

Docket No. 50-271

Mr. R. W. Capstick
Licensing Engineer
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power
Corporation
1671 Worcester Road
Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

SEP 27 1988

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	MRushbrook
NRC PDR	VRooney
Local PDR	
PDI-3 Rdg.	OGC(Rockville)
SVarga	EJordan
BBoger	BGrimes
ACRS(10)	GPA/PA
RWessman	

Dear Mr. Capstick

SUBJECT: VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE
SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROPERTY INSURANCE RULE EFFECTIVE
OCTOBER 4, 1988 (10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i))

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the Federal Register a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose.

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be completed by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

Enclosed is an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact relating to a temporary exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station.

8810030398 880927
PDR ADOCK 05000271
J PNU

m.m.

CF-1

DF01
1/1

Mr. R. W. Capstick

- 2 -

This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

15/

Vernon L. Rooney, Project Manager
Project Directorate I-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Enclosure:
Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

PDI-1
LA:MRushbrook
9/27/88

PDI-1
PM:V Rooney:d1g
9/27/88

PDI-1
PD:RWessman
9/27/88

Mr. R. W. Capstick
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

cc:

Mr. J. Gary Weigand
President & Chief Executive Officer
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.
R.D. 5, Box 169
Ferry Road
Brattleboro, Vermont 05301

W. P. Murphy, Vice President
and Manager of Operations
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.
R.D. 5, Box 169
Ferry Road
Brattleboro, Vermont 05301

Mr. John DeVincentis, Vice President
Yankee Atomic Electric Company
1671 Worcester Road
Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

Mr. George Sterzinger, Commissioner
Vermont Department of Public Service
120 State Street, 3rd Floor
Montpelier, Vermont 05602

New England Coalition on Nuclear
Pollution
Hill and Dale Farm
R.D. 2, Box 223
Putney, Vermont 05346

Public Service Board
State of Vermont
120 State Street
Montpelier, Vermont 05602

Vermont Public Interest Research
Group, Inc.
43 State Street
Montpelier, Vermont 05602

G. Dean Weyman
Chairman, Board of Selectman
Post Office Box 116
Vernon, Vermont 05354

William Russell, Regional Administrator
Region I Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Raymond N. McCandless
Vermont Division of Occupational
and Radiological Health
Administration Building
Montpelier, Vermont 05602

Mr. R. W. Capstick
Vermont Yankee Nuclear
Power Corporation
1671 Worcester Road
Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

Honorable John J. Easton
Attorney General
State of Vermont
109 State Street
Montpelier, Vermont 05602

R. K. Gad III
Ropes & Gray
225 Franklin Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Conner & Wetterhahn, P.C.
Suite 1050
1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power
Corporation

- 2 -

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

cc:

Ellyn R. Weiss, Esq.
Harmon & Weiss
2001 S Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

David J. Mullett, Esq.
Special Assistant Attorney General
Vermont Depart. of Public Service
120 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05602

Jay Gutierrez
Regional Counsel
USNRC, Region I
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

G. Dana Bisbee, Esq.
Office of the Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
State House Annex
25 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301-6397

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 176
Vernon, Vermont 05354

Carol S. Sneider, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor
Boston, MA 02108

Geoffrey M. Huntington, Esquire
Office of the Attorney General
Environmental Protection Bureau
State House Annex
25 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301-6397

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq.
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. James H. Carpenter
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Adjudicatory File (2)
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel Docket
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONVERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATIONVERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATIONDOCKET NO. 50-271ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTCONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) to Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation (the licensee) for the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station located at the licensee's site in Windham County, Vermont.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose. Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship

8810030399 880927
PDR ADDCK 05000271
J PNU

provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

The Need for The Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

With respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the

period of delay, the licensee will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, NRC would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Local Public Document Room, Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, Vermont 05301.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of September, 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Richard H. Wessman, Director
Project Directorate I-3
Division of Reactor Projects, I/II