

Mr. Gregory A. Maret
Director of Operations
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation
185 Old Ferry Road
Brattleboro, VT 05301

January 19, 1999

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-28,
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (TAC NO. MA4348)

Dear Mr. Maret:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 165 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-28, for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station in response to your application dated December 11, 1998. In your submittal, you proposed to allow manual containment isolation valves to be opened intermittently under administrative controls.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Your December 11, 1998, letter also withdrew your letter dated December 7, 1998, which proposed a change to similar sections of the technical specifications (TS). As we indicated in telephone conversations with your staff, the December 7, 1998, proposed TS was not acceptable. In effect, the change you submitted on December 7 stated that manual containment isolation valves are required to be closed, unless they are open. The originally proposed TS did not incorporate administrative controls, however, this was discussed in the body of the submittal. The inadequacy of the December 7, 1998, proposal was surprising in light of several phone conversations between your staff and the NRC in advance of the submittal concerning what the NRC has considered acceptable in this area. We consider that your December 7, 1998, letter was an example of a poor licensing submittal.

Sincerely,
/s/

Richard P. Croteau, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-271

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No.165 to License No. DPR-28
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

See attached

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CROTEAU\AMDA4348.WPD *SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE
To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy * see previous concurrence

OFFICE	PM:PDI-3	LA:PDI-3	SCSB*	OGC	D:PDI-2		
NAME	RCroteau	TClark*	CBerlinger	CMarco*	WDean*		
DATE	1/14/99	12/21/99	12/16/98	12/22/98	1/14/99		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9901270219 990119
PDR ADOCK 05000271
P PDR

NRC FILE CONTROL COPY



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

January 19, 1999

Mr. Gregory A. Maret
Director of Operations
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation
185 Old Ferry Road
Brattleboro, VT 05301

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-28,
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION (TAC NO. MA4348)

Dear Mr. Maret:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 165 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-28, for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station in response to your application dated December 11, 1998. In your submittal, you proposed to allow manual containment isolation valves to be opened intermittently under administrative controls.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Your December 11, 1998, letter also withdrew your letter dated December 7, 1998, which proposed a change to similar sections of the technical specifications (TS). As we indicated in telephone conversations with your staff, the December 7, 1998, proposed TS was not acceptable. In effect, the change you submitted on December 7 stated that manual containment isolation valves are required to be closed, unless they are open. The originally proposed TS did not incorporate administrative controls, however, this was discussed in the body of the submittal. The inadequacy of the December 7, 1998, proposal was surprising in light of several phone conversations between your staff and the NRC in advance of the submittal concerning what the NRC has considered acceptable in this area. We consider that your December 7, 1998, letter was an example of a poor licensing submittal.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Croteau", written in a cursive style.

Richard P. Croteau, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-271

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 165 to License No. DPR-28
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

G. Maret

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. David R. Lewis
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-1128

Mr. Richard P. Sedano, Commissioner
Vermont Department of Public Service
120 State Street, 3rd Floor
Montpelier, VT 05602

Public Service Board
State of Vermont
120 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05602

Chairman, Board of Selectmen
Town of Vernon
P.O. Box 116
Vernon, VT 05354-0116

Mr. Richard E. McCullough
Operating Experience Coordinator
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station
P.O. Box 157
Governor Hunt Road
Vernon, VT 05354

G. Dana Bisbee, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
33 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301-6937

Chief, Safety Unit
Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor
Boston, MA 02108

Ms. Deborah B. Katz
Box 83
Shelburne Falls, MA 01370

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

Mr. Raymond N. McCandless
Vermont Division of Occupational
and Radiological Health
Administration Building
Montpelier, VT 05602

Mr. Gautam Sen
Licensing Manager
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power
Corporation
185 Old Ferry Road
Brattleboro, VT 05301

Resident Inspector
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 176
Vernon, VT 05354

Mr. Peter LaPorte, Director
ATTN: James Muckerheide
Massachusetts Emergency Management
Agency
400 Worcester Rd.
P.O. Box 1496
Framingham, MA 01701-0317

Jonathan M. Block, Esq.
Main Street
P. O. Box 566
Putney, VT 05346-0566

Mr. Michael J. Daley
Trustee and Legislative Representative
New England Coalition on Nuclear
Pollution, Inc.
Box 545
Brattleboro, VT 05301



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 50-271

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 165
License No. DPR-28

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment filed by the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation (the licensee) dated December 11, 1998, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

9901270220 990119
PDR ADOCK 05000271
P PDR

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-28 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(B) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 165, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance, to be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



William M. Dean, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: January 19, 1999

DATED: January 19, 1999

AMENDMENT NO. 165 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-28 VERMONT
YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION

DISTRIBUTION

~~Docket File~~

PUBLIC

J. Zwolinski

W. Dean

R. Croteau

T. Clark

C. Berlinger

OGC

G. Hill (2)

W. Beckner

ACRS

T. Harris (TLH3)

C. Cowgill, RI

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 165

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-28

DOCKET NO. 50-271

Replace the following pages of Appendix A Technical Specification with the attached pages. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

<u>Remove</u>	<u>Insert</u>
2	2
3	3
4	4
164	164
164a	164a

1.0 DEFINITIONS

- K. Operable - A system, subsystem, train, component or device shall be operable or have operability when it is capable of performing its specified function(s). Implicit in this definition shall be the assumption that all necessary attendant instrumentation, controls, normal and emergency electrical power sources, cooling or seal water, lubrication or other auxiliary equipment that are required for the system, subsystem, train, component or device to perform its function(s) are also capable of performing their related support function(s).
- L. Operating - Operating means that a system or component is performing its intended functions in its required manner.
- M. Operating Cycle - Interval between the end of one refueling outage and the end of the next subsequent refueling outage.
- N. Primary Containment Integrity - Primary containment integrity means that the drywell and pressure suppression chamber are intact and all of the following conditions are satisfied:
1. All manual containment isolation valves on lines connecting to the reactor coolant system or containment, which are not required to be open during accident conditions, are closed. Such valves may be opened intermittently under administrative controls.
 2. At least one door in each airlock is closed and sealed.
 3. All automatic containment isolation valves are operable or deactivated in the isolated position.
 4. All blind flanges and manways are closed.
- O. Protective Instrumentation Definitions
1. Instrument Channel - An instrument channel means an arrangement of a sensor and auxiliary equipment required to generate and transmit to a trip system a single trip signal related to the plant parameter monitored by that instrument channel.
 2. Trip System - A trip system means an arrangement of instrument channel trip signals and auxiliary equipment required to initiate action to accomplish a protective trip function. A trip system may require one or more instrument channel trip signals related to one or more plant parameters in order to initiate trip system action. Initiation of protective action may require the tripping of a single trip system or the coincident tripping of two trip systems.
 3. Protective Action - An action initiated by the protection system when a limit is reached. A protective action can be at a channel or system level.
 4. Protective Function - A system protective action which results from the protective action of the channels monitoring a particular plant condition.
- P. Rated Neutron Flux - Rated neutron flux is the neutron flux that corresponds to a steady state power level of 1593 thermal megawatts.
- Q. Rated Thermal Power - Rated thermal power means a steady state power level of 1593 thermal megawatts.

1.0 DEFINITIONS

- R. Reactor Power Operation - Reactor power operation is any operation with the mode switch in the "Startup/Hot Standby" or "Run" position with the reactor critical and above 1% rated thermal power.
1. Startup/Hot Standby Mode - In this mode the low turbine condenser volume trip is bypassed when condenser vacuum is less than 12 inches Hg and both turbine stop valves and bypass valves are closed; the low pressure and the 10 percent closure main steamline isolation valve closure trips are bypassed; the reactor protection system is energized with IRM neutron monitoring system trips and control rod withdrawal interlocks in service and APRM neutron monitoring system operable.
 2. Run Mode - In this mode the reactor system pressure is equal to or greater than 800 psig and the reactor protection system is energized with APRM protection and RBM interlocks in service.
- S. Reactor Vessel Pressure - Unless otherwise indicated, reactor vessel pressures listed in the Technical Specifications are those measured by the reactor vessel steam space detector.
- T. Refueling Outage - Refueling outage is the period of time between the shutdown of the unit prior to a refueling and the startup of the plant subsequent to that refueling. For the purpose of designating frequency of testing and surveillance, a refueling outage shall mean a regularly scheduled refueling outage; however, where such outages occur within 8 months of the completion of the previous refueling outage, the required surveillance testing need not be performed until the next regularly scheduled outage.
- U. Secondary Containment Integrity - Secondary containment integrity means that the reactor building is intact and the following conditions are met:
1. At least one door in each access opening is closed.
 2. The standby gas treatment system is operable.
 3. All reactor building automatic ventilation system isolation valves are operable or are secured in the isolated position.
- V. Shutdown - The reactor is in a shutdown condition when the reactor mode switch is in the shutdown mode position and no core alterations are being performed. When the mode switch is placed in the shutdown position a reactor scram is initiated, power to the control rod drives is removed, and the reactor protection system trip systems are de-energized.
1. Hot Shutdown means conditions as above with reactor coolant temperature greater than 212°F.
 2. Cold Shutdown means conditions as above with reactor coolant temperature equal to or less than 212°F.
 3. Shutdown means conditions as above such that the effective multiplication factor (K_{eff}) of the core shall be less than 0.99.

1.0 DEFINITIONS

- W. Simulated Automatic Actuation - Simulated automatic actuation means applying a simulated signal to the sensor to actuate circuit in question.
- X. Transition Boiling - Transition boiling means the boiling regime between nucleate and film boiling. Transition boiling is the regime in which both nucleate and film boiling occur intermittently with neither type being completely stable.
- Y. Surveillance Frequency - Unless otherwise stated in these specifications, periodic surveillance tests, checks, calibrations, and examinations shall be performed within the specified surveillance intervals. These intervals may be adjusted plus 25%. The operating cycle interval is considered to be 18 months and the tolerance stated above is applicable.
- Z. Surveillance Interval - The surveillance interval is the calendar time between surveillance tests, checks, calibrations, and examinations to be performed upon an instrument or component when it is required to be operable. These tests unless otherwise stated in these specifications may be waived when the instrument, component, or system is not required to be operable, but these tests shall be performed on the instrument, component, or system prior to being required to be operable.
- AA. Vital Fire Suppression Water System - The vital fire suppression water system is that part of the fire suppression system which protects those instruments, components, and systems required to perform a safe shutdown of the reactor. The vital fire suppression system includes the water supply, pumps, and distribution piping with associated sectionalizing valves, which provide immediate coverage of the Reactor Building, Control Room Building, and Diesel Generator Rooms.
- BB. Source Check - The qualitative assessment of channel response when the channel sensor is exposed to a radioactive source.
- CC. Dose Equivalent I-131 - The dose equivalent I-131 shall be that concentration of I-131 (microcurie/gram) which alone would produce the same thyroid dose as the quantity and isotopic mixture of I-131, I-132, I-133, I-134 and I-135 actually present. The thyroid dose conversion factors used for this calculation shall be those listed in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109, Revision 1, October 1977.
- DD. Solidification - Solidification shall be the conversion of wet wastes into a form that meets shipping and burial ground requirements. Suitable forms include dewatered resins and filter sludges.
- EE. Deleted
- FF. Site Boundary - The site boundary is shown in Figure 2.2-5 in the FSAR.
- GG. Deleted
- HH. Deleted

VYNPS

BASES: 3.7 (Cont'd)

In conjunction with the Mark I Containment Long-Term Program, a plant unique analysis was performed (see Vermont Yankee letter, dated April 27, 1984, transmitting Teledyne Engineering Services Company Reports, TR-5319-1, Revision 2, dated November 30, 1983 and TR-5319-2, Revision 0) which demonstrated that all stresses in the suppression chamber structure, including shell, external supports, vent system, internal structures, and attached piping meet the structural acceptance criteria of NUREG-0661. The maintenance of a drywell-suppression chamber differential pressure of 1.7 psid and a suppression chamber water level corresponding to a downcomer submergence range of 4.29 to 4.54 ft. will assure the integrity of the suppression chamber when subjected to post-LOCA suppression pool hydrodynamic forces.

Using a 50°F rise (Section 5.2.4 FSAR) in the suppression chamber water temperature and a minimum water volume of 68,000 ft³, the 170°F temperature which is used for complete condensation would be approached only if the suppression pool temperature is 120°F prior to the DBA-LOCA. Maintaining a pool temperature of 100°F will assure that the 170°F limit is not approached.

Experimental data indicate that excessive steam condensing loads can be avoided if the peak temperature of the suppression pool is maintained below 160°F during any period of relief valve operation with sonic conditions at the discharge exit. Specifications have been placed on the envelope of reactor operating conditions so that the reactor can be depressurized in a timely manner to avoid the regime of potentially high suppression chamber loadings.

In addition to the limits on temperature of the suppression chamber pool water, operating procedures define the action to be taken in the event a relief valve inadvertently opens or sticks open. This action would include: (1) use of all available means to close the valve, (2) initiate suppression pool water cooling heat exchangers, (3) initiate reactor shutdown, and (4) if other relief valves are used to depressurize the reactor, their discharge shall be separated from that of the stuck-open relief valve to assure mixing and uniformity of energy insertion to the pool.

Double isolation valves are provided on lines which penetrate the primary containment and open to the free space of the containment. Closure of one of the valves in each line would be sufficient to maintain the integrity of the pressure suppression system. Automatic initiation is required to minimize the potential leakage paths from the containment in the event of a loss-of-coolant accident. Details of the isolation valves are discussed in Section 5.2 of the FSAR.

Manual primary containment isolation valves that are required to be closed by the definition of Primary Containment Integrity may be opened intermittently under administrative controls. These controls consist of stationing a dedicated operator, with whom Control Room communication is immediately available, in the immediate vicinity of the valve controls. In this way, the penetration can be rapidly isolated when a need for primary containment isolation is indicated.

VYNPS

The purpose of the vacuum relief valves is to equalize the pressure between the drywell and suppression chamber and suppression chamber and reactor building so that the structural integrity of the containment is maintained.

Technical Specification 3.7.A.9.c is based on the assumption that the operability testing of the pressure suppression chamber-reactor building vacuum breaker, when required, will normally be performed during the same four hour testing interval as the pressure suppression chamber-drywell vacuum breakers in order to minimize operation with <1.7 psi, differential pressure.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 165 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-28

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-271

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station is a boiling water reactor (BWR), model BWR-4, with a Mark I containment. By letter dated December 11, 1998, the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation, the licensee for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, submitted for Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff review a proposed change to the technical specifications (TS). This change adds a note to allow manual containment isolation valves to be opened intermittently under administrative controls. The licensee also proposed to add the administrative controls to the TS Bases.

Specifically, the changes proposed are as follows:

- 1) T.S. Section 1.0 Definitions - Add a note to definition N. "Primary Containment Integrity," indicating that manual containment isolation valves may be opened intermittently under administrative controls.
- 2) T.S. Bases 3.7 - Add the following: Manual primary containment isolation valves that are required to be closed by the definition of Primary Containment Integrity may be opened intermittently under administrative controls. These controls consist of stationing a dedicated operator, with whom Control Room communication is immediately available, in the immediate vicinity of the valve controls. In this way, the penetration can be rapidly isolated when a need for primary containment integrity is indicated.

2.0 EVALUATION

The primary containment system is designed to limit leakage during and following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident to values lower than those which would result in off-site dose levels allowed by 10 CFR 100. The system also provides the capability for rapid isolation of all pipes or ducts which penetrate the primary containment by means which provide a containment barrier as effective as is required to maintain leakage within permissible limits.

9901270221 990119
PDR ADOCK 05000271
P PDR

The licensee stated that opening of manual primary containment isolation valves is necessary during normal plant operation to perform routine evolutions such as surveillances, sampling, and venting/draining of plant systems. It was recently concluded that the existing TS consider the primary containment inoperable when these manual valves are not closed. This inoperable status requires entering into a limiting condition for operation (LCO) which states "an orderly shutdown shall be initiated immediately and the reactor shall be in a cold shutdown condition within 24 hours." The licensee stated that industry practice supports the ability to manipulate these manual valves in support of plant operation (as indicated by the TS for other facilities and the BWR/4 standard).

The licensee proposed to allow intermittent opening of these manual primary containment isolation valves under administrative controls. These controls consist of stationing a dedicated operator, with whom Control Room communication is immediately available, in the immediate vicinity of the valve controls.

The staff agrees that intermittent opening of manual primary containment isolation valves is necessary to perform certain plant operations and entry into the shutdown LCO should not be required. Allowing intermittent opening under administrative controls will ensure that the valve penetration can be rapidly isolated when a need for primary containment is indicated. This will maintain containment leakage within permissible limits. Therefore, the staff finds the proposed change acceptable. In addition, the staff notes that the proposed change is consistent with the intent of the BWR/4 standard TS.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Vermont State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (63 FR 70168). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Richard Croteau

Date: January 19, 1999