

1 **From:** Lawrence Burkhart
2 **To:** Brian Sepelak
3 **Date:** 6/12/01 8:18AM
4 **Subject:** RAI - 061201-1
5

6 Brian,

7
8 Attached are questions in preparation for Thursday's meeting.
9

10 Larry
11

12 RAI RELATED TO BVPS RTDP TS CHANGES
13

- 14 1. The BVPS current TS BASES states that the design DNBR limit using the mini-RTDP is
15 1.21, and the safety analysis DNBR limit is 1.33. By using the RTDP, the design DNBR
16 limits are 1.24 and 1.23 for typical cells and thimble cells, respectively.
17
- 18 A. Provide the derivation of the design DNBR limits for the typical cells and thimble cells for
19 the RTDP. The derivation should include the uncertainty values of these parameters, e.g.,
20 nuclear enthalpy hot channel factor and enthalpy rise engineering hot channel factor,
21 included in mini-RTDP, the uncertainty values for the reactor power, pressurizer pressure,
22 RCS flow rate and temperature, as well as the WRB-1 correlation.
23
- 24 B. The secondary power calorimetric measurement uncertainty have different uncertainty
25 values depending on the use of feedwater venturi or Caldon leading edge flow meter for
26 feedwater flow measurement. Is the RTDP design DNBR limits of 1.23 and 1.24 based on
27 feedwater venturi or Caldon LEFM?
28
- 29 2. As a result of changing from mini-RTDP to RTDP methodology, the design DNBR and
30 safety analysis DNBR limits are changed accordingly. The reactor core safety limits figure,
31 which show the loci of points of T-avg as a function of pressurizer pressure and rated
32 thermal power for which the minimum DNBR is no less than the safety analysis DNBR limit,
33 or the average enthalpy at the vessel exit is equal to the saturated liquid enthalpy, is also
34 revised. Attachments A-1 and A-2, respectively, to the December 27, 2000, letter provide
35 revised Figure 2.1-1, "Reactor Core Safety Limits," for Units 1 and 2.
36
- 37 A. Describe how this new figure is determined. Is this figure based on the RTDP safety
38 analysis DNBR limit of 1.36?
39
- 40 B. Provide a reference to topical report which describe the methodology for the determination
41 of the core safety limit.
42
- 43 C. What is the rated power level the revised figure based on? Is the rated power in the revised
44 Figure 2.1-1 the current power level of 2,652 Mwt, or the 1.4% power uprate condition of
45 2,689 MWt?
46
- 47 3. The DNB-related parameters in TS 3.2.5 for pressurizer pressure, RCS average
48 temperature and total flow are changed from "analysis" values to "indicated" values as
49 follows:
50

	For Unit 1	Unit 2
51		
52	RCS T-avg: from 580.7°F to 580.0°F	from 580.2°F to 579.9°F
53	pressurizer pressure: from 2220 psia to 2215 psia	from 2220 psia to 2214 psia
54	RCS total flow : from 261,600 to 267,400 gpm	from 261,600 to 267,200 gpm
55		

56 Since the thermal design flow (current analysis value) for both BVPS units is 261,600 gpm, the
57 minimum measured flows (indicated values) of 267,400 and 267,200 gpm, respectively, for Units
58 1 and 2 reflect the corresponding flow measurement uncertainties of 2.2% and 2.1%, respectively.

- 59
- 60 A. Explain how the indicated values of pressurizer pressure and RCS average temperature
61 are related to the safety analysis values and the uncertainty values.
62
- 63 B. Why are the indicated values for the pressurizer pressure lower than the current TS values
64 for Units 1 and 2?
65
- 66 C. Explain how the current analysis values and the indicated values of these DNB parameters
67 are related to the RTDP methodology.
68
- 69 D. Have new analyses been performed with the revised DNB parameters values as the initial
70 conditions to demonstrate that the RTDP safety analysis DNBR limit is not exceeded for all
71 AOOs? If not, are the existing analyses for all AOOs satisfy the RTDP safety analysis
72 DNBR limit?
73
- 74 E. How are the indicated values of the DNB parameters related to the design parameter
75 values?
76
- 77 4. For the OT@T and OP@T trip function equations, the T-avg is 576.2°F which is the vessel
78 average RC temperature, whereas the revised T-avg values in TS 3.2.5 are 580.0 and
79 579.9°F, respectively, for Units 1 and 2. Explain the difference in the T-avg for the OT@T
80 and OP@T trip function and the DNB parameter value.
81
- 82 5. Describe how the constants K_1 , K_2 , K_3 , K_4 , K_5 and K_6 in the OT@ P and OP@T trip
83 functions are determined from the revised reactor core safety limits associated with the
84 RTDP.
85
- 86 6. Since the OT@T and OP@T trip functions have been revised, have the safety analysis of
87 various transients been performed to ensure that the SAFDL limits are not violated? If not,
88 what is the basis for acceptability of the revised OT@T and OP@T trip functions setpoints?
89
- 90 7. In the OT@T and OP@T trip functions:
91
- 92 A. Explain the basis for assigning the "@" for the coefficients K_1 and K_4 , and the "@" for K_2 ,
93 K_3 , K_5 and K_6 . Or provide the following reference:
94 NTD-RROI-SSO-430/NTD-NSA-TA-95-370, "Identification of Conservative Directions for
95 Constants in OT@T and OP@T Reactor Trip Functions," September 1, 1995.
96
- 97 B. Explain the basis for assigning the "@" for the values of lead time constants, and "@" for
98 lag time constants in the dynamic compensation of the OT@T and OP@T trip functions.
99

100 **Mail Envelope Properties** (3B260896.537 : 6 : 21370)

101

102 **Subject:** RAI - 061201-1
103 **Creation Date:** 6/12/01 8:18AM
104 **From:** Lawrence Burkhart

105

106 **Created By:** LJB@nrc.gov

107

108 **Recipients**

109 firstenergycorp.com
110 sepelakb (Brian Sepelak)

111

112 **Post Office**

113 firstenergycorp.com

114

Route

internet

115 **Files**

	Size	Date & Time
116 RAI - 061201-1.wpd	13796	06/12/01 08:04AM
117 MESSAGE	645	06/12/01 08:18AM

118

119 **Options**

120 **Expiration Date:** None
121 **Priority:** Standard
122 **Reply Requested:** No
123 **Return Notification:** None

124

125 **Concealed Subject:** No

126 **Security:** Standard

127