JAMES L. LOPES (No. 63678) 1 JEFFREY L. SCHAFFER (No. 91404) **JANET A. NEXON (No. 104747)** 50-295/323 2 HOWARD, RICE, NEMEROVSKI, CANADY, FALK & RABKIN 3 A Professional Corporation Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor 4 San Francisco, California 94111-4065 Telephone: 415/434-1600 5 415/217-5910 Facsimile: Attorneys for Debtor and Debtor in Possession PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 7 8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 No. 01 30923 DM 12 In re Chapter 11 Case PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC 13 COMPANY, a California corporation, June 26, 2001 Date: 9:30 a.m. Time: Debtor. 235 Pine St., 22nd Floor Place: 15 San Francisco, California Hon. Dennis Montali Federal I.D. No. 94-0742640 Judge: 16 17 18 19 DECLARATION OF VALERIE O. FONG IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR AUTHORITY TO MAKE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES 20 IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DECL. OF V. FONG ISO OF DEBTOR'S MOT. FOR AUTH. TO MAKE CAPITAL EXP. IN THE ORD. COURSE OF BUS.

A001 Add: Rids Oge Mail Center

# I, Valerie O. Fong, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the Director, Capital and Expense Program Management, for Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), a position I have held since November 1, 2000. I make this Declaration based upon my personal knowledge of PG&E's capital programs, and upon my review of PG&E's records concerning the matters stated herein. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the facts stated herein.
- 2. Each year, PG&E makes substantial capital expenditures in its utility functions. In 2001, PG&E expects to make capital expenditures of \$1.446 billion in its basic utility functions, which include electric distribution, gas distribution, electric transmission, gas transmission and electric generation (including non-nuclear and nuclear generation). In support of these basic utility functions, PG&E also invests capital in the areas of customer services, information technology and general services, such as buildings and fleet.
- 3. PG&E spends capital funds on projects that fall into three broad categories: (1) emergency/safety projects; (2) projects that are mandated by regulatory or legal orders (including projects undertaken to remain in compliance with regulatory and legal requirements); and (3) other projects (such as projects designed to improve the reliability of PG&E's distribution or transmission system which may not be mandated by specific performance requirements).
- 4. Prominent examples of emergency and safety-related projects include replacing electric lines and poles that are damaged during storms and high winds, or replacing gas pipelines damaged by the shifting of saturated ground after rains or flooding. Examples of mandated or compliance projects include modifying gas transmission pipeline facilities in order to comply with new air quality regulations, building facilities to connect new customers to the PG&E system, and increasing the capacity of the electric transmission lines to meet the summer peak demands. Examples of "other projects" would be the automation of system equipment to allow the system to respond remotely (thus saving time by not having to dispatch personnel to the site) to electric outage situations, and the installation of additional protective devices to reduce the amount of time that customers are

without power once an outage has occurred.

5. PG&E is a highly regulated business. PG&E's capital projects are required to comply with orders, decisions, standards and tariffs issued by various federal, state and local agencies, including the U.S. Government, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of Safety of Dams, the State of California, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Energy Commission, the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the California Department of Water Resources, the Local Air Resources Board, the California Coastal Commission, the North American Electric Reliability Council, the Western Systems Coordinating Council, and local cities and counties, among others. As a result, PG&E spends the majority of its capital dollars on projects that are mandated by safety, regulatory or legal requirements.

- 6. Unlike other businesses, PG&E has an obligation to provide service to existing and new customers—the so-called "obligation to serve." PG&E does not control the number of customers that are connected to its gas and electric systems, nor does it determine where there will be growth in the demand for its gas and electric services. In response to a financial emergency, PG&E cannot choose to deny service to new customers, nor to permit demand for electricity to exceed the capacity of its distribution and transmission substations and lines. Instead, it must continue to connect new customers to its systems and build the necessary capacity to meet customer demand. In the year 2000 alone, PG&E spent approximately \$290 million to physically connect approximately 100,000 electric and gas distribution customers to its system.
- 7. Further, the provision of electricity and natural gas inherently involves a range of obvious safety issues. As a consequence, the construction and operation of PG&E's electric and gas facilities are mandated and controlled by complicated and overlapping laws,

HOWARD RICE NEMBOVSKI CANADY BUK GRABKIN 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 330(f) of the California Public Utilities Code states: "The delivery of electricity over transmission and distribution systems is currently regulated, and will continue to be regulated to ensure system safety, reliability, environmental protection, and fair access for all market participants." Federal authorities place similar emphasis on the unique nature of energy utilities.

DECL. OF V. FONG ISO OF DEBTOR'S MOT. FOR AUTH. TO MAKE CAPITAL EXP. IN THE ORD. COURSE OF BUS.

rules and regulations designed to ensure the safety of the public and PG&E's employees. For example, last year, PG&E spent approximately \$76 million to address safety-related issues on an emergency basis.

- 8. Additionally, the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity and natural gas involve facilities and operations that can significantly affect the environment. As a consequence, numerous regulatory agencies require PG&E to make significant capital expenditures to achieve various environmental objectives. In 2000, PG&E spent \$18.7 million, and in 2001 it will spend approximately \$37.7 million, to comply with environmental rules and regulations. This rate of expenditures will continue for the next several years, driven primarily by NOx reduction projects associated with the gas transmission system.
- 9. Failure to make the necessary capital expenditures to meet its many regulatory, safety and environmental requirements can result not only in significant regulatory fines and penalties and in the reduction of authorized rates and revenues, but also in injury, or in the worst case, death.
- 10. To meet its basic utility obligations PG&E makes many thousands of capital investments each year. The vast majority of these capital investments are for a few thousand dollars each. Other capital expenditures, such as a new substation or transmission line, can cost tens of millions of dollars.
- 11. PG&E employees and outside contractors are involved in planning and constructing numerous utility capital projects each year. PG&E must plan for the efficient use of its workforce and contractors, as its construction activities often are seasonal in nature. For example, PG&E typically constructs electric capacity projects during the winter and spring so that they will be on line during the peak summer months, and gas capacity projects during the spring and summer to meet the peak winter gas demands. In contrast, most new customer connections typically occur during the residential construction season that stretches from late spring to fall. Delays in the capital approval process can throw planning and construction schedules off, creating a backlog of construction projects and

producing marked inefficiencies.

- 12. PG&E allocates budgets to its utility functions<sup>2</sup> every year in accordance with priorities established for the entire company. Priorities are established and monitored through the program review process.
- 13. A program is a group of projects or activities related to the management, operation and maintenance of existing asset groups of business units or corporate services departments that share the same general purpose and scope over a specific time frame.<sup>3</sup> PG&E currently has 41 programs. Exhibit A hereto lists each of these programs. A program's capital budget must be approved by the Chief Financial Officer of PG&E. PG&E's Board of Directors annually approves the overall budget for capital expenditures.
- 14. PG&E has adopted a Capital Expenditures Policy dated September 15, 1998 (the "Policy"), which governs the approval of specific capital projects and the allocation of its annual capital expenditures. The policy was subsequently supplemented by a Resolution of the Board of Directors of PG&E dated June 21, 2000 (the "Resolution"). Copies of the Capital Expenditures Policy and the Resolution are attached hereto as Exhibit B. The Resolution delegates to the Chairman of the Board of PG&E (or his designee) authority to approve projects of less than \$50 million. The Chairman of the PG&E Board subsequently delegated that authority to the President and Chief Executive Officer of PG&E. (The Capital Expenditures Policy as supplemented by the Resolution is referred to hereafter as the "Policy.")

HOWARD RICE SMEOVSKI CANADY 14 BALK BRANGIN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The various functions involved in the review of capital expenditures include electric distribution (capacity, customer connections, maintenance and replacement work); gas distribution (capacity, customer connections, pipeline replacement, maintenance and replacement work); electric transmission (capacity and maintenance and replacement work); gas transmission (capacity and maintenance and replacement work); electric generation (nuclear, hydro and steam generation); customer service (customer information system such as billing and call center work, and meter installation and meter reading work); general services (including materials procurement, building and land services, and fleet procurement work); and information technology.

A project is an activity, or group of similar or closely related activities, which (a) has a single, clearly-defined purpose, scope, cost plan, and time frame; and (b) involves capital expenditures for (i) acquisition or long-term lease of real property; (ii) acquisition, long-term lease or construction of new equipment or facilities; or (iii) additions to or removal of existing equipment or facilities. If a project will be undertaken over an extended period of time and divided into phases, the term "project" encompasses all phases, including those for which precise cost plans and schedules are not yet fully developed.

11

12

13

15

18

16

17

20

19

22

23

21

24

25 26

27

- The Policy identifies the authority level required to allocate capital budgets among the business units and corporate services departments; specifies the approval levels required for expenditures that are either included or omitted from current department budget allocations; provides rules to address project overruns; and designates monitoring responsibilities. The Policy recognizes that many capital projects require expenditures that extend over multiple years and that the annual budget approval process does not fully capture the multi-year nature of such projects. Thus, prior to commencing work a capital project must receive both overall project authorization as specified in the Policy and approval in the annual budget for expenditures forecast for the year encompassed by the budget.
- 16. Pursuant to the Policy, the Boards of Directors of PG&E and PG&E Corporation (PG&E's parent company) approve the overall annual capital budget. The Chief Financial Officer of PG&E allocates funds among business units and corporate services departments by approving program budgets based on company-wide priorities. PG&E's Policy expressly identifies approvals required for projects based on the forecasted cost of the project, as follows:
- Projects of \$50 million or more. Projects that are forecasted to cost \$50 million or more must be approved by the Boards of Directors of PG&E and PG&E Corporation. Pursuant to the Policy and the subsequent delegation by the Chairman of the Board, projects of less than \$50 million may be approved by the President and Chief Executive Officer.
- b. Projects Within the Program Budget. For budgeted projects of \$10 million or more (but less than \$50 million) which include \$1 million or more of capital expenditures, the project must be approved by the President and Chief Executive Officer, as authorized designee of the Board of Directors of PG&E.4 Such approval is preceded by detailed review

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If a budgeted project is less than \$10 million, or is \$10 million or more but includes less than \$1 million of capital expenditures, the officer sponsoring the project may approve the project, with the exception that all capital projects greater than \$1 million require the Chief Financial Officer's written concurrence. (This is in accordance with the Capital Program Review and Approval Process.)

of the project by members of PG&E's Management Committee, including the Chief Financial Officer.

- c. Projects Exceeding Program Budget. For project expenditures that are not within the program budget, the following authorizations are required: if the budget is exceeded, the Chief Financial Officer must approve any additional expenditures estimated to exceed the program budget; and if a project of \$1 million or more of capital expenditures causes the annual capital budget to be exceeded, it must be approved by the Boards of Directors of PG&E and PG&E Corporation.
- d. Project Overruns. For projects that include \$1 million or more in capital, if an overrun causes a project to exceed \$10 million (but not to exceed \$50 million), or if a project originally estimated to cost \$10 million or more is expected to overrun by more than ten percent (10%), it must be approved by the President and Chief Executive Officer, as authorized designee of the Board of Directors of PG&E.<sup>5</sup>
- e. <u>Emergency Work</u>. Approvals are not required for expenditures or commitments of funds for (i) emergency restorative work immediately following sudden damage to facilities from equipment failures, storms, floods or other catastrophic events, and (ii) emergency preventive work undertaken to avert or mitigate significant and imminent safety hazards, damage to facilities, or service interruptions to customers.
- criteria for new capital project expenditures and project overrun expenditures. The review processes set forth in these tables were designed, in consultation with the Official Unsecured Creditors' Committee (the "Committee"), to be consistent with PG&E's existing Capital Expenditures Policy, i.e., the requirement of Committee review (in certain instances) or Bankruptcy Court approval (in other instances) of proposed capital expenditures are triggered by similar dollar thresholds to that which trigger the requirement of various approvals under the Capital Expenditures Policy.

HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY 14 FALK FRANKIN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In all other cases, the sponsoring officer may approve the project overrun within his or her delegated authority.

25

26

27

28

- At the time this case was commenced, PG&E had 30 ongoing capital projects estimated to cost \$10 million or more that already had been approved through the internal corporate approval process described above. These projects were and are in various stages—from the pre-construction stages to nearing completion. As existing projects, they would not be subject to the procedure described above for Committee and Bankruptcy Court review. Instead, PG&E has submitted a description of each of these projects to the Committee, and, with the concurrence of the Committee, by this Motion seeks this Court's approval of PG&E's expenditures to complete each of these ongoing capital projects (the "Existing Projects").
- 19. The Existing Projects are described in Exhibit D (1) (30) hereto, which Exhibits were provided to the Committee for review prior to finalizing and filing the Motion. The Existing Projects encompass the following projects within four of the five utility functions as well as the support services functions:

### PG&E's Electric Distribution System.

PG&E's electric distribution system delivers electricity from the high voltage electric transmission system to its 4.4 million electric customers in 77,000 square miles of Northern and Central California. The electric distribution system has a net book value of \$7.1 billion, and includes 773 substations, 110,000 miles of overhead lines, 22,000 miles of underground lines, and 2.2 million poles.

There is currently one Existing Project in this category, the Fort Ord Distribution System Acquisition and Upgrade, forecasted to cost \$13.5 million, with \$7.8 million forecast to be spent in 2001 and beyond.

# PG&E's Electric Transmission System.

PG&E's electric transmission system delivers electric energy from power generators and interconnection points to the electric distribution system. The electric transmission system has a net book value of approximately \$1.5 billion. The system includes approximately 18,376 circuit miles of interconnected transmission lines of 60 kilovolts (kV) to 500 kV and transmission substations having a capacity of approximately

39,859 megawatt-amperes. There are currently 13 Existing Projects in the electric transmission category, with forecasted costs ranging from \$10.2 million to \$140 million, and a total aggregate forecasted cost of \$450.6 million. Of that amount, \$384 million is forecast to be spent in 2001 and beyond.

### c. Gas Transmission And Storage System.

PG&E's gas transmission system receives gas from interstate pipeline companies, California natural gas producers, and other utilities, and delivers that gas to various end-users, PG&E's gas distribution system, and other pipelines and utilities. The gas transmission facilities also deliver gas to and receive gas from PG&E and third party gas storage facilities.

PG&E's three underground storage facilities are used primarily to ensure a high level of service reliability to core customers during winter months. The storage facilities are also used to support pipeline balancing service and unbundled storage service.

The gas transmission and storage system has a net book value of approximately \$1.4 billion, and consists of approximately 5,500 miles of pipeline, ten compressor stations, and three underground storage facilities. There are three Existing Projects in the gas transmission category, with forecasted costs ranging from \$8.4 million to \$30.8 million, and a total aggregate forecast of \$50 million. Of that amount, \$32.4 million is forecast to be spent in 2001 and beyond.

#### d. Power Generation.

PG&E currently owns and operates both nuclear and non-nuclear generation facilities as described below, giving rise to the following Existing Projects.

#### i) Nuclear Generation.

PG&E owns nuclear facilities at Diablo Canyon and at Humboldt Bay. The Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant is located on the Pacific coastline in San Luis Obispo County. The plant consists of two Westinghouse Pressurized Water reactors each producing 1087 MW of net electrical generation. The total electrical output of 2174 MW meets the needs of approximately 2 million customers. Unit 1 and Unit 2 operating licenses

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

1.

HOWARD 13

EMEROVSKI 14 CANADY 14 ENLK STRABKIN

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

extend through September 2021 and April 2025, respectively. The lifetime capacity factors of Unit 1 and 2 through December 2000 are 82% and 84%, respectively. The Humboldt Bay Nuclear Plant is a 65 megawatt facility currently in a custodial mode called SAFSTOR, with some preliminary decommissioning work being done.

There is currently one Existing Project in the nuclear generation category, consisting of a \$31 million project for Humboldt Bay Nuclear Unit 3 Initial Dismantlement, of which \$9.7 million remains to be spent.

### ii) Hydroelectric & Fossil Generation System.

PG&E's hydroelectric powerhouses and fossil power plants generate electricity that is delivered to the transmission system for use by PG&E's 4.4 million electric customers. The hydroelectric system consists of 110 generating units at 68 powerhouses and switchyards with a total generating capacity of 3,896 megawatts. This system includes 99 reservoirs, 76 diversions, 174 dams, 184 miles of canals, 44 miles of flumes, 135 miles of tunnels, 19 miles of pipe, 5 miles of natural waterways, 8 switching centers and the associated headquarters and service centers.

The fossil power plants are located at Hunters Point in San Francisco and in Humboldt Bay in Eureka County. The Hunters Point power plant consists of three generating units with a combined capacity of 426 megawatts. The Humboldt plant consists of two fossil units with a combined capacity of 105 megawatts, and two combustion turbines with a capacity of 15 megawatts each.

There are seven Existing Projects in this category, with forecasted costs ranging from \$13.3 million to \$58.8 million, with a total aggregate forecast cost of \$155.2 million. Of that total, \$37.3 million is forecast to be spent in 2001 and beyond.

## e. <u>Customer And Support Services.</u>

PG&E maintains and operates an extensive infrastructure to provide services to its gas and electric customers, including service centers, call centers and office buildings, and a system of warehouses. It also maintains and operates an extensive fleet of vehicles and equipment and complex voice and data communications and computer systems.

### i) Customer Services.

In the near future, the vast majority of customer services capital expenditures are to replace and upgrade the 30-year old Customer Information System used to bill customers and report revenues. This Existing Project is forecast to cost a total of \$345 million on its completion in 2002, with \$110.3 million forecast to be spent in 2001 and 2002.

### ii) Information Technology.

Separate from the Customer Information System project mentioned above, PG&E plans to spend about \$80 million of capital annually on Information Technology projects, such as software applications, operating and maintaining its voice and data communications systems, supporting desktop computing and other information technology spending. There are currently three Existing Projects in information technology: (i) to upgrade and integrate functions of PG&E's SAP financial accounting and work management systems (forecast amount \$17.7 million), (ii) to install an Enterprise Application Integration System, which will enable efficient methods for integrating operational, billing and revenue, and customer data from various computer applications within PG&E, and (iii) for the replacement of the West Valley Analog Microwave Communication system with a digital system in order to meet reliability standards for critical electric transmission facilities. The forecasted costs for these three Existing Projects range from \$10.6 million to \$48.3 million, with a total aggregate cost of \$74.5 million. Of the \$74.5 million total, \$50.6 million is forecast to be spent in 2001 and beyond.

## iii) General Services.

PG&E forecasts that it will spend about \$180 million of capital annually on other support services, including building and land services, operating and maintaining the fleet, and supporting warehouse operations. There is currently one Existing Project in this category—redevelopment of the Fresno Service Center at a forecast total cost of \$27.7 million, of which \$27.5 million is forecast to be spent in 2001 and beyond.

20. Each of these Existing Projects has been approved by PG&E's internal procedures, has been reviewed and approved by the Committee, and is necessary for the

DECL. OF V. FONG ISO OF DEBTOR'S MOT. FOR AUTH. TO MAKE CAPITAL EXP. IN THE ORD. COURSE OF BUS.

conduct of PG&E's business. Because the details of these projects are known and the projects already have been fully approved internally by PG&E, PG&E and the Committee concurred that it made most sense to seek approval as part of this Motion for PG&E to incur the remaining expenditures for these Existing Projects.

21. PG&E has sought and obtained the Committee's concurrence with and support of the capital expenditures authority sought in this Motion.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this <u>bth</u> day of June, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

VALERIE O. FONG

IN ORDER TO CONSERVE COPYING AND MAILING COSTS, EXHIBITS A THROUGH D ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS DISTRIBUTION. IF YOU WISH TO VIEW THEM, THEY ARE ON FILE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT, OR YOU CAN CONTACT DEBTOR'S COUNSEL AT THE PHONE NUMBER SET FORTH IN THE UPPER-LEFT-HAND CORNER OF THE FIRST PAGE OF THIS DECLARATION