - Salem Operations Salem Generating Station P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. S. LaBruna Vice President - Nuclear Operations Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Mr. Thomas P. Johnson, Senior Resident Inspector
Salem Generating Station
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Drawer I
Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Dr. Jill Lipoti, Asst. Director Radiation Protection Programs NJ Department of Environmental Protection CN 415 Trenton, NJ 08625-0415

Maryland People's Counsel American Building, 9th Floor 231 East Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Mr. J. T. Robb, Director Joint Owners Affairs Philadelphia Electric Company 955 Chesterbrook Blvd., 51A-13 Wayne, PA 19087 Richard B. McGlynn, Commission Department of Public Utilities State of New Jersey 101 Commerce Street Newark, NJ 07102

Regional Administrator, Region I U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Lower Alloways Creek Township c/o Mary O. Henderson, Clerk Municipal Building, P.O. Box 157 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. Frank X. Thomson, Jr., Manager Licensing and Regulation Nuclear Department P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038

Mr. David Wersan Assistant Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Mr. Scott B. Ungerer MGR. - Joint Generation Projects Atlantic Electric Company P.O. Box 1500 1199 Black Horse Pike Pleasantville, NJ 08232

Mr. Jack Urban General Manager, Fuels Department Delmarva Power & Light Company 800 King Street Wilmington, DE 19899

Public Service Commission of Maryland Engineering Division ATTN: Chief Engineer 231 E. Baltimore Street Baltimore, MD 21202-3486

PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY

SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-272 AND 50-311

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75, issued to Public Service Electric and Gas Company, et. al. (the licensees) for operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2, located at the licensees' site in Salem County, New Jersey.

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

# Identification of Proposed Action:

The proposed amendment would allow the licensees to place fuel with a maximum initial enrichment of 4.55 weight percent of U-235 (w/o U-235) in the reactor, new fuel storage racks and the spent fuel storage racks.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensees' application for amendments dated November 19, 1990, as supplemented by letters dated April 1, 1991, May 20, 1991 and June 14, 1991.

## The Need for the Proposed Action:

The licensees intend to increase the fuel enrichment for the Salem units to a maximum initial value of 4.55 w/o U-235. New fuel will be stored in the

new fuel storage racks while awaiting loading into the core. It is anticipated that the higher enrichment fuel will be used for the Salem 1, Cycle 11 reload and for the Salem 2, Cycle 8 reload. Currently, fuel with a maximum initial enrichment of 4.05 w/o U-235 can be stored in the new fuel storage racks, placed in the reactor or stored in the spent fuel storage pool. Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revisions to the Technical Specifications (TSs). The proposed amendments would allow fuel with a maximum initial enrichment of 4.55 w/o U-235 to be stored in the new fuel storage racks, placed in the reactor or stored in the spent fuel storage pool. Currently, fuel with a maximum enrichment of 4.05 w/o U-235 may be placed in the aforementioned locations. Changes to the burnup limits have not been requested. Therefore, use of fuel with a maximum enrichment of 4.55 w/o U-235 would not significantly increase the probability of consequences of any accidents previously analyzed. No significant changes in the types or amounts of radiological effluents, during normal operation or postulated accidents, that may be released offsite are incurred by the increased w/o U-235 enrichment. As a result, no significant increase in the individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure is noted.

Therefore, because the proposed changes do not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed change to the TSs involves systems located within the restricted area as defined by 10 CFR Part 20. The proposed change will not result in a measurable change to the nonradiological plant effluents and therefore will not have any environmental impact.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation are discussed in the staff assessment entitled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation", published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 11, 1988 (53 FR 30355). As indicated therein, the environmental cost contribution of the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summarized in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). The licensees confirmed that this analysis is applicable to the requested change.

Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendments. Alternative to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendments. This would not reduce environmental impacts of plant operation and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

## Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to Operation of Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 & 2." dated April 1973.

## Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The NRC staff reviewed the licensees' request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT:

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendments.

Based on the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated November 11, 1990, and supplements dated April 1, 1991, May 20, 1991 and June 14, 1991, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the Salem Free County Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, New Jersey 08079.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of July

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

1991.

Walter R. Butler, Director Project Directorate I-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation