

June 7, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: Jack N. Donohew, Senior Project Manager, Section 2 /RA/
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: POTENTIAL REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR
RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION APPLICATION DATED
FEBRUARY 15, 2001, FOR COMANCHE PEAK UNITS 1 AND 2
(TAC NOS. MB1201 AND MB1202)

In the letter of February 15, 2001 (CPSES-200100449/TXX-01026), TXU Electric (the licensee) submitted a relief request to apply a risk-informed inservice inspection (RI-ISI) program to Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES). Attached is a request for additional information (RAI) from the Probabilistic Safety Assessment Branch. There is an RAI expected from the Materials and Chemical Engineering Branch, who is also reviewing the same application.

The attached RAI is being provided to the licensee to: (1) expedite the staff's review of the licensee's application, (2) allow the licensee to review the questions before the RAI is sent by letter, and (3) allow the staff to discuss with the licensee the date when the licensee could submit its response to the RAI. The licensee's review of the RAI will allow them to fully understand what is being asked in the staff's questions before the RAI is sent to the licensee by letter (i.e., the questions may need to be clarified for the letter). Based on any discussions between the licensee and the staff, the RAI may be revised in the letter that formally requests the additional information from the licensee.

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Attachment: Request for Additional Information

June 7, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: Jack N. Donohew, Senior Project Manager, Section 2 /RA/
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: POTENTIAL REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR
RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION APPLICATION DATED
FEBRUARY 15, 2001, FOR COMANCHE PEAK UNITS 1 AND 2
(TAC NOS. MB1201 AND MB1202)

In the letter of February 15, 2001 (CPSES-200100449/TXX-01026), TXU Electric (the licensee) submitted a relief request to apply a risk-informed inservice inspection (RI-ISI) program to Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES). Attached is a request for additional information (RAI) from the Probabilistic Safety Assessment Branch. There is an RAI expected from the Materials and Chemical Engineering Branch, who is also reviewing the same application.

The attached RAI is being provided to the licensee to: (1) expedite the staff's review of the licensee's application, (2) allow the licensee to review the questions before the RAI is sent by letter, and (3) allow the staff to discuss with the licensee the date when the licensee could submit its response to the RAI. The licensee's review of the RAI will allow them to fully understand what is being asked in the staff's questions before the RAI is sent to the licensee by letter (i.e., the questions may need to be clarified for the letter). Based on any discussions between the licensee and the staff, the RAI may be revised in the letter that formally requests the additional information from the licensee.

Docket Nos. 50-445 and 50-446

Attachment: Request for Additional Information

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

PDIV-2 R/F

RidsNrrPMJDonohew

RidsNrrLAEPeyton

RidsNrrPMDJaffe

RidsNrrLADJohnson

RidsNrrPDIV1 (RGramm)

ADAMS Accession No. ML011580354

NRR-106

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| OFFICE | PDIV-2/PM | PDIV-2/LA | PDIV-2/SC |
| NAME | JDonohew | EPeyton | SDembek |
| DATE | 6/7/2001 | 6/4/01 | 6/7/01 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION

RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION

DOCKET NOS. 50-445 AND 50-446

Comanche Peak RI-ISI question:

- The NRC staff's safety evaluation (SE) issued March 10, 1997, for the CPSES Individual Plant Evaluation (IPE), in response to Generic Letter 88-20, states that the staff noted that the licensee used small screening human error probabilities to determine the most important human events and that as part of the human reliability analysis (HRA), the CPSES IPE credited local repair of various equipment and systems. The staff noted that the credit given to local repair of equipment and systems did not appear to take into account certain plant specific factors. On page 3 of your submittal dated February 15, 2001, the licensee stated that these items were addressed in the CPSES 2000 update. Discuss how were these items specifically addressed in the update? Is the CPSES Safety Monitor, Revision 1, on which your RI-ISI program is based, the same as the CPSES 2000 update to the Comanche Peak probabilistic safety assessment (PSA)?