

eg: found on ice cond. Room was ok, melt water
 indicated they had experienced missing basket screws
 Plants representative for them we endorse signature
 here we endorse designed containment. Both
 to Duke, Maguire Nuclear Plants, both of which
 also made by me to the Donald C. Cook Plant, and
 ice basket inspection, etc. as discussed, contact was
 developed a corrective action plan (CAP) for address
 for closure action even though Tech Support had also
 however this PER was forwarded to Nuclear Engineering
 PER 9500246, originated by technical support (1555)
 as we discussed this issue was addressed under
 Tech reports 95-1021 dated 6/2/95 and 6/19/95.
 I'm enclosing a copy of the two ice basket screws
 have pertaining to the above analysis matter.
 share with you and your office the concerns I
 It was a pleasure to have had the opportunity to

TECH. REPORT/REPORTS Findings.
 PER 9500246 and Central Laboratories Services
 Subject: ICE CONDENSER ICE BASKET SCREWS;

From: C. C. Overall EX-TVA Employee; WBRP
 (Involuntarily Retired)
 To: K. R. Dorn (REC) with Ben Nuclear Plant

12-31-96
 17

115

processing tanks, etc. in some case up to several hundred were disturbed (this was reported from Mergin and probably from the Colorado Plateau as well.) When asked by the Duke personnel if a report was even issued directing participation to other plants, ie, TRAs, Seagraves & Watts Bar Nuclear Plants, they stated no. It asked if a dynamic test was performed by them to water or to see if mixing would occur. It was a concern, the answer was yes, however it was not an official documented test. They felt that the answer is that it did not pose a threat to nuclear safety thus not mentioning it to their management. It was even stated that by bringing this up to their management it may raise a question of continuing plant operation. However in their professional opinion it was not the case. It was also noted either at the Duke plant or at D.C. Cook, it reveals that a basket during the working activities was lifted while the chlorine gas was still in place, possibly due to missing scene. Back at WBPB several meetings, telecons, etc. took place concerning our findings. After TRAs Corporate Metallurgical Staff had reviewed and questioned our findings and results of the first technical report, a second report superseding the first came out. This prompted Nuclear Engineers to request from Westinghouse Elec. Corp. to provide

12-31-96

(see enclosed basket scene)

(ie u use basket screws)

⑤

12-31-96

an evaluation to provide the calculations, etc. that missing screws were not a concern to this safety systems function. Nuclear Engineering on site should be able to provide your office with this information.

I'm also providing you extra copies of my "at Risk" notices I received prior to and after the Ue basket screw issue became known. Also as mentioned I received several telephone calls at work and at home ie June 14th, 15th & 19th 1996 saying "you sure picked a fine time to bring up the screw issue"; "The screw issue won't keep WBN from operating", & "we're really glad you're leaving WBN". All of these calls were relayed on to handy McCormick my supervisor, the employee concern group, and Site Security. As discussed before, I have been responsible for the Ue condenser system at Watts Bar since 1984, my work history (3031's) review will indicate my dedication and willingness to maintain this systems operating condition and readiness to provide accident mitigation as designed.

It was stated to me from my Senior Management that the bottom line of me going to TVA Services was that he doesn't feel how he can continue my salary for overseeing a system that doesn't want a full time position. Even though I had →

12-31-96

been over the this system since 1984. Also
 prior to leaving W&A I turned over
 all of my files, experience, and trained where
 necessary a replacement engineer SC-4 who's
 primary system today is system 061 no order
 when asked to him how much of your time is
 spent on this system weekly, he stated over
 80%. Sometimes 100% or greater. That sounds
 normal due to the nature of the system and its
 subject to change, due to seasonal parameters
 and the harsh environment this system's equipment
 operates under.

It strongly feel my leaving with Bar
 was due to my age and the reasons given was
 un justified and without any form of logic.
 I feel of myself respect from discussing
 matters we broket account and the issue that
 resulted from the Problem Evaluation Report (PER),
 In addition I feel the handling of the PER
 was hastened due to the unfortunate timing
 it was written (ie, prior to fuel loading) and
 not given the necessary evaluation and testing
 to insure no adverse conditions short or long term
 would result.

(see to lower bracket secure)

12-31-76

I appreciate you office's support into this matter.

If I can be of further assistance please call or contact me @ (423) 476-5854 or write to: 3533 OZARK DR N.W. CLEVE, TN. 37312

Thank you

Antonia Brund